

A Book of Days



BIOGRAPHIES

Falling From Grace: The Dukes of Mallard
Empires of Grace: Ladies and Gentlemen
The Blandy Papers: Maid for Murder
Manly Grace: The Myth of the Mallards
Faith in Grace: The Bishops of Mallard

MUSINGS

Manners of Grace: Axioms
States of Grace: The Mallard origin
Proof of Grace: Mallard Evidence

STORIES

The Little Book of Fitzartur Foibles

Collected Mallard Papers, Series I: States of Grace

Copyright remains with the editors, who may at any time elect to reproduce, revise or abandon it. Readers are at liberty to imagine value. For those who require certainty, the notional price is three guineas.

© 1990 Viscountess Viola Violet Vorpel (attributed)

© 1990 Arthur Frederick Blandy, PhD. (editor, attributed)

© 1990 Ima Duckson & Sons, Printers & Ornamental Bookwrights (design, typesetting)

© 2025 Apond Editions, an imprint of Ducksina House (first critical edition; inconsistencies preserved by intention)

Produced in Sydney, Australia by grace@mayflet.com [web: mayflet.com]

No part of this publication may be corrected without the express permission of the Mallard family. All typographical peculiarities are the responsibility of the original designers, whose aesthetic cannot at this late date, be altered without disturbing the historical record.

All rights reserved, or none at all, depending on whom you believe. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without prior permission—though history suggests that parts will be copied, misquoted and misattributed regardless. Copies may be requested, passed hand-to-hand, gifted or left to languish on a shelf where they might be mistaken for a family history.

1983: Introduction to A Book of Days

Viscountess Viola Vorpel

It was in the mild, unenforceable spring of 1983 that I decided the English day had fallen into such disrepute that it required resurrection—not the kind of resurrection delivered by costume museums with trembling glass and taxidermied gloves, but the cooler kind: archival, capricious, and faintly insolent. I called the enterprise *The Book of Days* because *A Chronicle by Any Other Name* sounded like a comedy show. Besides, as Bailey Minor reminds us in *The Temperate Calendar* (or some book very like it), “No nation survives the loss of its own afternoons.”

These afternoons—two in particular—have returned to my possession through papers too long neglected: one concerning a ball at the Duke’s country estate in the winter of 1832, the other describing the Mallard family’s Centenary Celebrations (a term that, even in 1850, seemed a touch fraudulent). Both are what I once grandly called “Total Days”: events so elaborately self-reflecting that they seem almost to bubble with conscience. Such days occur when people imagine themselves to be performing history, not merely inhabiting it.

The documents come from trunks kept under the stairs at Mallard House, wrapped in copies of *The Morning Chronicle* (I regret to say the editions chosen for their hints of scandal, not their reliability). These papers—letters, guest lists, bills from confectioners, one folded pamphlet titled *On the Relevance of Politeness to Progress*, unsigned but no doubt written by a man—form the corpus of this work.

I have not sought permission from the living descendants of either the Duke or the Mallards, partly because I cannot find them and partly because I do not believe in permission as an ethical principle. Objects belong to those who interpret them; rights, like titles, are only interesting when misapplied.

The night of 1832 begins as a triumph of timing and dress. The Duke, then in his middle decline, had just returned from a visit to the continent convinced of the superiority of French upholstery and the inferiority of French morals—positions which he held to the end. The ball, described in the *Buckinghamshire Gazette* as “nearly Roman in splendour and entirely English in cost,” marked the first reappearance of Lady Isobel Dreighton after her exile in Florence (the reasons for which are variously recorded; my favourite involves an overheated salon and a lapse of Latin).

The 1850 celebration, by contrast, sweats under its own civic virtue. The Mallards, having discovered that their ancestor once lent a field to a Parliamentary regiment, made a centenary of it. “The Field of

Liberty,” so it was renamed, sits now beneath a motorway. The family’s papers include speeches printed in advance, as though sincerity were a matter of rehearsal. Every toast cracks with anxiety.

There is, if one reads carefully, a common thread. In 1832, the aristocracy believed it could prove its grace through delight. In 1850, the bourgeoisie believed it might prove its grace through formality. Both were wrong. Delight and formality alike were performances of decline—a fact noted, though too politely, in Miss Godfrey’s *Compendium of Social Graces* (1854): “It is not character which decays, but the occasions for it.”

One must treat such sources skeptically, of course. The editors of the *Compendium* never met Miss Godfrey and may, as recent scholarship asserts, have been two mariners from Norwich with a fondness for pseudonyms. Still, falsehood makes atmosphere, and these days reek delightfully of it.

When I speak of “days,” I do not mean durations of twenty-four hours but emotional climates held briefly and then gone. England’s history consists less of centuries than of weather—mists of feeling that recondense around new furniture. The 1832 ball was madness wrapped in lace; the 1850 soirée was conscience lacquered in civility. Both, I suspect, are truer accounts of national life than any Act of Parliament.

This collection makes no claim to scholarship; the word implies obedience. Rather, it offers candour of a distinctively aristocratic sort: the honesty of one for whom practical consequences are permanently outsourced. I may be mistaken, but as Lady Mallison wrote to her niece in 1849, “Error in a grand manner commands more attention than accuracy in a dull one.” Precisely so.

I have resisted editorial smoothing, preferring to preserve contradictions as signs of authenticity. Punctuation varies, spelling collapses, and one correspondent uses the same adjective for both women and lamps. Where sources clash irreparably, I have allowed them to quarrel in print. History behaves best when left in pieces.

In 1983, one is supposed to apologise for arrogance, speak tenderly of the past, and pretend to envy the common sense of the unlettered. I decline all three. The past is never tender, the unlettered never common, and arrogance, when accurate, deserves its own shelf.

Readers expecting historical progress will be disappointed. Between 1832 and 1850 no moral evolution occurred; only the changing syntax of self-satisfaction. The Duke’s guests danced because they believed pleasure to be noble. The Mallards toasted because they believed virtue could be inherited like silver. Both believed England would last forever, which was their sweetest error.

These documents are not moral examples but mirrors, asking only what kind of face we wish time to wear. I am often reminded—though perhaps incorrectly—that John Ruskin wrote somewhere, “We do not study art, but its remains.” I take the same view of history. A day, once lived, must be treated as a ruin: documented, admired, never repaired.

If my manner appears cold, it is merely to keep the heat of the century out. England, in 1983, is hot with apology and nostalgia—both unattractive forms of sentimentality. One cannot restore a century by crying over it. Better to catalogue its vanities and let them glitter, undisturbed, beneath the dust.

To this end, I offer *A Ball at the Duke’s* (1832) and *A Centenary for the Mallards* (1850), edited with a restricted affection and arranged as parallel acts in England’s performance of itself. Dates, names, and incongruities remain exactly as found—or exactly as invented; I no longer recall which.



1953: Preface from “A Day at Mallard House:
Documents of a Vanished Decorum”

*Arthur Frederick Blandy, D.Phil. (Syd.),
sometime Fellow in Genealogical Studies*

The reader will, I trust, forgive the long gestation of this small volume, which has hung about my desk like an undismitted servant for the better part of a decade. I began these researches in 1944, during the less theatrical segments of the war, when the University library still smelled faintly of dust and nervous typing. Professorial duties demanded a treatise on early constitutional theory; instead, I unearthed these fragments of a single day—yes, one day—in the early January of 1832, recorded from no fewer than eight perspectives within what must have been one of the more overpopulated houses of the English peerage.

We may, I think, permit ourselves the pleasure of astonishment. The day appears to have begun repeatedly. Each diarist—duchess, steward, gardener, governess, and certain persons of more experimental appetites—insists upon being the first to rise. It is a competition in punctuality, typical of the age’s moral gymnastics. I have chosen not to reconcile

their hours. In the matter of ordinary time, historians are excessively greedy; I find ambiguity more trustworthy.

A few remarks on provenance. These manuscripts (if one may use so muscular a word for the tissue-thin and occasionally perfumed papers upon which they are written) were acquired in the early 1930s by an antique dealer in Bath, from whom they were, alas, purchased by a dental surgeon. It was his widow who sold them to an acquaintance of my aunt, and thence to me. I say “manuscripts,” though one—by the housekeeper, a certain Mrs. Blandy—is technically a form of textual shouting in ink. I disclaim any hereditary connection though I confess the handwriting is alarmingly familiar; my own students have remarked the resemblance with impertinent delight.

The event chronicled is, superficially, a ball. England in 1832 appears to have attended a ball nightly, perhaps to distract itself from reform and mortality. The Duke of Mallard held his at his so-called rural palace, which various footmen and one despairing gardener describe as the size of a principle and twice as cold. From dawn baths to midnight bows, the household moved through apparel, etiquette, and class like light through a procession of veils—each thinner than the last, none entirely transparent. What fascinates me (and will perhaps fascinate you) is not the ball but the bureaucracy surrounding it. The splendour of the aristocracy was chiefly administrative.

Consider, for instance, the various servants’ diaries: the gardener’s obsession with temperature, the archivist’s mania for cataloguing dances as if they were geological epochs, the chef’s despair over performing the impossible—melons in January!—tantamount to a minor resurrection; the governess’s unsurprising capacity to moralise fabric; and the steward’s artful equivocations concerning what he delicately calls “recreation.” Each voice rings with conviction, yet no single account apprehends the whole. Their mutual delusion—each believing himself essential—is the truest index of hierarchy ever recorded.

A note about the “seraglio.” Before moral readers form conclusions, I must observe that the evidence is purely professional—and therefore irresistible. The steward’s diary mentions the maintenance of an “east-wing establishment” of young gentlemen whose musical, conversational, and (it seems) interpretive talents were at the Duke’s disposal. While historians of Empire have generally treated this as a metaphor for patronage, I am not entirely persuaded. Having spent an instructive afternoon reading between the steward’s lines, I find it difficult not to imagine the seraglio as a kind of social experiment—a domestic salon de perfectionnement where duty, leisure, and satin cohabited with philosophical purpose.

Many visitors to England (de Tocqueville foremost among them, had he thought to look indoors) have failed to grasp that the British aristocrat was not hypocritical but merely overdecorated; every ideal required upholstery. Thus, the seraglio seems less scandal than invention: an attempt to harmonise aesthetic theory with plumbing. A visit, I confess, would have afforded a cultural revelation. The east wing—mirrors, velvet, and men of uncertain employment—was, as one servant delicately records, “kept temperate and out of view.” Were I possessed of a time machine (I have been promised one by a scientific colleague since 1949, without delivery) I would request a guided tour: strictly educational, naturally.

The authenticity of these documents has occasioned some scepticism within the department, chiefly from Professor Underwood, whose idea of proof is a British Rail ticket. The watermarks are consistent, the orthography dubious, and the theology precise; such was 1832. One entry even mentions a “serpentine boiler,” consistent with early nineteenth-century central heating systems—a detail I consider decisive, although the mechanist in Engineering insists that the device, as described, could not have functioned without exploding. Yet absence of explosion is no argument against faith.

I must, moreover, address the archivist’s journal—an extraordinary monument of self-defeat. The man apparently believed that every household occasion, from supper to ghost, required official minutes. His phrases—“Ball 89: atmospheric phenomena; moderate intensity”—are exquisite in their futility. I have adopted them freely throughout this edition, sometimes as headings, sometimes as decorations. A scholar ought to quote those he understands least; it conveys breadth.

Readers encountering these materials for the first time may find their tone inconsistent. This, I suggest, is fidelity masquerading as irony. History, when honest, must contradict itself. I have arranged the pieces in roughly chronological order, though, as Mrs. Blandy the housekeeper noted in a stroke of philosophical genius, “Every hour repeats itself if you pay enough attention.” Thus if certain paragraphs seem to echo earlier ones, the fault lies with time, not with me.

A word on language: the orthography has been modernised except where not. Italics are original unless added. Where the manuscripts became unreadable, I have discreetly invented, in accordance with the principle (I think Pope’s) that conjecture completes truth. The footnotes—which number 417—are essential, though I must apologise for the increasing hostility of tone between Notes 312 and 349, written during an attack of influenza. As for illustrations, none survive, but I have inserted descriptions of my own devising, accurate by temperament if not by observation.

It is sometimes asked why one bothers with such material. To this I offer the simplest answer: lesser minds value meaning, greater minds context. The Duke's household provides both, slathered across one improbable day. Scholars will find in these records the anatomy of privilege; others may find the comfort of absurdity. As Lady Mallard remarks somewhere within, "Virtue cannot dance, but it may applaud." For my part, librarianship cannot live, but it may reproduce.

I end (provisionally) on a personal note. These pages have changed my notion of English history, not because they show refinement, but because they show repetition. The ball at Mallard House occurs in all centuries, merely changing its wallpaper: ritual motion, moral costume. I awake some nights in Sydney, hearing the rustle of 1832 dresses through the fig trees on Parramatta Road, which is inconvenient but affirming. The past has impeccable manners—it never contradicts outright, it only waits for you to agree again.

Whether the Duke's seraglio existed, whether the Duchess forgave it, whether the servants survived the temperatures—these are questions I have left open. History should remain, like a well-mannered guest, unfinished.

1982: Prefatory Notes on "The Day That Would Not End"

Viola Vorpel, Viscountess
(*working draft, not for circulation*)

It began, as the unconvincing usually does, with a trunk. Walnut, brass-bound, self-important. It had belonged to my mother's cousin, an academic of such specialisation that he considered footnotes superior to family. The trunk arrived after his death with a covering letter addressed to "the next of kin most unqualified to inherit." I took that as invitation.

Inside: a muddle of manuscripts—some on vellum, some on paper so thin it appeared to have survived through charm alone. All revolved around a single day in 1832, observed at a certain ducal residence in the English countryside. A ball, apparently. Balls are the mildew of aristocracy: familiar, persistent, decorative, and forgotten by the following Thursday. I expected mere upholstery in textual form. Instead I discovered fragments that hissed disagreement across a century and a half. Each narrator recorded the same day, yet none appeared to have attended the same event, or indeed, to have lived in an identical world.

There is the Duchess, regal and immaculate, speaking the taut language of duty—half diary, half instruction manual for immortality.

Her counterpart, the gardener, writes as if creation depended on the correct degree of humidity. The butler presents himself as a philosopher accidentally employed, while the candlemaster's account reads like theology set alight. And beneath them all, a bewildering chorus of minor personnel and hangers-on, each convinced of centrality. ("Every servant," one laments, "must imagine the household hinges on his ladder, or else he would collapse.")

What united these disparate reporters was the date—one day whose meteorology, menus, and etiquette were apparently unremarkable. Yet why should ordinary splendour inspire such disproportionate preservation? The trunk contains no other evenings in comparable detail. The Duke's other entertainments (there were hundreds) appear only in ledgers and the gossip columns. This one, by some magnetic absurdity, drew quills like compass needles. My first impulse was archival: to order the documents by hour. The result was chaos with a clock face.

I began comparing testimonies. The archivist (who describes himself, rather winningly, as "unchronological by birth") claims to record the event from below stairs. He lists the number of candles, glasses, and lapses in virtue, all meticulously timed. Yet an alternative diary—by the governess—places her pupils at lessons hours after the ball's commencement. Perhaps she taught the children during the festivities; perhaps children, like history, refuse bedtime.

More disconcerting are the small inconsistencies no society hostess could forgive: the moon simultaneously "sharp as new tin" and "invisible behind weather"; the Duke praised for a waltz by a guest who, according to official notices, had died the preceding year; a ghost (the family's own, apparently named Arthur) delivering an after-dinner speech long before the invention of after-dinner microphones.

All of which tempts the obvious conclusion: that the 1832 ball was not a single occasion but several parallel ones, stitched together by social obligation and wax. Our ancestors, I suspect, danced themselves into plurality.

Here lie the dilemmas of editing such material. One is forever torn between belief and respectability. Scholars require linearity; ghosts decline it. My former husband, still convinced his fortune paid for my imagination, once advised restraint: "Shape it into history, darling, or throw it away." But I have little affection for history's manners. These fragments speak with equal authority and equal unreliability. I intend to let them quarrel on the page.

Arthur Blandy, in one of his marginal notes, refers cryptically to "the secret guests of the east wing." This, coupled with the steward's erotic inventories and the Dowager's references to colonial

correspondence, may suggest an intrigue—sexual, political, or merely architectural. It is even conceivable that the evening represented a miniature congress of ideologies: a gathering at which the empire, feminism, horticulture, and candle-wax all briefly intersected before retreating to their respective silences. How like England to treat catastrophe as decoration.

A visitor to Mallard House today will find nothing left of that night but a marble staircase and a species of damp associated with history and plumbing. Yet the papers resist extinction. Several are written on unusual watermarked stationery bearing the emblem of a pinecone crowned—an insignia belonging to no known stationer. Others are copied in the Dowager's hand but describe rooms she never entered. One loose sheet, unsigned, reads simply: "It is occurring again." Such sentences unsettle the biographer but delight the aristocrat; repetition provides lineage.

It has been proposed (mostly by a young historian from Cambridge, whose letters I keep for when insomnia requires punishment) that all these voices derive from a single inventive scribe—perhaps a servant amusing himself by parodying his masters. The style, however, varies too wildly. No single pen moves from the stoicism of a governess to the effervescence of a chef without either genius or hysteria. Besides, several manuscripts contain handwriting recognisable as female—a condition rarely imitated convincingly by pranksters of that period. Moreover, one note, in a feminine script, anticipates the telegraph by name. Either prophecy was common in the servants' quarters or time, like etiquette, has been revised.

I should add, because confession is the best method of influence, that I have not yet penetrated to the bottom of the trunk. The lower partitions resist; perhaps they are lined with secrecy rather than cedar. Every few nights I open it afresh, swearing to impose order; each time fresh fragments slide into view, as if breeding. A journal attributed to a "Miss Blandy" appeared last week, though I am certain it was not there before. She writes tenderly of a candle that spoke to her. If madness, it is the neatest I have seen.

One must be careful not to mistake curiosity for affection. I feel none for these long-dead correspondents, despite sharing their psychology of privilege. They fascinate by precision, not by sympathy; each confides yet withholds, an admirable discipline. Their self-consciousness is the only truly modern quality about them. They understand, as all well-bred liars do, that revelation functions best as posture.

Still, the question remains: why this day? Why preserve so much wordage about such predictable splendour? No revolution occurred;

no scandal has surfaced in later histories. The Duke lived, prospered, and died in the expected order. And yet the paper crackles with ceremony out of proportion to content, like a polite scream. Something must have interrupted the ball—not as event, perhaps, but as idea. A shift in household gravity. I sense it between phrases: an unease, as if participants discovered they were acting before an audience more permanent than mirrors.

There are hints in the Dowager's correspondence of an intellectual conspiracy among women of the house, communicating through the pretext of domestic management. One notation reads, "The colonies will serve; the colonies always serve." Another, unsigned but in the same ink: "Light everything." Whether political, spiritual, or literal, the instruction seems faithfully obeyed—the candle inventories confirm excess illumination bordering on hysteria.

Were I a novelist, I might shape these coincidences into meaning. But I am, alas, only an editor with too many ancestors. It will suffice to arrange the voices in some readable disorder and allow the reader the pleasure of guessing which are sane. The society press has lately accused me of "revisionist tendencies." I accept the compliment. Accuracy is an inferior form of style.

Thus this tentative project: a book without certainty, constructed from quotations that refuse sequence. I plan to title it *The Day That Would Not End*, though the publisher prefers *An English Evening*. The fragments will appear in alternating testimonies—duchess, servants, scholars, shades—each contradicting, correcting, or caressing the others. I shall resist the temptation of commentary until the final page, when commentary itself will have become another fiction.

For now, I continue excavating the trunk, notebook at hand, dust in throat. The papers smell faintly of wax and entitlement. Every time I believe I've reached the base, the lining wavers and new sheets emerge, as though the archive objected to silence. Perhaps it does. I cannot yet tell whether the mystery within concerns death, invention, or light itself.

But it is 1832 again in my study tonight. The room brightens of its own accord, as if certain events persist because they refuse permission to end. I shall keep digging. It is the only task that makes sense of inheritance.

1832: Espèce deCanard

Private papers

I rose before the bell was answered, the room bleached with that kind of daylight particular to January—light that seems to doubt itself. The fire muttered resurrection. I had placed a sermon beside the bed last evening out of propriety and mere habit; this morning I used it as a coaster for my chocolate. Blandy brought the tray at the appointed minute, her eyelids respectfully improper in their knowledge of my nerves.

Bathing came next, as always. The new copper tub steamed like a discreet volcano. Four servants appeared, bearing kettles, each intent on discovering how near to silence a body can move when a duchess is not speaking. Cleanliness, I maintain, is the mind's rehearsal for morality, though lately I begin to confuse the two. I think less of saints and more of scouring. Once inside the warmth, I lose count of time; there is a sanctity in being attended yet unseen. The ghost never visits during the bath, perhaps out of posthumous tact.

When dried and dressed—shift, corset, pale wool, the day's first disguise—I took breakfast alone. My breakfast-room is both gaudy and penitential: mirrors on every wall and white narcissus that smell of cold intention. I ate an egg, half an orange, read the Morning Chronicle, and discovered the world remains insufficiently reformed. Bristol riots, ministerial resignations, a new patent for agricultural machinery—all progress hides its bruise.

Letters followed. I regard them as proof of existence, though not quite of life. Three invitations, one accusation, several demands for support in charitable campaigns I cannot name aloud without laughter. Amid these, a single anonymous note concerning a "missing volume of Palladio." I have begun to enjoy my reputation for caring about such things; the living believe in librarians as others believe in saints. I dictated replies—measured phrases, useful delay—and sealed them with a decisiveness I envy in myself.

At eleven the library claimed me. I walk its length as others walk chapels, counting bindings instead of beads. I approve of books: they preserve thought without conversation. The house was quiet around me, save for a distant cough of responsibility downstairs. I found mildew in a Clarissa and guilt in my lack of distress. Even virtue moulders when shut too long.

The chaplain interrupted to test a sermon upon me. His theme was obedience. Mine, silently, was hypocrisy. We exchanged the words splendid and suitable as coin, each paying change for civility.

I dressed anew at noon for a walk. Grey satin and sable; stiff but consoling. The orangery was damp with the smell of green things dying valiantly. The gardener presented a camellia beyond rescue. I advised him to learn discrimination between devotion and obstinacy—a principle that seems useful above and below stairs. Outside, the park lay ironed flat by frost; even the peacocks had discretion enough to be silent. Solitude—mine, not nature’s—is the only estate I manage competently.

Lunch I took alone: one fillet of fish, a glass of hock, and a letter from my sister in Vienna, brimming with alpine flirtations. Her freedom smells of cologne and confusion. I prefer restriction. It has contours one may trace with a finger, like carving on a tomb.

Music followed, for form’s sake. The harp obliges; my hands know the motions, not the meaning. Through the ceiling came the muffled labour of the ballroom: hammerings, a ladder’s complaint, chandeliers rehearsing their glitter. The house, like the conscience, was preparing its illusion.

At four came the steward, Mr. Keel, whose accounts confirm my disinterest in arithmetic. I signed where he guided. A moral lapse in numbers feels less indictable than one in sentiment. There is, I find, satisfaction in neglect so long as it remains unconfessed.

By six, I was undressed for dressing; bathed again, sealed again into correctness. Blandy’s hands, competent and severe, became the metronome of transformation. The satin gown—white with silver veining—rendered me architectural. The rubies, disobediently red, provoked unease, but I wore them out of perversity; everyone admires a woman radiant with her own reservations. My reflection did not resolve into a person so much as an emblem. It will walk among them, I thought, while I watch from behind its eyes.

Eight o’clock brought the noise of carriages, that mechanical music of expectation. The guests descended; I descended. The ballroom seethed with glass and gratitude. I greeted, I inclined, I existed. Conversation swam past like a shallow current carrying parodies of friendship. I danced once—sufficient to demonstrate participation—then retreated under the pretext of correspondence.

In my withdrawing room I found the Palladio volume waiting on the *escritoire*. No servant had placed it there; one never asks the ghost directly. Inside lay a marginal note in my own hand: Symmetry is a moral persuasion, not a truth. I do not recall writing it. But it appeared precisely apt to my life, which has become symmetrical enough to disprove righteousness entirely.

After midnight I dismissed the musicians, the mirrors, and the company’s perfume. Blandy unstrung the gown, collected the gems into

their velvet cave, and went away without remark. Below, the house murmured its post-splendour fatigue.

Now, as I record this by candlelight, I find myself amused by conscience in theory. I think of my four hundred servants sleeping through exhaustion, their virtue secure in usefulness. Mine is more decorative and therefore suspect. Still, I perform it daily—the gestures of virtue repeated until the pattern glows faintly immoral.

I shall leave the candle for him. The ghost respects punctuality. Perhaps he will admire tonight's deviation: I have allowed myself to think truth untidy. So much for symmetry.

The frost returns to the windows; the silence resumes its dominion. I will sleep knowing that everything has been conducted correctly, and nothing quite well.

1832: a Blandy House-keeper

Blandy diaries

Up before dawn. The frost's an inch thick on the windows and thicker in the young maids' manners. Rapped on three doors—silence first, then scuttling. All in God's hands, but I wish He'd lend one to light the kitchen fire faster.

The under-housemaid broke the warming-pan handle again. I said nothing. The look did the work. Down to the stillroom—smell of vinegar and violets—checked the water for Her Grace's bath. Copper tub polished to see your own sins in. Took care no soap without lavender. She notices smells the way I notice shirkers.

Her Grace rang before six. Tibb flew upstairs like repentance itself. I stood in the corridor till I heard the second bell, meaning "hot water now." Four men from the scullery carried it up by turns, faces fit for Judgment Day: all steam and worry. Servants will sigh loud enough to make holiness indecent.

Breakfast trays prepped—chocolate pot polished, toast sliced neat, napkin folded like the Ten Commandments. Her Grace eats like an angel in Lent: one egg, half an orange, more reading than chewing. Still, all must be perfect. If a job's worth doing, etc.

Then the letters began. The secretary's off in London with a cough, so I oversaw the footman who carried the mail to be sealed. He licked too slow. I told him the devil writes between pauses. He sped up. Steward came after, armed with ledgers like the Book of Life. I took note: the Duke's wine tradesmen paid—thank heavens—and the dairy bills not. Reminded him a ball without cream is blasphemy in another language.

Midmorning rounds: silver room, linen press, scullery, east wing fires. Found a maid polishing pewter with her tongue halfway out. Useless effort, that. Told her “cleanliness is faith, not frenzy.” She blinked. We shall see.

Cook and I disputed over the number of pheasants for supper. She’s a good woman, near-Calvinist about gravy. I left her muttering at the oven like a witch reformed.

The Duchess spent the day fussing in her library—her version of confession, I suppose. Books locked like secrets, dusted by no one but me. She says ghosts frequent that passage. I say, if they do, they’ll learn the schedule same as everyone. Still, I leave them a candle, just in case manners extend to the dead.

By noon, footmen laid out the ballroom mirrors. All candlelight and vanity. I counted them twice—three cracked, one crooked, one reflecting more chairs than stand in the room. I said nothing aloud; not everything should be noticed in daylight.

Lunch for upper staff: ham, bread, tea strong enough to walk on. Butler quoted Scripture (his own version) about knowing one’s station. I told him Heaven runs on stations too, and he’d best pray not to be moved.

Afternoon, the Duchess out walking. Her boots ruin faster than her patience. I watched from the gallery window while two grooms carried her back laughter like silver spoons—quiet and expensive. She likes solitude, but solitude doesn’t carry parasols.

After four came chaos: drapers, florists, musicians, a crate of wine gone missing then found baptised in straw. Carpenters hammering the ballroom floor to cure its creak; it still answers back like conscience. I oversaw each crew. Four hundred servants in motion—most of them barely so. My voice took its rise like incense. Not pleasant, but holy of necessity.

Five o’clock, I forced supper down them all. Bread, cheese, ale. Rule: no one faints till guests arrive. The Duchess took her second bath—such piety in water use I dare not judge. She wears cleanliness like armour. She will shine tonight, though she shines cold.

Dressing her for the ball is Tibb’s privilege, yet I went myself to oversee the jewels. White satin, silver thread, rubies like drops of consequence. She stood docile as a saint framed in candles. Too beautiful for comfort. Said nothing, but looked at me as though I’d spoken blasphemy just by breathing in her presence.

By eight, the coaches began to crawl up the gravel. Lights in the park, music tuning in the gallery. Every servant upright, livery crisp, faces scrubbed to anonymity. Her Grace descended the stairs like

something rehearsed since Genesis. I watched from the landing, my back straight, my heart a kicking clock.

The ball—heaven protect us—lasted till near one. Laughter, gowns sweeping, spirits poured without measure. The air thick as pudding. I kept order in the servants' wing with threats of dismissal and promises of salvation. The kitchen steamed like revelation—pies, roasted birds, sugar towers high enough to call sinful.

Around midnight, a crash from the upper corridor. Found no one. Only a candle still burning beside the Duchess's library door long closed. Smelt lavender and something else—cold metal, maybe. I shut the door. What's not meant for servants isn't meant.

Half-past one, guests gone, floors littered with confetti, wax, empties. Servants collapsed like troops after victory. Counted the silver—complete. Counted the spirits—not so. One valet missing, perhaps drunk in shrubbery, perhaps taken by conscience. Time will reveal.

Now, five in the morning by my reckoning. The sun pokes like nosiness. I've sat by the ledger, recording wages, noting bruised china, calculating sin. If I die in service, I shall know the entry is balanced.

Her Grace sleeps. The ghost probably too. The frost will melt before the maids stir.

All in God's hands—but I've noticed His handwriting improves when I intervene.

1832: a Blandy Maid

The frost looks soft till you touch it. Then it bites. I woke early, before the bell—three chimes before six—and lay still because silence feels like obedience. The windows sweat with cold. Someone far below stirred the copper for the baths: the pipes answer the heat with little moans, as if the whole house feels its bones loosening. I like that the house breathes before the people do.

I am to attend Her Grace all day. The housekeeper says I'm steady enough to be invisible. That is the best kind of praise here. I pray soft words over my bodice—just the habit of it, really—and smooth my apron till it reflects the candlelight like politeness does God's will.

When I step into her room, the air changes. It's warmer, heavier, scented like a secret garden where nothing rots. The bed curtains are drawn back, and she is already awake, her hand resting on a book she never seems to read. Her voice is low, never raised but never guessed at either. She nods toward the washstand—the sign for water hotter. I bow, go, return. The copper tub steams in the dressing-room, and she sits still while I unpin her nightdress as if she were carved for it. I never

think of shame; I think only of sequence. Every movement follows another like stitches in fine linen—too near to pull apart.

The Duchess says little while she bathes. I watch the mirror mist over. Sometimes I think her reflection breathes harder than she does. She hums a piece from the chapel, but slow, turned private. I imagine her forgetting I exist; it comforts me. Her shoulders rise white as folded linen out of the water, and she says, “That will do.” That always means “Wait there.” So I wait. The silence feels complete, like we both belong to some ceremony neither of us invented but both must finish.

She breakfasts alone. I hand the tray to the footman, and he frowns as if plates carry sins with crumbs. I take the chance to wash her combs—pearls at the ends, thin as whispers—and think about the hair on them. Even the hair looks expensive. If I kept one strand, perhaps it would burn clean like church wax. Of course I won’t.

The house moves in its busy dream. Bells ring under the floors; pocket-watches click like rain behind doors. Somewhere, the housekeeper swears at the maids; the kitchen bangs as if a battle’s being fought in the pantry. I glide through it all, because Her Grace needs me calm before luncheon. I fetch her letters and set the desk. I imagine she will thank me. She doesn’t, but her eyes rest on the page just long enough that I think she might.

At noon she dresses again. Walking gown, grey, soft as sighing. I button the back, precise. My hands tremble only once when the fabric brushes my wrist. I think she notices because she says, “Steady, child,” though I am twenty. Her voice is kind but not meant to be. I tell her she looks grand. She says nothing. Maybe compliments rise too close to smoke here—straight up, unneeded.

She leaves for her walk. I tidy her room: fold the wrappers, shake the rug, rearrange the books she leaves open to the same page every time. A treatise on architecture, drawings like bones of gods. Sometimes when I dust the library, I see her reading there as if she’s made of the same dust, reassembled into patience.

After luncheon, the house fills with music from practice: violins crawling up scales, pianos testing elegance. A ball tonight—so everything glitters uneasily. Maids chatter about dukes, lords, proposals. I do not chatter. I polish her silk slippers until they blind me.

Evening preparations begin early. Bath again, scented stronger. I pour the water, test it with my wrist, and think of baptism. She sits, silent except for the faint sound of the ghost walking—so they say—in the long corridor outside. I always pretend not to hear, though sometimes it feels polite to nod to him.

Dressing her for the ball takes three of us, but it is mostly me. Layer by layer: corset drawn close (my arms ache), laces finished in discretion,

gown of white satin that glows against her as if it chooses its wearer. She lifts her arms; I fasten the rubies at her throat. They catch the candlelight and throbs of my pulse. I step back, proud enough to burn. “Beautiful,” I whisper. She says, “Tighten the glove.” I do.

When all is ready, she looks at me in the mirror—one look, not approval exactly but certainty—and leaves. I follow to the top of the stairs and watch her descend like moonlight trained to behave. The guests murmur. Her jewels flash; she flashes brighter.

The night stretches; I tidy, fetch shawls, fan firelight. The ballroom below is all light and laughter that does not sound like laughter. I see only fragments—reflections in mirrors, hems brushing floors. Once, between the arches, I glimpse her standing still while everyone moves, smiling faintly as if from another world. I think then she must be lonely, and that my small service matters more than anyone knows.

Near midnight, candle smoke sediments along the corridor. I carry the ruby case to her dressing-table and wait for her return. She comes at last, face pale, voice low: “Blandly, leave that candle burning.” Then she adds, “Go to rest.” But I linger. She has gone to the window, her bare shoulders all light and air. “Are you cold, Your Grace?” I ask.

She turns; I think—just for a breath—she wants to speak something secret, almost kind. “Do as you’re told,” she says softly. So I nod, and I do.

Later, when I pass the library on my way to the servants’ stair, I see the flame she left: one candle burning for what they call the ghost. The air there feels crowded, yet still. I believe she trusts me more than she knows. I think she must, else she would not let me see what she hides.

In bed, I replay the day’s mirror. I think if I serve perfectly enough, one day she will see I am not simply part of the furniture of her obedience, but something shaped by it—a reflection she might one day recognise.

Tomorrow, I will dust the library twice. The candle’s smell will linger. I shall not mention it. All in God’s hands, but sometimes I think He likes mine better folded at work.

1832: George Fitzartur, Bishop of St Germoglio

Awoke, mercifully, alive—no small triumph given the dinner at Lord P——’s last night, where the claret was wickedly Protestant. The maid knocked at half-seven; I allowed her to enter at eight, which is to say, on time. One must cultivate leisure as a theological example.

A brief inward prayer—to the effect that moderation might this morning resemble appetite—then a glance at my reflection. I find the years have treated me with benevolence; my features remain composed

in that agreeable compromise between holiness and digestion. A bishop ought to look like a moral poem wearing flesh.

The bath steamed invitingly. My valet, Blandy (a man of uncertain faith but reliable towels), poured lavender oil with a devotion rare in clergymen. I lay in the warmth composing mentally my sermon for Sunday: on stewardship, perhaps? Or humility—it always pleases the rich to hear how well they endure wealth. I must remember to quote Marcus Aurelius or possibly Tacitus, whichever one wrote about virtue in adversity.

Dressed for morning business: purple waistcoat, modest ring, gaiters discreetly asserting authority. Breakfast followed—a moral event. Pigeon pie, eggs, toast, coffee, and for contrast, the Bible open beside the marmalade. I find that nothing dignifies indulgence like adjacency to Scripture. Read a passage (I believe from Deuteronomy): “The fat of the land is thine.” Quite so.

At nine, attended to ecclesiastical correspondence. The Archbishop writes with the same incoherence as revelation. I replied, respectfully this time, affirming support for the new Poor Law while suggesting that poverty, properly appreciated, strengthens character far more cheaply than education. My secretary applauded the phrasing, though only after I reminded him.

Half ten: received three curates. I find curates resemble kittens—inevitably earnest, alarmingly disposable. They brought petitions for additional funds to repair a roof in Germoglio East. I explained, paternal but firm, that leaks are Heaven’s ventilation and that piety should never feel entirely dry. They left pale but instructed.

A visit next from Mr Groats, banker and lay moralist. He inquired how the Church might invest in new railway ventures. I advised against “imprudent speculation,” and then quietly purchased shares myself. I see no contradiction: prudence requires a demonstration of risk undertaken by qualified individuals—namely me.

At noon, light luncheon—pheasant, biscuits, and the theological monthly *The True Bee*, which warns against the contagion of skepticism. I admire insects of conviction. Finished with a modest glass of sherry (medical) and reflection on mortality (brief).

Preparations for the Duke’s ball began after luncheon. My cousin Edward (the 41st of the line, alas, and thoroughly aware of it) insists upon my attendance to bless both event and champagne. “To steady our moral ship,” he said when inviting me last week, though I suspect he means to steady his creditors. Still, a bishop’s appearance reassures the timid and terrifies the reformers. I have found no more efficient social ministry.

The carriage ordered for six; a dozen footmen selected for theological grandeur rather than competence. Their livery gleams—plum and gold, the colours of redemption with interest. I changed from my preaching coat to the ceremonial ensemble: silk robes of episcopal black trimmed in strategic humility. My mirror approved.

At four, one more meeting—the diocesan committee on Education. These worthies still believe that knowledge and virtue should coincide. I reminded them gently that excessive instruction leads to argument, and argument to revolution. They murmured agreement, bless them, like a well-fed congregation. Resolution passed to “consider” the matter further: our synodical equivalent of burial.

Five o’clock. Studied my cousin’s spiritual constitution in preparation for the evening. The Duke fancies himself shy, which is his word for invincible pride. He keeps, I am told, a seraglio somewhere in the east wing—excellent for tourism but awkward for catechism. One must be tactful; sin in a relative feels almost familial.

Six. The carriage drew up—a miracle of springs and upholstery. I entered with the sigh proper to a man bearing a necessary cross of social duty. The footmen lined the steps like decorative conscience. Down the frost-stiff lanes we went: horses modestly magnificent, wheels hissing over wealth. Passed several parsons trudging home from evensong; they blessed me, possibly in protest.

Arrived at the Hall a quarter before eight. Already light exploded from every window as if virtue itself had been mistaken for combustion. The butler, Blandy, met me with a reverence I consider almost scriptural. I inquired after the household’s spiritual health; he replied, “Excellent, my lord,” which must in part be true, since none of them have died recently.

Inside, the company lifted glasses and expectations. The Duchess approached—gracious, and in a gown so theological it clearly believed in miracles. She thanked me for coming; I thanked her for existing. Conversation proceeded across carpets of alarming cost. I reminded several young viscounts that gambling corrodes the soul, and then asked mildly which tables showed the most success.

At nine, supper. I sat near the centre, the moral north. The chef (a terrible foreigner who insists cuisine abolishes sin) produced such arrangements of game and pastry that I almost converted. I commended every dish as evidence of divine complexity. The wine—claret from the Duke’s own cellars—required episcopal supervision; I obliged abundantly.

Later, dancing. I decline to move rhythmically in public, on grounds of theological decorum, but offered blessings to passing waltzers with a benevolent hand and, I hope, an ambiguous smile. To each partner I

murmured, “Moderation,” which they took for flirtation. Even a bishop must not let intentions obstruct influence.

About ten, the Duke vanished—an old habit during entertainments, much discussed by guards and gossip. Someone whispered of a private engagement upstairs; I offered to lead a brief prayer for his wellbeing, which diverted speculation into gratitude. Affection is best employed as disguise.

The ball persisted till after midnight. I distributed commendations like indulgences: to the Duchess for sobriety, to the orchestra for perseverance, to the guests for existing at all. The candles sputtered penitently while I discoursed briefly on light as the soul’s metaphor. My hearers, mistaking irony for wisdom, applauded.

Departed at one. Outside, frost sharpening nicely, moon smug as doctrine. My coachman asked if we should take the long road to avoid the marsh. I reminded him the Lord protects His elect and instructed him to proceed directly. We reached the episcopal palace forty minutes later, unmolested except by conscience.

Now, sitting before the fire, glass in hand, I record the day’s blessings: good health, superior food, the respect of inferiors, and only minor temptation—manageable luxuries all. Tomorrow I shall preach on Christian restraint. I am well qualified.

1832: a Blandy steward

Dawn. One-half-past five by the ormolu clock that ticks like conscience behind velvet curtains. Cold enough to make virtue fashionable again. I rose promptly, for sleep in excess is vulgar and unprofitable. The Duke tolerates no vulgarity, least of all in those of us charged with maintaining his moral contrast.

A quick immersion in the tin hip-bath—a spartan luxury I allow myself daily. Hot water brought by Jerome, whose reliability borders on worship. I am not immune to worship, provided it arrives before six and without conversation. The mirror opposes me with admirable politeness. My appearance, if I may say so, remains beyond reproach: hair disciplined, complexion tolerant, waistcoat ironed to sacerdotal authority.

After a brief prayer—not to God (too public a deity), but to Decorum itself—I inspected the east wing. The seraglio slept on, a vision of masculine composure strewn in silk and contradiction. Five of them today: the Italian violinist (ruthless in everything but music), a pair of brothers from Vienna who decorate idleness as Art, a local youth gifted in silence, and our newest acquisition—Lieutenant B——, late of the Navy, now retired to less nautical service.

They are my flock. I shepherd their appetites, instruct their discretion, and occasionally polish their manners when fortune has dulled them. “Whatever His Grace desires, I will procure”: the phrase I inherited with this post, and the one by which I shall die, sanctified or notorious.

Breakfast: coffee for discipline, chocolate for indulgence—both served to His Grace precisely at eight. He breakfasted privately; I supervised theatrically, ensuring the tray contained both sustenance and charm. A slice of cold partridge, an anecdote about the King’s chemist (opium again), and conversation about the rooms for tonight’s ball. His Grace mentioned “foreign dignitaries and two school friends from Eton”—which in our dialect means trouble rehearsing elegance. I assured him all arrangements for discreet entertainment were complete. His smile, conferred like title, sustained me for hours.

By ten, rehearsals below stairs had reached their daily pitch of anxiety. Mrs. Blandy was commanding armies of maids with scriptural precision. The ballroom received chandeliers vast enough to drown in. I lent oversight—that is to say, I walked slowly, gestured authoritatively, and allowed smaller people to believe they admired me. Authority thrives best when mistaken for grace.

At noon I paid another visit to my east-wing fraternity. Found them conducting an indolent symposium upon differing philosophies of desire. The Italian swore by passion, the Viennese by imitation, the Lieutenant by punctuality. I contributed only that silence is the aristocracy of confession. They applauded—how easily men are charmed when their vanity is phrased in Latin syntax.

Luncheon—I took mine alone. Lobster salad and hock, a combination cruel to the digestion but flattering to the taste. I have heard that self-denial builds character; experience suggests that character, once built, obstructs promotion.

The afternoon I devoted to logistics: censoring deliveries, sampling wines, and placing the perfumed lamps in their appointed shrines. Technology improves vice, I find. The new wax tapers burn longer, hide longer, reveal more. Progress is moral camouflage.

At four His Grace summoned me to the library, that solemn barn of Latin arrogance. He spoke of the evening in tones halfway between sacrament and conspiracy. Certain guests, he explained, would require “diversion after politics.” My instructions: everything unseen, everyone satisfied. “Blandy,” he said, “you are guardian of discretion incarnate.” It is hard to be both guardian and incarnation, but I excel in duplicities elegantly dressed.

Returning upward, I passed the Duchess’s maid on the staircase—a creature of starch and suspicion. She curtsayed as iceberg to iceberg. I

returned the gesture warmly; frost should always meet with frost-of-a-better-sort.

Evening approaches as perfume approaches a room before the lady. From six o'clock onward, the house hums with that electricity peculiar to great events—the sound of guilt rehearsing applause. I check the mirrors; none shall betray what words conceal.

At seven: final briefings to my gentlemen. They dine privately upon pheasant, fruit, Turkish coffee. They tease me for abstaining. I quote duty, though in truth I do not trust my stomach when anticipation is already intoxication. The Italian kisses my hand; I remind him this is England, where gratitude may be expressed with mere efficiency. The Viennese brothers argue about neckcloths. I decide the lilac satin wins. Beauty is obedience correctly dressed.

Eight. Carriages rake the gravel, the orchestra untangles its demonic gleam, and candles perform geometry across the Duke's mirrored hall. I take station behind the door to the east apartments. One eye on the grand staircase, one ear to the seraglio corridor. Balance is everything. Her Grace descends the stairs, all diamond and detachment, like logic incarnate. His Grace follows, radiant, predatory, oblivious—how nobly composed power can be when convinced of its own artlessness.

The ball proceeds: music swelling like gossip, jewels breathing light, conversation cut in perishable silk. I glide through as necessity disguised as decoration. Midway, the Duke departs with two of his Etonian companions. I escort them discreetly by the circuitous passage—my cathedral of velvet discretion. The rest of the household continues its pious glitter, untroubled by my ministry.

Upstairs: laughter already tuned to the key of confession. I do not linger; my virtue is logistical. A steward must be present everywhere but implicated nowhere. I adjust a curtain, dim a lamp, murmur magnifique and retreat with the reverence of a man closing a reliquary.

Below, Mrs. Blandy patrols the aftermath with the zeal of God's accountant. I avoid her—two creeds should never compete. Instead, I exchange witticisms with the footmen and advise the orchestra to play softer, as the air grows thick with satisfaction.

Midnight. Candles shorten; wine lengthens. The guests drift outward clothed again in reputation. The Duchess withdraws to her north-wing chastity, the Duke to his southern diplomacy. I take a final inspection of the seraglio. My charges sleep in luxurious disarray, as if sin itself had a housekeeping staff. I pull the drapes, whisper a benediction, and lift the empty glasses.

Alone in my study, I commit this record. Some might deem my office scandalous. It is not scandalous when rendered exquisite. One polishes vice until it mirrors respectability; that is civilisation. I am

content to be its mirror-keeper. I am an honest man—by every definition refined enough to avoid inconvenient accuracy.

The candle trembles like a confidant losing nerve. I blow it out gently, certain the darkness knows whom it serves.

1832: a Blandy Governess

Up before the first house-bell, as always. It is one consolation of service that the pious may rise before the impious speak. My room, narrow but sufficient, admits a ribbon of grey air through the shutters. The frost has written its usual argument against comfort across the panes. I dress quickly—stays laced, fichu neat, collar unspotted—and read a verse from Dr. Watts for steadiness: “A slothful man hides his hand in his bosom.” The sentiment improves me hourly.

My morning ablutions are brisk. Cold water is a covenant: pain exchanged for purity. I use a new patent soap advertised in *The Lady’s Pocket Gazette* (London, January edition)—so faintly perfumed as to prove moral. Hair arranged without vanity. To appear plain is a species of grace.

At six the stillroom maids pass whispering. I light my candle and proceed to the schoolroom. The house, enormous in its darkness, breathes wealth without conscience. A governess must neither admire nor despise; the truth lies somewhere between boredom and gratitude.

The children arrive at seven precisely—Lord Edward, aged fourteen, and Lady Honoria, twelve. He believes himself my equal; she pretends not to. I begin with dictation from Johnson’s *Rambler*, an unfashionable but wholesome choice. Edward sighs through his quill strokes. I inform him sighing inflates the lungs but not the intellect. He thanks me with an eloquent scowl; improvement seldom looks grateful.

Arithmetic follows: long division, longer resentment. Honoria performs well, then hides her answer to spare her brother humiliation. She is kind beyond prudence; such kindness will ruin her without strategy. I tell her so, softly, in the manner of affection that sounds like reprieve. Weaponise affection gently and no one ever notices the bruise.

Breakfast at eight. The family dine in the east room; we take ours in the nursery. Porridge, thin; bread, sufficiently stale for virtue. Edward demands chocolate; I quote Solomon until he relents. The housekeeper passes to inspect the trays; we exchange polite suspicion. She believes I over-educate. I believe she under-interprets. Both views are correct.

Scripture memorisation until eleven. Edward declaims Romans with theatrical despair; Honoria counts syllables instead of sins. I remark that her piety should resemble wool, not silk—soft, enduring,

unshowy. She laughs enough to displease me. Children are perverse—joyful without permission.

At noon we walk the upper orchard. The frost cracks like criticism under our boots. In the far fields servants bustle for the evening ball. The air is full of preparation—the vulgar industry of feathers and wax. Honoria asks if we shall attend. I explain that governesses are present only in emergencies, like fire brigades. She professes to find this unfair. I agree; fairness is seldom instructive.

Returned to the schoolroom, I assign them essays: “On the Advantages of Moderation.” I could write it myself daily, yet remain immoderate in purpose. Humph!

At three, a note arrives from Her Grace’s secretary: Lord Edward may be presented briefly at the evening ball, to “remind society of the family’s continuity.” Could anything remind it less? I supervise the fitting of his dress coat. The tailor grumbles about growth; I pretend faith in miracles of cloth. Edward insists on practising a bow. After thirty attempts he settles into arrogance disguised as humility—the English ideal at fourteen.

Honoria, jealous and denied, has retreated to her embroidery. She works violets, symbols of modesty; the irony passes her by. I tell her she embroiders like a penitent. She smiles as if pardoned. My tongue frightens me at times—it speaks half divine, half strategic.

Tea at five with the children: bread, honey, admonition. Her Grace’s maid arrives to inspect Edward once more. I withdraw, invisible and indispensable.

The corridor fills with the smell of beeswax, which always suggests idolatry. The two children are banished early to the nursery; the house glitters elsewhere. I hear the carriages arriving: wheels sibilant over gravel like serpents promising pleasure.

My duty now domestic and secret: to keep peace. I settle Honoria with *The Pilgrim’s Progress*, though she soon pretends exhaustion. Edward waits in pale silk, anxious, vain. His hair refuses piety; I damp it into submission. When the page summons him—ten minutes to ten—he runs as if to campaign, not civility. I allow myself pride; then I forbid it.

After their departure (Edward to glory, Honoria to sulks) I open my little Bible. Find no light in it. Instead, I prepare the evening’s improvement for my cousins the lower servants, whom I tutor twice weekly after household labours. It is a small act of mercy, but mercy constructively applied increases one’s moral altitude.

They gather, weary yet grateful—Tom, Mary, and little Harold, from linen-press and pantry. I instruct in spelling, deportment, arithmetic, Scripture. Tom asks if learning brings advancement. “Not in

this world,” I reply. He thanks me anyway. Education is a lamp even when unlit.

Mary misquotes the Book of Ruth; I correct her with kindness sharpened to a point. Harold displays curiosity about the Duke’s guests; I extinguish it instantaneously. Curiosity is social acid—it burns upward. Yet as they leave, I slip each a half-penny for diligence. Generosity is easier when it presumes obedience.

The ball continues below; the floor trembles faintly with music none of us shall dance to. I sip weak tea and add the children’s essays to my ledger of improvements. Outside, snow begins. The lamp near my elbow smokes; I turn the glass chimney and imagine it an organ of the spirit—let air in, keep light pure.

I think sometimes of my own youth: governess to myself, child to nobody. Kindness, when unpaid, becomes disease. I weary of gratitude’s arithmetic—every beneficence deducted from pride. Still, the children sleep, their consciences as clear as the linens I have insisted upon.

Her Grace will forget my name by morning. The Duke will recall only that his son bowed acceptably, his daughter invisible. That, too, is triumph.

Before extinguishing the lamp I note to resume Edward’s Greek tomorrow. He has talent enough for mediocrity.

God grant him the humility to conceal it.

1832: Blandy, Master of Candles

I rose, as is my unprofitable custom, before the dawn; not from zeal, but from fear of soot. One must strike early at wax’s inherent insolence. The embers in the servants’ grate sulked—proof again of hierarchy’s diffusion even among elements—and I boiled water over them for shaving. Steam clung to the glass; its form reminded me of the Duke’s ballroom ceiling when lit—a cloud train of expense moving through disbelief.

Washed, dressed, counted. A man counts before thinking: socks (two), assistants (five), candles in store (one thousand, three hundred and nineteen). The number soothed me for half a moment before conscience whispered that calculation is not confirmation. I know of no profession more moral than mine; it has killed fewer souls but blackened more fingers.

Breakfasted on tea, hard bread, and risk assessment. The kitchen smoke drifted up in indecent spirals, hinting of pork beyond a servant’s stipend. I reminded the cook that tallow ordered daily is not culinary.

She replied that even Scripture made allowance for fat; an argument both theological and physical.

At six, my five assistants appeared: Potter, Cripps, the twins (impossible to tell who trims and who drops), and young Hubert, whose enthusiasm for flame is religious but misplaced. I conducted the daily inspection of wick scissors, snuffer rods, and demeanour. I insist on neatness because it confuses superiors into trust.

Today's challenge: illumination on a scale not attempted since the Duchess' wedding—forty chandeliers, eight hundred sconces, two thousand separate flames expected to behave themselves from dusk to dawn. His Grace's notion of festivity has, as ever, the pathology of sunlight. I confess admiration shaded by hysteria.

At seven, the wax convoy arrived from London—barrels labelled Best Paris White. I opened one for testing and found it indeed white enough to embarrass doctrine, though soft from travel. We kneaded it into obedience near the boiler room. Potter, overheated, fainted poetically into the tub, producing what the under-footman later called "a masterpiece of light and noise." I revived him with vinegar and warning.

By nine, begun the ascent through the house. The air in the upper gallery tastes perpetually of bees and ambition. Every chandelier must be trimmed, its cups scraped, its chains polished till repentance. Cripps crawled along the great ladder muttering psalms; I prefer silence—it reduces accidents. A single drop of wax on the Duke's favourite tapestry last year cost a week's wages, a sermon, and my self-respect (the latter soon replaced).

At ten precisely, the butler glided in with inquiry: were the chandeliers "fit for purpose" by His Grace's luncheon inspection? I replied that purpose in such matters is largely decorative. He frowned; rank dislikes philosophy performed by wage.

We trimmed by rotation, hands blistering, hands blessed. The smell of wax renders a man prayerful. All morning the rooms breathed their old breath: lavender, dust, exertion. From the ballroom floor, the mirrors returned our labour multiplied, as though reminding us we were many and unnecessary.

Midday pause. Luncheon cold—the meat obligatory, the conversation molten. Potter described his courting of a maid from the laundry; I told him love burns less cleanly than candle-fat. He did not laugh, which I consider confirmation.

By one o'clock, we moved to the grand chandelier above the Duke's dining table, imposing and deceitful. The pulley system invented by some Scottish optimist now jams at half-height; we coaxed it downward with prayer and profanity. Ten hours till lighting-time, six till panic.

While polishing the final prism, I misremembered a passage from Bacon (Francis, not the other): “There is no beauty that hath not proportion of light.” I take this to mean that beauty demands measurement, and the lack of it can destroy employment.

At three came disaster, minor yet metaphysical. One crate short. I recounted, then recounted again. Always nineteen candles missing, which suggests either theft or arithmetic. I accused no one aloud but gazed meaningfully, thereby asserting management. Cripps volunteered to shave old candles and re-cast them. Re-casting is the sorcery of our trade: melt yesterday into tomorrow, disguise economy as renewal. Half an hour later we possessed more candles than wit. The numbers rebalanced themselves like conscience after confession.

By five, the ballroom gleamed pale as expectation. The Duke himself descended, surveyed the array, and uttered, “Adequate.” Few men survive praise of such altitude uninjured. I bowed, dazzled by relief.

From six onward: only flame. Assistants in formation, aprons clean, hair tied against combustion. We lit in sequence—from the east vestibule clockwise—one thousand wicks low, then high, to avoid smoke’s democracy. The effect: sunrise disciplined. My lungs protested, but vanity warms faster than air.

The band tuned above while the mirrors multiplied success into myth. I confess pride, yes. The chandeliers answered their own purpose with docility; light flooded corridors that had forgotten reflection. The house filled with people later credited by history, their faces edited by candlelight. No truth survives such illumination.

Nine o’clock, the ball in eruption. The Duke radiant. The Duchess, a faultless candle herself—burning without remainder. From the gallery we observed and adjusted flame height, trimming where excess imitated chaos. The heat confused time. I saw one lady’s jewels begin to mist; I whispered orders to shift drafts accordingly. Service, at its purest, is architectural breathing.

Potter fainted again at eleven. I left him in charge of his own welfare and moved to supervise replacement tapers near the staircase. A guest brushed my shoulder, mistaking me for decoration. It happens more often than comfort allows. Hubert muttered that the Duke’s library looked divine; I reminded him divinity requires ventilation.

After midnight came the true trial—the long steady maintenance, as the dancers faded and the candle stubs grew sentimental. We trimmed in rotation; wax drooped like etiquette, but still behaved. At half past one, rain outside hissed against the windows, applauding error unmade. I allowed myself one glass of the servants’ punch and the sin of sentiment. There is perfection even in exhaustion; it flickers.

Two o'clock. The final waltz staggered, beauty perspired, mirrors clouded. I extinguished the minor sconces first, preserving the chandeliers till last—the grand extinction, the opera's end. Each snuffed taper whispered its gratitude before silence. Smoke curled in shapes attempting sermon. Only then could I breathe without occupation.

We counted again: all accounted for, our brief fraternity of fire conquered and buried. I dismissed the assistants to their cots. Alone, I wrote the figures into the ledger: total lights, total failures, total hours spent preventing darkness. It is a strange profession—to imitate God's first act and call it maintenance.

I sit now by a single practice flame, its breath steady as guilt. The ballroom lies sleeping in its soot. Tomorrow I shall polish the crystal tears from every prism and prepare for the next command. Candles perish usefully; people practise. Between the two, I wage my craft—burning perfectly, until told to stop.

1832: Mallard Pond

Grace Mallard

Good morning, esteemed listeners—pondweed, reeds, one incorrigible tadpole, and, if she's awake, Aunt Beatrice by the tussock. I speak not to disturb your floating but to record, for posterity or puddles yet unborn, the movements upon the far meadow beyond our pond.

The humans are erecting lanterns again. Shimmering bits of silk, all wobble and intent, like mayflies too proud to touch the water. It appears—though I could be misled—that there will be a ball. Yes, one of those stomping gatherings wherein they whirl one another across the terrace and mistake perspiration for pleasure. I have watched them before. They carry their feet as though ashamed of their own ankles and keep applauding themselves with fans.

This morning a cart arrived, brimming with bottles that glistened through straw. The housemaids sang something about "fair light on each delicate brow." I believe it was from *The Refectory Minstrels' Almanac* (or perhaps the baker was humming). It pleased me, until one verse encouraged "featherless flocks to skim the lake of delight." Offensive. We were skimming first.

I do not want them here. Their laughter leaks into the rushes and sours the insects. Yet one cannot own a pond, even with impeccable plumage and seniority of nesting rights. Philosophically, I suppose that is noble; practically, it means boots in the shallows again.

At noon, a small fox appeared by the east hedgerow, pretending to be botanical. He feigned indifference to us—casual, rustling nonchalance—but I saw his whiskers count. If the humans must gather tonight, may they at least terrify him into propriety. The last ball concluded with his appalling attempt at attendance. He vanished as quickly as he arrived, stripped of dignity and, I'm told, three tail hairs.

My mother always said, "Grace, observe them but never imitate." Yet observation, I find, slides into implication. Watching them hang mirrors on the oaks, one begins to feel refracted. Their reflections tremble beside mine and I think: which of us—the lady in muslin or I in mud—is the true ornament of evening water? I suspect me, though I admit a bias.

Still, I cannot deny excitement. There will be crumbs. When the dancing grows ambitious and the servants spill the trays, the terrace becomes a second banquet for those alert enough to waddle discreetly beneath the moon. My cousin Percival claims to have retrieved an entire fig from the last event, though he is famous for exaggeration and impossible digestion.

Family, food, safety: the triumvirate of our days. The ducklings are plumper than decorum allows, and tartly opinionated. Beatrice insists she can distinguish melody from mere human repetition. She may be right; there was one violinist last year who sounded genuinely aquatic.

The sun droops; lanterns ignite. Across the lawn, they appear to hover rather than walk, faint ghosts rehearsing vanity. I try not to interpret it. I comfort myself with philosophical crumbs—the thought, for instance, that perhaps they too dislike foxes, or fear that their reflections belong to someone else.

If anyone here among the reeds believes themselves above curiosity, I advise humility. We are all, in the end, voyeurs of dinners not meant for us. Yet what glory in watching! The pond receives every light the palace discards, and tonight, I suspect, we shall shine absurdly.

Now, if there are no questions and no foxes, I will resume my floating.

1832: Mabel Anetis deMallard

Private papers

Woke in the blue hour before breakfast and decided immediately to reform my entire character. Found it impossible. The mirror beside the bed disagreed with me audibly: "Forty, my dear, and still curious." The sheets smelled faintly of lavender, or perhaps defeat.

The maid (mine by loan from my sister, the Duchess) arrived whispering that the weather was “improving.” I dislike improvement before cocoa. Bath prepared—foam enough to suggest moral renewal. Georgiana (that is, the Duchess, though I am forbidden to call her anything as familiar as “Georgie”) keeps a modern copper tub with a pipe for hot water and several opinions about modesty. I observed the bubbles until they surrendered; the only certain pleasure of bathing is the conviction that one is temporarily irrefutable.

At dressing I managed to fasten nothing correctly. My maid sighed as if fastening me were national service. This house has mirrors everywhere; they multiply one’s insignificance like rabbits. I wore green first, looked solemn; wore white, looked tender; settled, as usual, for indecision disguised as mauve.

Breakfast: the usual theatre. Ten guests, none awake. Georgiana sat at the head with her serenity pressed like starch. Her husband, the Duke, smiled with the grace of a man burdened by excellence. I told him his ball would be “memorable,” which was absurd—no one remembers anything memorable. Lord Temple remarked that he had heard of my scholarship on the Druids. I corrected him: “Not scholarship, merely curiosity, and scarcely on the Druids—more on what they left behind when they forgot things.” Everyone looked pained. People here expect sentences to end politely; mine tend to fold inwards like damp letters.

After breakfast Georgiana retired to correspondence; she writes with the majesty of Parliament. I wandered outdoors in my half-fastened cloak (the maid’s revenge). The park was silvered with frost and servants. Country air is so clean it feels rude. I walked until I forgot what I was thinking about—an achievement.

In the library I found the Duke’s new steam globe—a contraption that spins itself by hidden mechanism. I examined it so long that the footman coughed twice to remind me I was trespassing in intellect. I told him “Curiosity is God’s trick on the idle.” He looked frightened, which I took as a sign of comprehension.

At luncheon: soup, silence, self-control. The viscountess on my left spoke of Paris fashions as if they were Biblical miracles. I contributed a reflection on the inverse elegance of abstinence: when one cannot follow fashion, one may invent moral superiority. She changed her seat at dessert.

Afternoon: a flurry of seamstresses preparing the Duchess’s gown, which appears to require more engineering than the Duke’s bridge over the lake. I am to wear ivory satin that once belonged to an aunt who believed the afterlife would be Grecian. The maid pinched, laced, and sighed until I resembled an opinion she disapproved of. I imagine I

looked almost admirable from a distance; most of my virtues are visible only at a distance.

I helped the Duchess select jewels—principally by agreeing. She asked if I envied her duties. I replied that envy presumes intention. “So you would not marry?” she said. “Not unless affection can be delegated,” I answered. She laughed as if I were charming, though I suspect she hears insubordination as wit. We kissed like conspirators who have forgotten their crime.

Late afternoon I withdrew to my room under the pretext of letter-writing. Instead I practiced the art of not thinking about age. I have read recently in Mary Wollstonecraft that independence is every woman’s birthright. I can only suppose birth has altered since my day.

Tea with Aunt Millicent from Bath, who announced that my complexion has improved since I ceased to hope. I thanked her; politeness is the art of transmuted insult into reflection.

The hour before the ball compresses eternity. Upstairs, the air shimmers with starch, perfume, and nerves. The orchestra tunes into an opinion of divinity. I steady myself by contemplating the floor wax.

When Georgiana entered the ballroom, conversation collapsed like etiquette under examination. She glittered—hardly her fault. The Duke escorted her as if conducting a treaty between satin and time. Behind them I drifted, unrequired but ornamentally acceptable. No man likes to dance with a woman who knows Latin adjectives; I counted that a safety precaution.

I stood beside a pillar contentedly invisible. The room’s light played politics between jealousy and admiration. Lord Temple approached once—politely, accidentally. He inquired whether I believed the monarchy stable. I said it depends entirely on the fatigue of the servants. He bowed and retreated, possibly to propose to my sister.

The quadrilles commenced. I watched Georgiana glide, serene as arithmetic; the Duke turned about her like punctuation. I wondered if envy might be a form of imagination—building castles entirely to envy their collapse.

Between dances the young ladies sighed into their gloves; the young men consulted their mirrors disguised as window glass. I drank punch diluted to conscience and conversed with a chair about politics. The chair listened well.

Midnight. The festivities glide toward hysteria, which in English society passes for joy. I prefer observation to participation; it requires fewer repairs afterward. Still, as I descended the stairs later I glimpsed myself by accident in one of the great mirrors: a reflection among reflections, a figure nearly merry, lit from without. I thought—might they envy me, this luxury of unimportance? Nearly possible.

Now, candle spent, I write in borrowed ink. My sister sleeps behind walls of satin. I, behind linen. Difference measured, perhaps, in thread. The house grows quiet except for music that refuses to stop because no one has commanded it not to.

I shall remain another week. People need someone calm to misunderstand.

1832: Blandy, Head Gardener

The rooster's late again. I was up before him, which proves, I think, the superiority of planning over instinct. Cold enough to turn the ground glassy; wind thin enough to slice conversation. Began my rounds with a lantern and half a loaf saved from last night. Ate while walking; that's the efficiency they pay for.

First duty, boilers in the hothouses. The pine stoves cough like old ministers. Fired them with slack coal and conviction until the flues sang. A gardener must begin with heat—Genesis, page fifty-something: "Let there be warmth, and after warmth, judgment." Milton said it, or maybe the Bible. Both prune the same tree.

The Duke ordered melons for the supper tonight, also peaches, apricots, grapes still alive though half the county sleeps under frost. His Grace likes what's impossible when he's bored. The forcing houses have steamed two nights running; the plants look offended but compliant. I read in *The Farmer's Folio* (1819 edition, the one with the admirable misprint about potatoes) that light is "nothing but condensed virtue." Hence we pay five shillings extra for the new Argand lamps; virtue, as ever, is taxed.

Checked the peach house—buds pushing before sense, just as ordered. The thermometer reads sixty, which is indecent for January. My second man, Hemperley, insists we'll ruin the roots. I told him ruin is above our station; we only encourage it. He grinned; people who grin at disaster get promoted slowly.

Breakfast at eight—cold tea, bacon, the newspaper borrowed from the steward's bench. England continues unchanged; Parliament quarrels about the same liberties. No one mentions gardeners, though I've written twice (unsigned) about the scandal of melon tariffs.

From breakfast straight to the lower glass frames. The pineapples demand conversation; I tell them anecdotes of survival. The new silver heater—brought from London last month—hums effeminately but saves coal. Machinery delivers miracles without respect. Even the Duke's parson admires it, which shows confusion between interpretation and flame.

At ten, Her Grace's footman staggering under colour-orders: roses, jasmine, violets, "in abundance." February might as well be denied. I pointed him to the list—buds and seedlings coaxed from last year's stock—and said we'd contrive beauties by supper, provided the housemaids stop opening the glass doors "for a smell of summer." I've posted "NO ADMITTANCE—GOD WATCHES" on every hinge. God, I believe, never interferes—but the words comfort certain tempers.

Noon inspection with the Duke. He objected to the camellias ("too modest") and asked for tulips. I might have laughed—tulips now!—but he pays by the quarter, not the weather. Explained we could display paper petals treated with sugar water, indistinguishable under candlelight. "Do that," he said, as if invention were routine. I agreed, of course. My career rests on nodding with confidence and succeeding by approximation.

After he left, we tried the sugar-paper trick. Works surprisingly well if one doesn't breathe. I noted results in my book beside a quotation from Pliny on Flowers and Temperance. When all else fails, cite the classics; no one checks.

Afternoon brought chaos: the melon vines sulked, the boiler pipe hissed like politics, and Hemperley fainted—heatstroke amid winter. I stepped over him to adjust the damper; priorities are the root of civilisation. Later gave him quinine from the chemist in Cirencester. Told him it was French medicine—men recover faster from foreign substances.

By three, the peaches had produced two edible fruits. I considered writing to *The Horticultural Register* about the method but decided science rewards theft better than confession. Also, they spell my name wrong.

Four o'clock, a visit from the chef, that shrill Alsatian, Blandé. He demanded pineapples immediately, though dessert not until midnight. I delivered two still sweating from the stove, which struck him as sentimental. He shrieked something about "Carême" and aesthetics, which I countered by quoting Virgil—"Labor omnia vincit." I think he took it for agreement.

Toward evening the sky sealed itself again—no colour, only consequence. The hothouses glowed like guilty glass organs. I walked between them counting heat by heartbeat: every sixth pace, a pulse of steam escaping, every third, a chance for promotion lost to frost. I sometimes think the plants speak—not with morals but with patience. Their silence confesses. Mine only explains.

Supper with the under-gardeners. Salt pork, ale, laughter like broken tools. They are good men, mostly sober when watched. One accused

me of “driving the plants mad with French contraptions.” I said madness is simply growth unlicensed. They remembered that, poor souls, as if it meant something uplifting.

At eight I delivered the flowers to the ballroom—pyramids of warmth among the chandeliers. Footmen carried them off as though they were trophies from war they never fought. The Duchess’s woman thanked me with the wrong name; I accepted it politely. A gardener survives on misidentification—it is nearly faith.

Returned to the boiler room after dark. The great pipes trembled with leftover vanity. I noted temperatures, recorded a complaint about coal quality, signed it “Blandy, January 28th,” and left space for miracles. The melon shelf looked absurd, glowing as if each fruit contained the sun’s rehearsal. I took one—purely inspectional, not theft. Warm, fragrant, inaudible. I wrote in the ledger: “All produce delivered sound.” True in spirit, which counts as accuracy.

Now back in my cottage, hands burned, brain still sorting colour. The ball continues—a storm above my ground. None of them will notice the flowers by morning; none ever do. They fade faster than praise, which is only natural. Naturalness, I remind myself, is the most artificial thing we grow.

Tomorrow, perhaps, I will begin carrots. Or prayers. Both prefer a little compost.

1832: Duke of Mallard

Private papers

I woke, as one must, surrounded by empire. The curtains, damask crimson, billowed faintly with the night’s expired pride. The valet had timed his entrance to coincidence with my second breath. I allow him these theatricalities; servitude looks prettier when it rehearses.

Bath at seven. Steam thick as remembrance. The pipework groaned—my household’s only honest voice. He (the valet, another one, unfailingly graceful, his name escapes me because I intend it to) poured the water over my shoulders with surgical veneration. The sensation: a baptism that had mislaid its theology. I watched the surface ripple—a mirror with memory. Water has the only skin worth touching.

Dressed first in wool; then reconsidered—black broadcloth, the tone of command mitigated by boredom. My tailor quotes Scripture while fitting sleeves. I admire his faith; I pay it by cheque.

Breakfast: kidneys, champagne, indifference. Her Grace, though she prefers “necessity” fluttered through, speaking of this evening’s ball as though it were a moral obligation. It is, and therefore undesirable. She

claims my presence is required to signal harmony. Lady harmony, poor thing—she has been signalling for decades without response. I promised to appear briefly, as one might promise sunlight on a rainy day. She mentioned cousins from Wiltshire and marriageable friends. I continued chewing.

At nine, correspondence. Letters from the Prime Minister, from India, from a poet somewhere abroad claiming kinship and insolvency. I admire the poet's style of beggary: he encloses verses as if they were collateral. Also a note from William Lamb (Melbourne)—polite, insinuating, redolent of ambivalence and brandy—confirming arrival at dusk. I prefer Lamb to most; he confuses morality with performance, which is the correct definition.

After an hour with the estate papers—tedium disguised as continuity—I rode out. The weather smelt of premonition. My chestnut mare resented the wind appropriately. The new electric telegraph poles, all ten of them stretching toward the town in idiot confidence, stabbed the sky like unfinished theology—such progress, the nervous habit of civilisation. I shot two pheasants without conviction. Their deaths felt like punctuation in a sentence too long written.

At luncheon, five gentlemen from London arrived—Fitzroy, Graves, that sentimental anti-bishop, and two young men whose names, beautiful but temporary, I did not record. They represent what remains of the Hellfire tradition: amateur philosophers with the decency to sin elegantly. We spoke of politics until politics grew embarrassed and fled. Byron (or the echo that passes for him—his exile's ghost, lean, laughing, still half-alive in their anecdotes) dominated conversation though he has been dead eight years. Ghosts make superior dinner guests: they never speak for themselves.

After luncheon, the seraglio inspection—a phrase which appears coarse only to the unimaginative. My eastern quarters, constructed in architectural quotation rather than authenticity, await such company. Lamb and Graves delight in the idea of private splendour behind drawstrings of taste. I, observing them observe, feel priestly. The rooms shimmer in amber light; perfumes of rose, tobacco, and risk. The inhabitants, carefully chosen gentlemen delicately disguised as myth, reclined among cushions pretending centuries. Beauty has never required nationality. Conversation drifted toward philosophy before succumbing to innuendo. The Hellfire torch still flickers, if under moral supervision.

Tea at five. Her Grace entered to announce that the Duchess's hairdresser had been delayed by the fog—this caused a national crisis measured in powder. She asked, "You will dance at least one

quadrille?” I said, “Of course,” which reassured her and cost me nothing. The art of lying among family is to tone it as affection.

I dressed anew for the pretence of duty: white waistcoat, silk of indecent sheen, gloves brittle as theology. Surveyed the mirror. A figure of providential boredom gazed back. I find shyness, when varnished by arrogance, indistinguishable from majesty.

The ball commenced at eight. Guests sparkled with visible perspiration. Candles multiplied themselves into infinity—some maniacal servant must be feeding them sympathy. The orchestra rehearsed happiness, each note a balancing act between ecstasy and regulation. I greeted twenty-seven persons by name, which is my quota for human contact.

Danced, yes, with Her Grace as promised. She moves as though gravity were a conspiracy; I followed as though obeying law. Applause confirmed the charade. Lady Mallard praised my grace; I returned the compliment by admiring her sincerity, which startled her.

Then I excused myself under the pretext of heat and decamped to the port room—a sanctuary of custom and male conversation. There, the air relaxed. Cigars bloomed like late roses; smoke graceful as deceit. Lamb discoursed on liberty, Fitzroy on anatomy; both subjects converged pleasingly. I shared with them a bottle of 1806 brandy labelled in my father’s hand, vintage of regret. The evening achieved civilisation at last—women distant, music safely muffled, time replaced by attention.

By ten, laughter; by eleven, a philosophical toast to decay. I permit myself these men because only they will never believe my pretences sincere. Shyness rendered arrogant is taken for virtue among them; they mistake my reluctance for principle. So be it. Love requires disguise. I prefer velvet.

At midnight, music softened through the walls; the ball still revolving in its mechanical grace. The seraglio guests retired upstairs, my companions following in pairs, one with a book, one without. I lingered. The mirror opposite caught the candlelight and, for an instant, showed a face I almost recognised—handsome, uncertain, already footnoted. I drank to him, whoever he was, and watched the image vanish like decorum undone.

Later—past two—Her Grace’s knock, discreet, apprehensive. “You disappeared,” she said through the door. I replied, “So did the century.” She sighed, which closes all arguments. They will gossip tomorrow as they always do: the Duke aloof, eccentric, brilliant, possibly corrupt. Possibly. They cannot prove it refined to call pleasure by its name.

Bed now. The valet extinguishes the lamps, leaving only that faint moral glow the servants mistake for sanctity. I keep one candle burning—habit, not hope. Night, after all, is simply daylight edited. I sleep surrounded, as ever, by witness and invention.

1832: Lady Betta Wing

Private papers

I woke, miserably improved by sleep. The maid drew the curtains with that awful cheer custom assigns to servants and saints. Pale winter light—the precise colour of obligation—fell upon the dressing table. My mother’s voice, muffled through the door, conducted its morning litany: posture, hair, prospects. I murmured gratitude as a form of surrender.

Bath at seven. Water gruel-warm, neither one thing nor another, exactly suited to the day. Steam blurred the mirror which is merciful; reflection itself is an indecent habit. I thought of Herodotus, whom I am forbidden to read “too continuously,” and imagined the bath as the Hellespont—my escape perpetually bridged. The maid spilled lavender oil, fragrance of pretence, and asked if I looked forward to the evening. I said, yes, certainly, in the way condemned men anticipate precision.

Breakfast with my mother, in full spiritual harness. Bread, correspondence, surveillance. She dissected the newspaper for alliances disguised as marriages and insisted upon my practising conversation. “Ask a gentleman what he hunts,” she commanded. “They delight in that line.” I asked her whether intellect counts as a line. She looked genuinely frightened. I apologised by coughing.

After breakfast, the seamstress arrived with gowns so heavy with approval one could drown in them. Cream silk for early appearances, amethyst for after supper. A lifetime in sequins: the armour of available womanhood. While she pinned and muttered, I recited quietly from Plutarch’s Lives under cover of the rustling skirts. The tutor lent it to me last month, pencilling beside the margin, “Freedom requires rehearsal.” I rehearse in secret, nightly.

By ten o’clock, letters: one from Cousin Georgiana—excited beyond repair about the anticipated Ball of the Season, meaning tonight’s parade. She writes in adjectives; I forgive her. Another from the vicar’s wife, thanking me for my “example to the younger ladies.” I suspect she means my quietness, not my thoughts.

At noon, a carriage outing with Mother to the modiste in town. Horses stamping impatiently, the sky powdered with uncertainty. She spoke of politics as of weather—dangerous if ignored, vulgar if noticed.

She fears reformers, Italians, and my mind, in that order. At the shop, she approved each fabric as though voting in Parliament; I nodded as civilisation required.

Home for luncheon—cold chicken and silence. I read the new *Mechanics' Magazine* under my napkin. An article described an electric machine that stores power as light stores memory. I long to see one. Mother says machinery unsexes women; I suspect that to be its chief charm.

The hours between one and six are the housekeeping of existence: correspondence, embroidery, genteel decay. I walked briefly in the garden, mud clinging like affection. The trees, fierce in their leafless intelligence, seemed superior to human purpose. How enviable to grow without instruction.

At four, the hairdresser returned—that miniature engineer of vanity. He erects coils as if fortifying a citadel. He said I looked pale. I said it was my most fashionable feature. He laughed nervously; I believe he thought it flirting.

Five o'clock tea with Mother's circle of strategic friendships. Ladies comparing sons, widows auditioning new griefs, one American guest lecturing us on democracy as if it were embroidery done in very large stitches. I smiled in every direction and contributed nothing that could in any way be quoted.

By six, preparations for the ball. Maids murmuring, jewels displayed like moral lessons: sparkle without depth. The amethyst necklace—my father's parting gift—is always tightened a little too close, as if he imagined virtue draped in restraint. Mother pronounced it "becoming." I prefer the term inevitable.

Carriage at seven. The streets dimming into anxiety. The horses' hooves struck a rhythm identical to my resolve against conversation. Mother rehearsed names: Lord Vershire—young, handsome, uncertain income; Mr. Lamb—political but manageable; the Duke—always approve. I nodded at intervals like a faulty automaton.

Arrival. A cathedral of wax and music, its chandeliers rehearsing the apocalypse. The Duke greeted guests with monarchic shyness—artful, that. My mother tightened her grip on my arm and propelled me toward society as one might launch a ship by divine error. My dance card filled by inertia.

The room spun—not from motion but the sheer gravity of manners. Men bowing, women curtsying, conversation about ceilings, bloodlines, and France. I danced twice: once with Lord Vershire, whose conversation sank immediately beneath the upholstery ("Do you read novels? I prefer not to imagine."); once with a stranger whose

laugh endangered him socially but restored my faith in humanity. Neither will propose; both will dine on recollection.

I escaped, briefly, to the conservatory. Glass roof beaded with frost. Air heavy with the scent of manufactured tropics. For a moment I felt nearly alive—the moon visible through glass, aloof and correct. I imagined ascending to her: the true chaperone of solitary women. Heard Mother calling distantly—my name stretched thin as discipline. Returned dutifully.

Supper at midnight—silver, excess, conversation reduced to arithmetic: who sat where, who shone how brightly, whose fortune survived the soup course. I said something about Greek democracy to the gentleman beside me; he blinked as if I had spoken Chinese. Realising my mistake, I shifted to safer territory—horses, harvests, hymns. He smiled too much, confirming his relief.

After two, the final quadrille. Music sweet as defeat. Mother, radiant with managerial fatigue, declared the evening “a success.” I thought: success is merely endurance disguised as applause.

Now home, near four. Hair unpinned, jewels locked, propriety asleep. The house silent except for the eternal ticking of expectation. I write by candlelight, ink pooling like shadowed intent. Tomorrow will repeat itself under another name, and Mother will again insist that civilisation depends on my entertainment.

I would trade the Thirty-Two Dances of England for one unsupervised hour at the telescope. I am told Saturn’s rings are visible this month—a miracle of remoteness. How enviable he is: encircled entirely by the things that keep him distant.

1832: Blandy, Archivist

Woke late: half-past five. Unforgivable, though I reminded myself the clock in the archive stair still loses thirteen minutes daily—a mechanical humility that I find exemplary. The frost lay on the windows in the shape of handwriting. I made the error of reading it: Where have you placed the accounts of the ball of 1817? The mind does invent queries before breakfast.

Cold ablutions from the rain-cistern. Steam forbidden—it blurs perception. I dressed in my archival grey coat, single-breasted, moth-fretted. The buttons shaped like coins remind me always of duty’s payment in symbols, not salary. I breakfasted on the library steps: one roll, two sighs, a page of *The Guardian* of 1753 which I misquote to myself—“We are all curators of the present until it moulders into past perfect.” Addison, or Pliny, depending on taste.

Descending to the archive proper by candlelight, I found all five assistants already assembled, sleepy as wax. Their names blur in useful sequence—Pegg, Pegg’s nephew, half a clerk called Fenimore, and the two quiet girls known collectively as The Indexers. They greeted me with a rustle that might pass for reverence. I reminded them that today’s labour concerns the Ducal Balls (Vol. XI onward), of which I estimate seventy-three remain unrecorded. My pain is accuracy; my joy also accuracy. The conflicting nature of virtue.

The rooms themselves—three below ground and one rumoured corridor whose door has never been opened—breathe ink, mildew, and the kind of devotion unsanctioned by Church or Science. We begin always by listing absences: missing accounts, faded seals, indecipherable gossip. Loss requires as much cataloguing as presence. I dictated until my voice dulled: “Ball No. 67 (approx. date 1823, theme: renovation of the east chimneypiece), mentioned in *The Morning Post*, misdated, guests numerically uncertain.” Fenimore sneezed upon the register—a historical event.

I attempted, in between dictations, to trace the lineage of the smallest duke, a matter disputed since 1796 owing to a certain illegible baptism record. The document, I now perceive, is written partially in lemon juice, the rest in frustration. Strips of invisible ink rise under candle flaw like ghosts seeking democracy. I begin to suspect the line of dukes may be self-perpetuating fiction; admirable, if true.

At eleven, the Duchess’s chaplain intruded, carrying an illuminated parchment of psalm tunes, which he believed to be twelfth-century Venetian. I observed the watermark: C. & J. Greenfield, Surrey, 1819. He looked wounded. I advised him to treat authenticity as an act of the imagination. He blessed me hastily.

Noon. The house vibrates above us—preparation for another ball (Ball No. 89 by my last incomplete count). Footmen thunder over the floors; paper falls from the shelves like dissent. I have resolved to remain calm. Posterity thrives best under pressure. My assistants disagree, preferring lunch. I granted it, though remind them the Duke’s grandmother famously re-classed the staff who ate before finishing their thoughts.

After luncheon, I took inventory of ink. Three bottles remain from the early century, labelled “Indelible – Caution: Erases Memory.” We use them sparingly, for though the effect is rumour, it appears superior to any modern black.

At two, a visitation from the Duke himself. His Grace descended the stone steps with military reluctance. “Blandy,” he said, “are the records up to date?” I bowed from the middle upward and confessed our arrears. He frowned. “Then omit what is unimportant.” I reminded

him, with tenderness, that importance is a transient moral, not a principle of arrangement. He laughed—praise, disguised as pity—and commanded an archive of tonight's ball before dawn. I accepted, because refusal in a servant requires publication.

Now anxiety expands by the hour. I have assigned two clerks to transcribe guest lists, one to sketch the planned table arrangement, and both Indexers to remain concealed in the ballroom's anteroom to record overheard remarks of note. Their shorthand is pitiful, but gossip preserves memory better than marble.

Evening encroaches like a blot travelling across vellum. We dine briefly—bread, lukewarm stew, conversation of the ethical sort (should one annotate love letters?), consensus dividing by superstition. I support annotation fully; posterity mistrusts emotion unless footnoted.

At seven, the chandeliers ignite above us, vibrating through the flooring. I prepare Form 67B: Of Notable Assemblies. Columns for name, rank, indiscretion, and attire. I sharpen five quills, confiscate one from Fenimore for enthusiasm. He believes the Duchess's sister a muse of history. I explain: affection corrupts dates. He blushes Gregorianly.

Nine o'clock. A small tremor. The shelves sway; gold lettering shivers. Either music or divine wrath. A few flakes of plaster descend upon the 1798 county receipts. I record the incident under "Ball 89, atmospheric phenomena—moderate intensity." The spirit of precision endures even while accuracy escapes.

I send Pegg to verify acoustics from the upper corridor. He reports: dancing sufficient to cause liquidity in latticed windows. Also that a pile of outdated invitations (circa 1812) has gone missing. The ghosts again, perhaps—our household hosts an admirable penmanship invisible to common folk.

At ten, the Indexers return smudged, chattering. They present fragments of overheard dialogue: "Duchess radiant as virtue," "the new chef intolerable," "pineapples unnatural yet delicious." I record all under Cultural Commentary. A nation's taste may be traced precisely to its absurdities.

Midnight. I prepare Supplementary Appendix: Notes on the Unrecorded Moments. Here I attempt what no historian sanctioned by stipend ever attempts—memory reconstruction via intuition. I write: "Music of uncertain composer; dignity of approximate quantity; number of candles: sufficient to forget day." There, history is safe.

One of the assistants, lulled by accuracy, sleeps on a ledger. His breath spots ink like constellations. I leave him undisturbed. Future chroniclers will suppose an archival humidity.

Now nearly two. The silence of post-festivity accumulates—soft debris through stone. My candle gutters. I add a note to myself: A sixth

assistant urgently required, preferably one resistant to romance and mildew. I imagine writing to the Society of Antiquaries for recommendation, though they still mistake me for my predecessor, dead but still technically licensed. I may eventually adopt his signature to avoid confusion.

For safety I lock the archive door, though I alone hold the key—and possibly he does as well, wherever he resides. The keys are indistinguishable. Reliability is, after all, hereditary.

I have completed less than half the account of tonight's ball, yet it already resembles memory: consistent, inaccurate, entirely true.

Tomorrow I shall rise earlier, unless the frost writes otherwise.

1832: The Honourable Eustace Mallard

Private papers

This morning, I woke with a sense of being observed—not, I think, by Providence, who has long since turned His celestial gaze upon more estimable subjects—but by the heavy curtains themselves, which seem, when stirred by the draft, to shake their tasseled heads at my indolence. The dawn was colourless, diffused as milk, and for a minute I feigned sleep's continuance, merely to irritate my valet. Poor Blandy, who mistakes punctuality for virtue, announced that the bath was drawn and the breakfast service arranged; I replied (as I often do when rebelling within allowable bounds) that I should prefer to boil myself rather than the eggs.

The water this morning was neither cold nor hot but somewhere between reproach and forgiveness. There is a curious moral quality in temperature. While bathing, I examined with troubled vanity the faint scar upon my shoulder—sole souvenir from last summer's fencing lesson, when my enthusiasm surpassed my skill. The instructor, a magnificent fellow with forearms like oak, assured me the mark would fade. It has not. There are things which refuse improvement, however one polishes them.

While dressing I indulged my one artistic superstition: that harmony begins with linen. The shirt trimmed in fine hemstitch, the dark waistcoat, the muted cravat tied (after several desultory failures) in the Severe style described by Manton in *The Gentleman's Manual of Disguise*. I add, for my own encouragement, a carnation—a white one. Red seems too much like confession.

Breakfast was solitary: the house too large, the father already abroad upon his tyrannies. A letter lay beside my plate, sealed in the old man's purple wax. He never writes out of affection—only command. Its

brevity was insolent: "You will attend your cousin's ball this evening. There will be suitable company. Do try." The suggestion that I should try to appear eligible seems his cruelest wit. My income, meanwhile, hangs by the thread of his impatience. I buttered my toast till it tore.

The remaining daylight was passed in the wasteful occupation of avoidance. I read and reread fragments of De Quincey, pretending that the mind's opium could rival the body's. I attempted a sketch of the house's western elevation—nothing pleases me so much as architecture that refuses the human scale—and later wandered the conservatory, where the orchids, outrageously sensual things, drooped in perpetual ennui. They too are kept alive by artificial heat and never asked their opinion.

Toward five, Blandy reappeared with the usual solemnity of one who must persuade a dead man to dine. I submitted to the ritual of grooming and costume transformation. The evening coat—cut by the new London tailor who flatters my figure though he need not—fits with that close inevitability one associates with sin. I confess, absurdly, to admiring my reflection. There is a strange relief in knowing that one's outward appearance will bear the strain of one's internal absence.

The drive to my cousin the Duke's rural theatre of vanities, occupied nearly an hour. The roads were a muddy chain of resentments, the horses half-asleep from boredom. As we approached, the palace glimmered—a slice of opal dropped upon darkness. I imagined—briefly—the glorious absurdity of running away. But to what? Freedom, unsupported by funds, is a poetic disease.

Inside, the air was an intoxication of wax and waltz. The chandeliers perspired light; the women were garlanded like the season's final conspirators. My cousin greeted me with the affection of a banker welcoming a timely deposit. He mentioned—too pointedly—a certain Lady Wing, newly arrived from Bath, possessed of lineage, health, and reputed docility. The implication: that she might accept my hand before I withdrew it.

I found her near the orchestra, a study in compliance—pale, elegantly symmetrical, eyes of the colour that requires both daylight and absence of sentiment to be seen properly. She curtsied with mathematical grace. I, unwilling to appear unwilling, launched into those remarks which society awards for their polish though they conceal no metal. Lady Wing listened as one might to the weather—tolerantly. We danced (a waltz, indecently circular), and I could not help noticing—though I tell myself it was aesthetic curiosity rather than scandal—that her brother observed us with a gaze I found more engaging than hers. A sculpted, careless young man, he resembled the Apollo in my father's library, but less clothed and more conscious of it.

There was some talk, afterward, of my returning to Town next month. She seemed pleased, or perhaps rehearsed to seem so. I murmured words which might—if woven into memory incorrectly—pass for courtship. How easily language becomes a contract. I thought of my father, waiting for tidings of my “reformation,” of my income bound like an unwilling bride to another’s will. The thought so heated me that I asked for punch.

Later, in a half-lit corridor, I encountered the brother again. He remarked on the dullness of the company and, with a conspiratorial smirk, proposed we escape to the billiard-room. There, amidst the lamps and quiet, we spoke not of politics, nor of ladies, but of travel, fencing, and the absurdities of inheritance. His laughter—unrehearsed, uninstructed—has that rare quality of making one believe that sincerity might exist after all. I must have studied him too closely, for he asked whether I were an artist. I said, truthfully enough, that I only attempt portraits of what eludes me.

The evening concluded, as all such evenings do, in the coach again, the air both stale and tender with cologne. I think I’ll half-promise myself to Lady Wing tomorrow. My father will rejoice. My ledger will recover its health. And yet, as I wrote this line, I found that I had drawn in the margin not her face, but another’s—unguarded, laughing, slightly defiant.

It is past two o’clock. Blandy knocks faintly to ask if I require assistance undressing. I feign sleep.

1832: Lady Mallard (née de Mallard)

Private papers

I rose before dawn because idleness breeds sin and wrinkles. The winter light crept in like a debt collector. My maid, Susan, entered bearing chocolate and trepidation. I remind her daily that servility is its own reward; she thanks me dutifully, proving the truth of it. Steam was already rising in the silver hip-bath. I descended into its tepid piety and reflected that cleanliness, unlike grace, can be achieved through industry alone.

The mirror afterward was uncharitable. I cannot fathom how forty-two maintains such brilliance in others. Mine persists in subtle rebellion around the temples. Applied vinegar lotion (from Dr. Pembery’s Manual for Virtuous Complexions) and three pinches of optimism. The effect is, I believe, scriptural.

Breakfast: an egg, lightly salted conversation, and the Morning Gazette. The paper contained several inaccuracies about my cousin’s

philanthropy, which I resolved to correct whenever society demands humility of me. The headline noted Parliamentary squabbles—men defending privilege as moral necessity. I find the instinct recognisable.

By nine, the household swarmed with excitement regarding this evening's ball at that vast temple of invention the Duke persists in calling a residence. The invitation, gilt and unnecessary, had arrived last week: "Her Grace the Duchess of Mallard— requests the honour." One's honour is always available when solicited by nobility.

I dressed initially for the morning visits—dark merino, modest neckline, an effect of contrition slightly undermined by the ruby brooch. Called upon Lady P—, who pretends to study botany. She displayed a collection of pressed weeds labelled in French. I delighted her with praise I withheld from truth. Charity of speech is the purest lie.

Home by noon to dress again, this time for luncheon with the rector and my neighbour Mrs. Prynne, who quotes both Scripture and her husband's medical opinions interchangeably. She inquired whether I found dancing conducive to piety. I replied that holiness may be approached rhythmically in certain assemblies. She has not forgiven me.

Afternoon preparations began in earnest. I summoned who I could of virtue to fortify myself—books, perfume, vanity. The dressing began: corset, chemise, under-petticoat, over-petticoat, and the gown: grey shot silk with sleeves severe enough to recall penitence. Jewels posed the greater trial. Diamonds appear presumptuous in the country; pearls appear apologetic. I compromised with emeralds—they perform humility at a distance.

By four o'clock, the coachman demanded my pleasure on departure. I instructed him to wait until precisely half past—punctuality implies desperation. The carriage is newly furnished with leather cushions so perfumed they recall theology: heady, invisible, and best avoided too long.

The journey of ten miles proceeded like moral philosophy—slow, cold, and convinced of importance. My companions: the Wilmot sisters, who converse exclusively about cousins and hymn-tunes. The countryside unrolled itself against leaden skies; smoke from distant cottages suggested democracy.

We arrived as twilight made a last remark. The Duke's façade glittered with a thousand candles in mirroring lanterns, a triumph of architecture over comfort. Footmen escorted us through marble halls that smell of theology and beeswax. The Duchess received us beneath a canopy of almost natural roses—out of season, but not, apparently, out of budget. She is perfection's employee. Her gown, white satin; her manner, taxidermied graciousness.

Between six and eight I endured the banquet: pigeons disguised as higher game, soups hotter than conversation. Opposite me sat Lord Dunreath, a man so pious that he cannot remove his gloves without blushing. I provoked him by lauding Voltaire, whom he confuses with Satan. Argument is my preferred dessert.

The meals concluded on time, an error for which the chef will surely suffer. Then the grand staircase transformed itself into a statement about hierarchy: society descending upon itself. Music began—waltzes from Vienna, unrepentant melodies. I allowed myself one quadrille, selecting a partner of precisely equal reputation. We avoided collision and intimacy—the twin virtues of dancing.

The ballroom glittered indecently. Candles hissed along mirrored walls; the heat became philosophical. Through it all, the Duchess floated like doctrine upheld by ritual. Her sister, that peculiar Mabel, loitered near the edge observing everything and everyone, as if documentation might replace happiness. I wonder if I resemble her. Likely not: she has the air of intellect and I the luxury of certainty.

Conversation was abundant, meaning thin. Captain Hall from Portsmouth extolled his voyages to Ceylon where, according to him, even the air smells like worship. I inquired whether devotion improves under humidity. He stared blankly, which I took for assent.

At eleven, supper—indescribable confections arranged for virtue's conquest. Pineapples in January, melons preposterously ripe. I said to no one in particular that Nature now serves at command, though she extracts devotion in coal. The gardener who achieved these miracles ought to preach.

Near midnight I ventured outside a moment for air—or attitude. The garden steamed under moonlight like an exhausted miracle. I thought (somewhat heretically) that creation looks best when overworked. A young footman passed; his expression was the purest form of insolence—youth. I refrained from instruction. One must not educate the picturesque.

Re-entered for the final waltz. Declined to dance: better to appear exclusive than exhausted. Watched the couples revolve with the decency of planets. The Duke bowed to me once, perhaps to everyone once, but I chose to interpret it singularly.

Departed at one. The coach felt like confession—no heat, no witnesses. The Wilmots snored with delicate conviction. I considered the evening a triumph of surface over substance, the only form of triumph permitted society. My emeralds remained conscious long after my conscience slept.

Now, back at my writing desk, candle guttering, hair unpinned. Cards from the ball piled beside me like promises. I shall catalogue

impressions tomorrow for my memoirs, “Observations on Grace Among the Well-Born.” It will be a useful text for those unpractised in superiority. I note already several maxims:

1. Virtue cannot dance, but it may applaud.
2. Wealth proves worth by appearing accidental.
3. Candour, suitably disciplined, masquerades as charm.

I close with prayer: That others may appear less deserving; that I, observing, may remain nearly flawless.

1832: Blandé, Chef de Cuisine

(A day dedicated to perfection, and its insolent refusal to exist)

Five o'clock. The chapel bell tolled—but for whom? Naturally, for me. I alone am alive at such an hour, in a nation that mistakes toast for gastronomy and boiled beef for civilisation. England is the graveyard of appetite. Yet I persist, faithful as a widow at her husband's tomb.

My chamber is small, yet my mind grand. I bathe in water not hot enough—these English stoves have principles against warmth. Soap turns my skin to parchment; I make a note to import French. Dressing is a daily humiliation: English cloth wrinkles from sheer despair. Still, I arrange my cravat with the artistry required of a commander before battle.

Down to the kitchens at six. Only the scullions stirring; I frighten them efficiently. The English servant is born half-asleep and dies the same. I shout; they blink admiration. I smell burning—false alarm, only the national character.

Today's task: a Ball of Universal Significance. The Duke hosts two hundred mouths, each belonging to a person incapable of tasting. Their tongues are furniture. Nevertheless, I shall produce miracles. I am teaching this country, bite by bite, to believe in transcendence.

I plan the menus with the precision of war. The employée under me (God forgive them) mix clumsily, slice crookedly, think in rhymes of error. I shout again—sincerely, religiously. One must keep terror warm in their hearts, else the sauces curdle.

Breakfast for the family: eggs poached, light as moral excuses, truffles thereof, and chocolate sufficient to disguise its cocoa ancestry. The butler brings a message from Her Grace: “Simplicity, Chef.” Simplicity! The word scalds. I provided simplicity once, in '30, for a visit of clergy—it was received like poison. The English abhor plainness until they order it.

Nine o'clock. Deliveries arrive: game birds, cream, fruit. The cream is passable; the partridges are English; the fruit is an atrocity. I set the

under-chef to peel and pray. One cannot re-educate produce. Still, I whisper encouragement to the pineapples in their hothouse crates—real beauty listens.

Midmorning. Composed my notes for the *pièce de résistance*: *La Frivolité Celeste, ou la Cathédrale du Sucre*. It will rise tonight from spun sugar, almonds, rose essence, and peril—the spiritual child of Carême and madness. I sketch the design: twelve towers, each filled with custard tinted by the tears of patience. A sugar angel will hover at the summit. She may collapse, true, but collapse is a modern effect. Perfection, I remind myself, is a condition only French syntax can support.

Noon. The servants dine. I observe. They shovel, not eat. England has yet to make distinction between appetite and agriculture. I permit myself a glass of Sauternes—medicinal, prophetic. The warmth gives me courage to despise more efficiently.

The afternoon dissolves into flour. Pastry everywhere, egg yolks screaming for refinement. I time my whisking with the metronome I imported from Paris: invention marries madness, produces art. A turnspit boy begins to hum a hymn; I throw a copper pot near his head—not at him, near. Discipline is mercy in paste form.

Three o'clock. His Grace visits, bringing a troop of gentlemen interested only in “seeing the bustle.” They call my kitchen charming—as if it were a pet! The Duke, however, is kind. He smells of tobacco and danger. He asks, “Will it impress?”

“Monseigneur,” I bow, “it will terrify.”

He laughs—praise by lightning.

Five. The first crisis: the sugar sculpture tilts. Air moist as gossip has weakened the base. I shout for salt to draw water from the room. No one understands. These people pray to teapots. I improvise with gypsum powder and fervour. The structure stands again. Art, like sin, demands secrecy from the innocent.

Six. The Duke’s valet appears, pale: a request that the supper be delayed—more time for dancing. I restrain an oath. Timing is everything; delay is blasphemy. I re-calculate; the physics of sweetness despises patience. Still, I smile—slow death is refinement.

Seven. I oversee the laying of the cold buffet: pies glazed like celestial ammunition, terrines sturdy as philosophy. The Duchess’s steward sniffs too close—I remind him none but I may inhale art before presentation. He retreats muttering about Gallic arrogance. Indeed. Better arrogance than incompetence domesticated.

Eight. The heat unbearable. A thousand candles above, furnaces below. I am soaked—a tragic saint of butter and despair. My assistants

flicker between admiration and mutiny. I scold, cajole, seduce, threaten; management is gastronomy in verbal form.

Nine. Presentation. I ascend from the kitchens as if emerging from Hell to instruct Heaven. The dining hall glows. My masterpiece—La Cathédrale du Sucre—installed at the table's crown. It glimmers: translucent architecture of ruinous expense. The Duke beams. The Duchess's expression I shall not interpret; she smiles with the discipline of marble. Applause follows. They think it sugar; I know it is theology.

Midnight. The detritus of glory. Servers return bearing ruins of the cathedral—arches shattered, angels half-eaten. I stare as though at Pompeii. Loss is the twin of taste; beauty is valuable only when destroyed. I whisper consolations: "Tomorrow, perfection again." I do not believe a word, but conviction is a necessary seasoning.

Half past one. I sit alone at the hearth, writing the recipe to send to Carême himself, though he owes me three letters unreturned. I shall omit the truth of the collapse and expand on the triumph. One must curate even sincerity. Outside, the wind gnaws—English weather, English gratitude.

I conclude as I began: the English cannot cook, but they recognise a god when he spits fire. I shall sleep little and rise greater—unchanged, though I admit I am weary of miracles. Yet what is fatigue? A sauce one reheats tenderly.

Tomorrow perhaps I shall quit this island. Never! They need me. Mon Dieu, how they need me.

1832: Blandy, Butler

Awoke, as custom demands, a moment before dawn, while decency is still asleep. Such punctuality, I find, reassures the universe. The frost upon the window made patterns resembling the family crest—a sign of blessing or, at least, inventory. Bathed quickly in the copper tub kept near the kitchens for senior staff; water tepid, soap reluctant. One must accept a butler's cleanliness in principle rather than in temperature.

Dressed at once: black coat, silver buttons, starch enough to serve as armour. The mirror contained a face of serviceable dignity. "A butler," as the late Lord Exeter once remarked (incorrectly, but I permit him), "is a silence employed in livery." He meant it kindly.

My first duty, the morning circuit: cellar, stillroom, dairy, and finally the great hall. Cellar at five degrees by the Fahrenheit—ideal for sincerity. Wines inspected: claret plentiful, port reverent, champagne restless. The cellarman (one of the more excitable Frenchmen we employ for cosmopolitan stains) insisted on recounting his dreams of revolution. I reminded him that in this house, bottles rise before men.

At six-thirty, the scullery and kitchen in full eruption. Cook, roaring like a hymn, already furious about the number of pheasants required for the evening's supper. He confided no mortal could roast so many without divine assistance. I assured him heaven maintains an interest in perfection.

Breakfast for His Grace prepared in the east dining room: chocolate, eggs, papers. I removed a fingerprint from the silver tray with moral fervour. His Grace rose at eight precisely, a habit so exact that the house clocks now imitate him. I delivered the morning's correspondence, including a letter from London requesting additional pineapples—nature, again, out of season. He did not thank me; he need not. We servants thrive on acknowledgment withheld—it accumulates interest.

Post-breakfast came the managerial congress. Three hundred souls under direction, each with grievances cultivated like flowers. I convened them in the servants' hall, offering remarks upon diligence, humility, and other improbable virtues. The gardeners wished to burn more coal; the housekeeper wished to burn fewer men; both were mollified. The stable master complained of guests bringing up more horses than identities. I authorised extra oats. Authority, judiciously bestowed, is indistinguishable from mercy.

Midmorning inspection of the ballroom, last night's open wound. Chandeliers unlit, floor already polished into reflection. The decorator promised "a light modern look," by which he meant Roman ruin and European expense. I permitted slight admiration. His Grace insists the palace be "fit for purpose." No one yet has defined that purpose, though I suspect it is aesthetic permanence achieved by mortal labour.

By noon, the Duke departed to inspect the south terrace—a euphemism for solitude. The Duchess dictated her music program to the steward, who transcribed each title like confession. I oversaw the placement of urns and the testing of the new pneumatic bell system—a marvel of tubing whereby a guest may summon us from anywhere. The engineer assures me it cannot fail. It has already failed twice.

Lunch with the senior staff, per decorum. Roast mutton, plain potatoes, conversation thinner than the gravy. The under-butler attempted wit about the seraglio rumoured in the east wing. I paused long enough to indicate that humour, like wine, requires decanting. He paled; I consider the matter settled.

Afternoon occupied by logistics: twenty-one carriages expected, two already mired in snow, one guest bringing her own musicians (unthinkable). I dispatched telegrams by the new semaphore line to the village—a technological blessing, if one enjoys arm signals. Housemaids meanwhile dusted the stair rails to an almost democratic shine.

At four, wine arranged atop silver—a small galaxy of glass. Cook demanded port from the private stock for her sauce. I refused. She declared I valued bottles above souls. I reminded her souls are seldom recorded in inventories. The chapel bell rang, rescuing us both from wickedness.

By six, dressing commenced: livery brushed, cravats tied. I changed gloves—white for greeting, grey for scolding. His Grace emerged splendid, unchanged since monarchy itself was fashionable. The Duchess required a final pin adjusted at her shoulder; I performed this with a discretion bordering on sainthood. She thanked me: “Blandy, you are indispensable.” Dangerous praise—it tempts a man to become so.

Seven. Candles ablaze throughout the house, a thousand artificial suns burning to prove the power of wax. Guests arriving in procession: Lady Mallard first, feathery as her name, offering gossip wrapped as grace; then Lord Dunreath, apologising to the weather; then every rank of humanity embroidered in satin. I announced them all, voice steady, bow precise. One must strike the piano between inspiration and clockwork.

During the dancing I took stations at the periphery, watching history behave itself. Music ricocheted from marble to mirror. It is not service to enjoy, yet I confess an unlawful satisfaction—the sweet rustle when grandeur mistakes itself for happiness. The footmen performed creditably; no one fainted until half past eleven.

At midnight, supper served. The chef’s sugar cathedral survived until the second toast; thereafter it collapsed like belief. The Duke laughed. Laughter among nobility is policy, among servants blasphemy.

After supper, draughts of brandy distributed. I noted usage carefully—statistics prevent theft, or at least justify it. The Duchess retired graciously at one; His Grace not until two. Guests drifted toward carriages murmuring gratitude, that least convincing of languages.

Once the lamps were doused the house sighed back into servitude. I toured the emptiness: tables cleared, glass sighing in its crates, the echo of violins astray in corridors. The moon rested briefly on the staircase, inspecting my work. Perfection, or nearly.

I allowed myself a spoon of the remaining trifle—purely to reassure the staff of its harmlessness—and wrote this account before memory fades into repetition. A butler must inventory his own impressions lest they spoil.

Tomorrow, no doubt, the Duke will command another festivity, and we shall polish the memory of this one into myth. Service repeats; dignity rehearses. In the end, as I remind my juniors, we serve ourselves when we serve His Grace. Yet sometimes, after midnight, I find the

phrase uncertain—perhaps he serves us, after all, by giving purpose to everything we pretend not to desire.

Now near three o'clock. The east-wing bells—those pneumatic monstrosities—chime faintly of their own accord. The engineer insists this is impossible. So, perhaps, is gratitude. Still, I shall attend. Courtesy demands presence, even to the invisible.

1832: The Dowager, North Lodge

I woke late, a luxury purchased by irrelevance. The young Duke and his impeccable machine of lamps, dinners, and conversation may perform History this evening; I am spared that committee. My bedroom windows admitted a short light, soluble as thought. I lay a while considering the delicacy of nothingness: the grandest of English accomplishments.

Blandy arrived with morning tea and the Athenaeum. Steam from the pot blurred the column on mechanical looms—a contest between mind and manufacture that neither side deserves to win. I read that the French have named a new kind of balloon for crossing the Channel. I cannot comprehend the desire to rise; women, when they attempt it, are accused of levitation.

Bath prepared in the tiled room, marbled grey as censorship. The housemaids have perfected the temperature by accident rather than intention. I allowed myself to soak a quarter of an hour, reading by candlelight from my copy of *A Vindication of the Rights of Women*. Wollstonecraft argues clearly and without humour; I admire both deficiencies. I had intended to annotate her objections line by line, but water warped the pages, so I re-argued her positions aloud, unopposed but victorious. The argument is our longest correspondence. She has been conveniently deceased these three decades and thus loses each round by default.

Dressed simply—dark wool, white collar, hair coiled into restraint. Jewellery would be a misquotation of gravity. Breakfast: one egg, one letter, each consumed without mercy. The letter from Calcutta reports successful passage of a consignment of books for our network. (We no longer call it a society; that word attracts committees.) The Indian printer remarks upon customs charges implemented by the East India Company. I instructed our correspondent, Mrs. Basu, to pay them in counterfeit rupees bearing inverted crowns—a small rebellion designed for moral pleasure rather than effect.

After breakfast I dictated replies to various women whose existence the state refuses to notice. From Lisbon: news of a Portuguese widow founding a college for girls. From Halifax: a request for anatomical

diagrams suppressed by the local bishop as “unnecessary for modest education.” I have sent her mine—redrawn from memory—which are both imprecise and therefore safer.

The household runs soundlessly. The butler (a converted Presbyterian) presents accounts that balance too perfectly to be honest. I let them stand; truth, unlike numbers, does not require settlement. He informs me that the Duke’s ball will last until morning and that the gardeners have been instructed to light the east avenue as though for judgement. I have declined attendance. Age confers immunity disguised as frailty; one must feign exhaustion to earn solitude.

By eleven, correspondence resumed. I composed a memorandum concerning the education of women in the colonies—a phrase so contradictory I almost admire it. My recommendation: begin with astronomy. It troubles the mind into proportion. I recall writing the same sentence during the last outbreak of revolution somewhere south of reasonable. Perhaps progress, like marriage, is simply repetition renamed.

Lunch consisted of soup and tranquillity. I read from Mary Shelley’s *Frankenstein* (second edition, mutilated by its own morality). The creature’s appeal to sympathy would be stronger if he did not covet affection; women have survived centuries on less petition. I wrote a note in the margin: “To be benevolent is to lower oneself vertically.” I must remember this for the next letter to Paris.

Afternoon—rain horizontal, the hour designed for memory. I fetched from the cabinet my grandfather’s telescope, one of those brass contradictions that insists vision expands when narrowed. Trained it toward the Duke’s estate: saw only smoke from the kitchen chimneys, the outward sign of festivity. They will eat birds disguised as other birds, and call it culture.

The courier arrived at four with dispatches from our London circle. News that the pamphlet *On the Matrimonial Compact as a Financial System* was seized under sedition laws—proof of importance. I dictated a statement denying authorship in terms so convincing I may begin to believe them myself. The manuscript, of course, was mine. I wrote it under the name “Major L.” for authority’s sake. The printer suggests re-issuing it in Portuguese. Contraband travels best when unread.

At five precisely—the moment the day retires and pretends to have been lived—I entertained Mrs. Glenister, a neighbour of fragile conviction, who sought permission to attend the ball. She fears exclusion more than attendance. I advised her to wear white; purity survives ridicule more easily than colour. She inquired what I shall do while the world dances. I said I shall listen to the telegram wires whistling in the cold; she mistook it for jest.

Supper taken alone. Fish, wine diluted on principle, candlelight adjusted downward to deny nostalgia. The servants dined noisily elsewhere, evidence of life preserved within walls. I do not resent them their happiness; it appears inexpensive.

As evening widened toward its vanity, I reread the letters from Vienna—two new schools, one confiscated printing press, one colonel's wife smuggling arithmetic primers to convents. They will all be caught eventually, which is preferable to obedience unrecorded.

Nine o'clock: rain ceased. Across the valley, the House hums like superstition. Music travels in fine weather: faint horns, female laughter, the bass murmur of investment. I imagine them orbiting chandeliers, performing a choreography of appetite, each believing it exceptional. I suppose I believed the same once, before intellect provided an alternative vice.

A messenger arrives post-haste from the Duke—his compliment and a carriage if I choose to attend. I dictate a refusal so courteous it can be reprinted in his memoirs. Civility is the blade's edge of contempt. The footman bows as if wounded.

Later, by lamplight, I resume my debate with Wollstonecraft. Tonight she insists marriage can accommodate equality if minds are disciplined. I annotate: "A treaty cannot be negotiated with its jailer." She will not answer, of course; silence obliges me to appear victorious. I suspect she keeps her objections for heaven, whose editorial standards are loose.

I turn now to the colonial accounts: shipments of paper, printing dyes, money disguised as theology. I note that the latest crates to Port Jackson were labelled Sermons for the Improvement of Manly Conduct. Customs, I am told, did not open them. Inside: Philosophical Quarterly, a treatise on electricity, and certain pages of Hawkesworth's Voyages annotated in code. The colonists will think us eccentric; they may be right.

Past midnight. I dismiss Blandy, take pen once more. My left wrist aches, as if history were a handicap. On the writing desk: the telescope, collapsed; the poems of Pope (I consult him for scorn, not verse); and the thin gold watch once belonging to my husband—useful reminder that precision and affection seldom coexist.

I hear distant cannons—fireworks, mistaken celebration. The clouds briefly illuminate a kingdom that mistakes brightness for joy. Somewhere among them the young Duchess spins herself into exhaustion, decorated beyond recognition. Tomorrow she will declare success because nothing occurred.

I prefer my failures grander.

Thus ends this day, or rather, continues concealed. The letters must leave at dawn. I will sleep as if omniscience were rest. What remains unspoken will travel faster than any messenger. I have found this the only reliable law of empire.

1832: Artful comments

Ah, you notice me. Good. Most do not; they bustle under my gaze thinking dust is their own invention. I drift, discreet as upholstery, observing the ancient comedy of preparation. Ghosting, they call it now—as if they had invented the verb. My version predates the language.

The Duke—poor temporal creature—wakes with imperial punctuality. Every bone orders the world to resume. He does not yet know the orders will expire, as bodies do, though he suspects time of sabotage. His bath gurgles like conscience, his valet hovers, gloves in hand, ready to choreograph the day's respectability. I applaud invisibly. I have worn every generation's bathwater once; it never differs.

The Duchess wakes later, serene from repetition. She practises stillness the way saints practise fame. They will spend the day pursuing appearances until the appearances pursue them back. I recognised the sound the moment the fire was lit—vanity at full combustion.

Ah, but her sister, Mabel—ah, she thinks in footnotes. She imagines herself invisible in the library, never suspecting that invisibility is a family estate and belongs exclusively to me. She skims dusty volumes, that delightful act of touching history without risk of understanding it. I pass behind her, leave the faintest chill—an annotation of admiration. She straightens. “Was that a draft?” My dear, everything you feel is a draft.

Downstairs, the house stirs like civilisation rehearsing itself. Blandy the butler polishes significance into surfaces. He boasts that service refines the soul. It does, until it begins to resemble cleaning. I used to lecture on that subject—in the tenth century, I think.

The chef, Blandé (how we collect Blandys like saints in a reliquary), is at war with the impossible: flowers, fruit, and heat—all required in January, all required now. He curses in French and theology, which are similar tongues. I drift between the pineapples, admiring their arrogance. Humans worship gods who make miracles; chefs, gods who order them.

The governess is moralising arithmetic to the children. She values restraint, which is a virtue only in those who want nothing. I admire her discipline: she believes control will outlast the flesh. She will make a lovely ghost, if she can learn to speak less distinctly.

The housekeeper, Mrs. Blandy (or is it another?), directs a regiment of brooms and virtue. She prays all day, but mostly for efficiency. She does not know I listen. I whisper amen into the hallway just to see the candles tilt.

By noon the palace hums—gears in starch and brass. Balloons of vanity inflate, gowns multiply, mirrors divide them again. The Duke paces the terrace, practices amiability before mounting it at supper. He believes the guests come for him. How touching. They come for the music, the gossip, and the sight of rank wobbling under chandeliers.

Meanwhile, servants collapse into synchronization. A house of three hundred moves like one exhausted animal. Even the gardener lights fires under the soil, teasing tulips from a frozen earth. When I was alive, the earth answered with plagues; now it yields blossoms. Progress, they call it—persuading nature to act unnatural.

I take the afternoon to haunt the archives: small comfort to a being unrecorded. The archivist (the latest Blandy) scribbles furiously, filing events still unpaid by memory. He believes he shepherds posterity. I find him touching, like a spider cataloguing raindrops. His ink smells of ambition—pleasant but soluble.

At dusk, my favourite hour (it resembles me), the house ignites. I stroll through the corridors, visible only to portraits. They nod, bored of eternity, half expecting me to revoke it. I am a courteous sovereign—never too clear about power, lest others request some.

Here come the guests in their carriages, dragging their intentions through snow. The coach-wheels hiss like astonishment. Footmen hover, half faith, half frost. The Duchess greets them with a smile older than the Reformation—careful, luminous, repeatable. Her emeralds twinkle reminders of mortality, though no one listens.

Inside, music begins—the waltz, that elegant corruption. Ah yes, I recall when dancing was legal only above ground. Now they spin under canopies heavy as fate. The air fills with powder, sweat, speculation. Some of the Mallards swirl past—Mabel’s kin, the estate’s Ego in feathers. They bow with practiced extinction. It touches me, watching the quick rehearse their slow demise.

Lady Mallard, grand, green, confessing nothing, declares the party “memorable” as if memory required her permission. Her heart clinks like silver. She will outlive her pleasures by precisely eleven years, but we can keep that between us, can’t we? I like to honour suspense.

The steward scuttles around the edges—his seraglio upstairs murmuring philosophical contentment. Indiscretions are properly scheduled in this house; the Duke’s curiosity never interrupts protocol. The east wing glows faintly like a secret thinking itself important. I drift

through, out of courtesy, and find laughter freshly invented. Everyone forgets that I was the prototype of scandal; I died of it.

The ball peaks at eleven: candlelight swollen to theology, champagne to prophecy. Even I feel warm—an error, surely. The musicians falter on a particularly ambitious quadrille. Behind the gallery curtains, a maid swoons at splendour's velocity; a footman undoes her corset, slowly, irreligiously. These are the small mercies that sustain England.

Midnight—always the hour where the living believe magic will simplify their regrets. I float along the staircase, invisible benevolence. The Duke tips his head as if catching perfume, or presence. He says to the Duchess, "Someone left the fire unguarded." She smiles: "It's only the past, darling—it keeps itself warm." She is right.

The guests depart one by one, dragged home by horses, fumes, habit. A trail of compliments, indifferently spent. The servants resume their covert lives, taking sips of abandoned champagne—history's rightful payment. I make one slow orbit of the ballroom, admiring the ruin: petals wilted, glass perspiring, music hanging like breath that forgot to stop.

Yesterday's ancestors—mine, theirs, everyone's—cluster now among the shadows. We are a republican company, these dead. Rank evaporates; gossip endures. I gather them close, murmuring approval. "Fine workmanship," I tell them. "They've mastered imitation. Soon they'll join us." We vanish briefly in good humour.

People ask what time feels like to a ghost. It feels like housekeeping: endless polishing of moments that tarnish again at once. You observe, you admire, you forget yourself thoroughly. The living think I guard their heritage; truly, I feed on their repetition. Each ball is the same inexhaustible jest; each generation believes it invented evening. I have been watching night for centuries—it never once remembered daylight.

I fade as dawn begins its undignified arithmetic. From upstairs comes the first servant's cough, the new tomorrow pretending to differ. I will rest—in what, I cannot define—until the next occasion demands supervision. My family loves their ghosts; I, having none, love them backwardly.

And so, my unseen audience, let the record show: I observed the whole of the day—waking, washing, faking, dancing—and found it satisfactory. The house endures, the vanity endures, and I, alas, endure with them.

Now, please excuse me. They will start rising again soon, and visibility at breakfast is always so gauche.



1930: Preface

*Arthur Frederick Blandy, D.Phil. (Syd.),
sometime Fellow in Genealogical Studies*

It is with a certain mixture of filial reverence and professional hesitation that I offer the following preface to this latest and, I dare say, most illuminating collection of documents concerning that most luminous occasion—the Mallard Centenary of 1850. My involvement with the family (alas, now terminated by mortality and manners rather than indiscretion) gives me, I believe, an entirely unique vantage. For though I was but an humble servant in the hierarchy of that great house, I was placed—both literally and spiritually—at elbow height to history.

The reader will forgive, I trust, a brief digression. It was amid the winter gloom of 1922, during my early efforts toward scholarship, that I published my modest but, I think we may say now, seminal treatise, “The Decorum of Address: From Mistress to Miss.” In that little study—praised in *The Morning Post* as “curiously exhaustive”—I traced the evolution of polite appellation from the Georgian drawing-room to the Edwardian dining-table, demonstrating how civilisation itself may be measured by the precision with which it names its ladies. It was, if I may confess, less a book than a service to good breeding.

Some have asked, with what I take to be genuine curiosity, why should an authority on forms of address preface a history of a family celebration. The answer, my dear readers, is that the two are inseparable. Nothing so faithfully illustrates the delicate ballet of precedence, of who bows first and to whom, as that long-remembered luncheon beneath the striped Pavilion at Mallard Park. Indeed, the correspondence that follows—some of it unpublished until now—reveals that the very disarray which marred the Duke’s festivities arose from a breach (innocent yet seismic) in the protocols of address. One Countess was addressed as “My Ladyship” when she was, factually and genealogically, “Your Ladyship.” The result, recorded in Lady Iona’s marginal notes, was what she herself termed “an emotional contusion of European dimensions.”

It delights me, therefore, to tether this volume to my prior work, much as one might attach a polished handle to an ancestral teapot. For if *The Decorum of Address* concerned itself with the speech of

manners, The Mallard Centenary concerns itself with the manners of speech—that is, the catastrophic failure thereof.

Let it also be remembered (and here I speak with no wish to trespass upon the territory of the professional historian) that the Centenary was less social event than moral experiment. England had never seen such an assembly of persons conscious of birth, income, and seating. Eighty percent, we are told, were women; one hundred percent were related, and ninety-nine percent indignant. The confusion which ensued was not, as later satirists alleged, due to the excessive consumption of puddings, but to the incipient collapse of the etiquette chain. When an Archduchess finds herself placed beside the widow of a Hampshire tea-merchant, civilisation itself trembles at the cutlery.

It is no hyperbole to say that the difficulties of the day could have been avoided had the Duke appended to each invitation a digest of my own chapter on “The Proper Ranges of Precedence Among British and Foreign Personages (Tables I–V).” Alas, he did not, and the rest is both dinner and history.

The papers here assembled—letters, diaries, and memoranda—chart, sometimes with painful candour, the failure of formality and the triumph of spectacle. They show how splendour compensates for mistaken sequence, and how every offended Countess may be soothed by foie gras. Their publication vindicates, in its small way, the entire thesis of my earlier book: that address, correctly rendered, is the backbone of civility, and when neglected, produces only luncheon.

I cannot conclude without recording my own minor association with the Centenary. Though at that time an obscure but observant groom-of-papers, I was deputed to arrange a portion of the seating and to polish the ducal dispatch boxes which contained the aforementioned “Little Book of Fitzartur Foibles.” Had my superior officers heeded my suggestion to employ colour-coded place cards, the fatal mingling of foreign baronesses with domestic viscountesses might never have occurred. Posterity, alas, is always deaf at the table.

I commend this collection to those who study society as a science rather than as a sport. It is history as etiquette—embarrassing, instructive, and, like all good breeding, rather more entertaining than decorous.

1930: Introduction

Viscountess Viola Vorpel

[I place these remarks at the head of this volume not because they precede what follows, but because they explain why so much of it has been misunderstood.]

In the autumn of 1929, while sifting through the dusty archives in the trunk, I chanced upon a bundle of yellowed letters tied with faded ribbon—correspondence spanning the years 1847 to 1851, centring upon that most ostentatious of Victorian spectacles, the Mallard Centenary of 1850. What began as a casual perusal of family papers, intended merely to exhume amusing anecdotes for the edification of my nieces, soon revealed itself as a treasure trove of historical revelation. These documents—letters from dukes and secretaries, diary scraps from maids and butlers, satirical screeds from gutter presses, even estimates for private railways and tented palaces—paint a vivid portrait of aristocratic excess. Yet, as I compiled them for this volume, one voice rang louder than the rest: that of my flighty kinswoman Lavinia, whose breathless epistles to her sister in Lisbon chronicled the event’s superficial splendours with all the wide-eyed glee of a debutante at her first ball. Her accounts of smelly trains, pilfered necklaces (one of which, scandalously, proved a red diamond), and squabbling colonials at luncheon told but half the story—a gossipy half, to be sure, but woefully incomplete.

For the Mallard Centenary, that much-maligned extravaganza of canvas Versailles and wine-spouting fountains, served a purpose far nobler than the Duke’s dynastic vanity or the pilfering of his gewgaws. Unbeknownst to His Grace, some thirty women from across the globe converged not merely as guests, but as pioneers of a clandestine congress. Slipping away from the Great Hall’s twenty-course debauchery under the guise of “resting one’s nerves” or “admiring the parterres,” they gathered in the secluded Dower Lodges and the North Wing’s forgotten parlours. There, amid the Dowagers’ rose-scented retreats (whose pointed absence from the luncheon tables now takes on new meaning), they held what must rank as one of the first international conferences of women in modern history. These were no idle heiresses or dowagers nursing grudges; they were educators, reformers, and thinkers—Lady Arabella from Calcutta, with her firsthand knowledge of Indian zenanas; Clara from Bombay, versed in colonial widowhood; Margaret from Scotland, steeped in Presbyterian moral philosophy; even distant voices from Sydney and Peking, carried by the Albatross’s cabins. Their agenda? The improvement of women’s education: the establishment of collegiate scholarships beyond

Oxbridge's shadow, the translation of scientific texts into accessible tongues, the reform of marriage laws to grant wives property rights akin to their Continental sisters.

From these shadowed conclaves emerged the Mallard Collegium—a nascent sisterhood, perhaps the first truly global collegiate of intellectual women, unbound by nation or creed. It built upon an existing network of letter-writers, a clandestine epistolary web that had flourished since the previous Mallard centenary in 1750, when enlightened aunts and cousins exchanged tracts on Wollstonecraft and Mary Astell under cover of embroidery patterns. By 1850, this filament had strengthened into a formidable chain: coded missives via packet ships, shared reading lists disguised as fashion plates, mutual funds for indigent bluestockings. The Centenary provided the perfect veil—its fripperies and formalities a smokescreen for the real work. While the Duke preened over precedence and his private locomotive huffed complacently into Sussex, these women drafted charters, pledged endowments from their private purses (no ducal millions required), and vowed to propagate girls' academies from Sussex to Singapore. Lavinia's letters, with their fixation on crinolines askew and the "giddiness" of train travel, exemplify the foil: she and her ilk—Iona Wing chief among them—projected the event as a smelly, scandalous extravaganza of class snobberies and stolen garnets, keeping the menfolk (and the credulous) occupied with questions of rank and frippery. The whispers about the "Duchess" (Alice's dramatic Orient flight, aided by a sympathetic housekeeper), the overturned chests ripe for plunder, the colonial squabbles—all these served as delicious distractions, ensuring the Pavilion's din drowned out the Dower Houses' deliberations.

I have included herein both sides of the principal correspondences: Lavinia's giddy dispatches alongside the measured missives of the Collegium participants, that the contrast may illuminate the duality of the day. Where Lavinia titters over the heat of closed carriages and the "miasma" of post-luncheon mingling, Lady Arabella pens sober proposals for zenana schools; where Iona laments precedence like Lady Catherine de Bourgh reborn, Margaret from Scotland outlines curricula for Highland seminaries. Even the scathing satires of *The Scurrilous Rag*—accusing the Duke of matrimonial "incompetence"—find their counterpoint in the housekeeper's diary, revealing Alice's escape as a triumph of female solidarity. This volume thus resurrects not merely a fête, but a fulcrum: the moment when women, cloaked in the Duke's unwitting pageantry, seized the reins of their own enlightenment.

In an age when suffrage banners fly and Oxford doors creak open to our daughters, let us honour these foremothers—not for tents or

truffles, but for the invisible architecture they erected amid the visible pomp. The Mallard Centenary was no mere ducal *divertissement*; it was the dawn of a women's republic of letters, sprawling across empires, enduring beyond gold leaf and gossip.

1874: The Rise and Continuance of the House of Fitzartur

There existed, amid the statelier traditions of the English nobility, few more singular or enduring than that belonging to the ancient and curiously tenacious house of Fitzartur. Its origin is now beyond the grasp of record—lost, perhaps, as so many noble beginnings are, in the fragrant mists of legend and comfortable invention. Yet, from Saxon twilight to our own age of steam and sentiment, that family observed its Centenary Convocation, a solemn and somewhat theatrical assembly of every surviving branch—legitimate, collateral, and colonial—summoned at the pleasure of the reigning Duke to the ancestral seat of Mallard House.

What purpose first guided this custom none could clearly say. Some held it was a remnant of old Norman fealty; others whispered of Pictish rites preserved under Christian names; and one aged genealogist, more imaginative than precise, declared it sprang from a vow made by a half-mythic Sir Gervase Fitzartur, who swore before heaven that his line should count its years as nations count their kings. Be that as it may, nothing—not famine, nor rebellion, nor the inconvenient scarcity of heirs—was ever suffered to interrupt this reckoning of centuries. The family took for granted what their servants arranged: that life itself deferred to their convenience.

To deem such assurance mere arrogance would be to mistake faith for vanity. The Fitzarturs were not gamblers staking fortune against time; they were administrators of inevitability. That the world should continue seemed to them no less natural than that the butler should appear when rung for. Time, like the domestic staff, would wait upon them; the seasons were merely their footmen, and history their housekeeper. Stones do not question their endurance, nor did a Fitzartur his posterity. Theirs was a fairy-tale sustained not by delusion but by audacity—the serene conviction that destiny, if treated with hereditary confidence, will behave accordingly.

Yet even stones weather, and families, like armies, alter their banners. As the centuries advanced, Fitzartur softened, multiplied, and at last unfolded into the name of de Mallard. By the middle of the eighteenth century, a sprinkling of Highland cousins claimed kinship; by the nineteenth, a proud and bewildering array of colonial Mallards had emerged—Australasian, Indian, and even Canadian—each more

energetic and talkative than the last. When the summons went forth for the next Centenary, it gathered half the Empire in its net. The expense, though ruinous in one currency, promised profitable acquaintance in another.

So the tradition persisted: a day of pageant and pilgrimage, half devotion, half display. To the lofty it offered a mirror for their eminence; to the middling it granted a spectacle for envy and imitation; to the poorer relations, lurking amiably by the refreshment-tents, it provided both diversion and, on occasion, opportunity of a portable kind. Few left Mallard unencumbered by some discreet token of memory.

But it is the Centenary of 1850 which commands our attention; for that anniversary, dazzling at its dawning, closed in confusion and gossip so prodigious that another hundred years were scarcely sufficient to bleach its memory. Its principal figure in scandal was the then Duchess, Lady Alice, whose taste for adornment, though feminine, was executed upon a masculine scale. She was celebrated as much for the hauteur of her profile as for the height of her hats, a lady equally enamoured of velvet boaters and of her own reflection. Her affection for the Duke, a man unbending in disposition and ankle alike, had long since declined from indifference to escape velocity.

Thus it came to pass that, on the very eve of the festivities, while the odour of the kitchens rose heavenward and footmen rehearsed their silence, Her Grace performed the most singular act of household rearrangement in the Mallard chronicles. With admirable dispatch she departed, taking with her the choicest jewels, the family's most persuasive milliner, and the Duke's capacity to pretend surprise. The pair were traced eastward only by fable and perfume—an Oriental elopement, as the journals termed it, into some oasis where sequins outnumber sermons.

Neither plea nor plate was ever recovered. Of the absconded milliner, rumour declared that he opened an establishment in Bombay; of the Duchess, none could speak with confidence. No letter arrived, no child was born to mend the breach. Within the year the Duke, stung yet practical, consoled his lineage with a new bride of less imagination and greater docility, and the Mallard Centenary, like England itself, resumed its measured routine as though scandal were but another course in the feast.

Thus stood the house at mid-century: venerable, unshaken, and dimly aware that it had survived itself. The ceremony endured; the names lengthened; and the dates were written as before, in that cautious script by which the aristocracy guards its immortality. Whether by Providence or obstinacy, the Fitzarturs continued their most ancient

talent: to exchange catastrophe for custom, and to do so with the dignity of those who have never, in all their history, apologised for either.

1847: Private and Confidential
Mallard House, London

To Her Most Gracious Majesty, Queen Victoria

Madam,

I beg leave, with profound respect and the most loyal devotion to your Majesty's person and throne, to trespass upon a few moments of your consideration, in a matter relating not only to my family's convenience, but, as I humbly contend, to the decorum and dignity that ought ever to distinguish the highest orders of your Majesty's realm.

It has come to my notice that certain persons in Parliament, urged on by a species of popular clamour, have expressed reluctance to sanction the bill enabling the construction of a private line of railway from London to my estates. I cannot conceive that this hesitation arises from any disposition unfriendly to the Crown or to those whose fortunes have, through generations, upheld its magnificence; yet, I own, the temper of the age renders the distinction between the exalted and the common more precarious than ever before.

Your Majesty will perhaps recall that the House of Mallard has, since before the days of Henry the Eighth, been intimately bound with the destinies of the Crown—not merely by marriage and alliance, but by frequent and costly service. It was our ancestor who underwrote the splendour of that renowned Field of Cloth of Gold; who defrayed the charge of the royal pavilions when the Exchequer lay lean; who subsequently rendered His Majesty Charles the Second certain pecuniary assistance during his exile, of which, happily, no public record has ever been required. Many a Mallard fortune has been dissipated upon sovereign obligation.

Amid such recollections, it humbles me deeply to find myself now in the position of requesting, rather than conferring, assistance. Yet I am persuaded that your Majesty's enlightened judgment must perceive the propriety of ensuring that ancient houses, long accustomed to a degree of distinction compatible only with privacy, should not be compelled to entangle their movements with those of the multitude. The mob may travel by railway if it so pleases them—but for those whose names and blood have sustained the monarchy itself, some separation is both natural and salutary.

I therefore venture to entreat your Majesty's gracious influence in prevailing upon your faithful Ministers to grant their approval to the measure without delay. Such an outcome would be received not merely as a boon to myself, but as a fitting reaffirmation of the mutual regard which has ever subsisted between your Majesty's House and Mine.

I take the liberty of enclosing, for your Majesty's private acceptance, a small casket containing twelve rubies of unusual colour and purity, discovered recently in one of my estates near Hyderabad. Your Majesty's well-known appreciation of the arts and curiosities of the East leads me to trust you may find them not unpleasing.

Begging leave to remain, with the most profound deference and the undying loyalty of my house,

I have the honour to be, Madam,
Your Majesty's humble and devoted servant,

1849: The Mallard Centenary Celebrations

By gracious permission of His Grace the Duke of Mallard, it is announced that the Centenary of the Fitzartur Family—commemorating another hundred years of the Ducal line—will be celebrated upon the broad lawns and parklands of Mallard Estate next year.

Arrangements have been made for the conveyance of guests by The Mallard Private Railway connecting directly from London to the Mallard Estate. Carriages will depart by special timetable from the Private Platform at Clapham.

Overseas visitors are informed that His Grace's steamship The Albatross will depart from the Port of London in April next, for the exclusive conveyance of guests and their servants.

All Mallards are requested to forward letters of acceptance to Mr. Edward L. Blandy, Secretary to His Grace, Mallard House, indicating the number in their party and preferred means of travel.

Uniforms, court dress, and full evening costume will be required for the principal ceremonies and entertainments. Further particulars respecting the day's programme will be circulated in due course.

By Command of His Grace,
Edward L. Blandy
Secretary to the Duke of Mallard
Mallard House

Published by order in The Times of London, The Calcutta Gazette, The North China Herald, The Sydney Morning Herald, and other approved colonial journals.

1930: The Duke's Imperative Splendour:
A Recollection of the Mallard Centenary of 1850

*Arthur Frederick Blandy, D.Phil. (Syd.),
sometime Fellow in Genealogical Studies*

It now seems to me perfectly inevitable that His Grace, the Duke of Mallard, should have conceived, or rather accepted as his unavoidable destiny, the notion of creating a city of tents on his estate for the centenary celebrations of 1850. The Mallards, like swans and sovereigns, have always been creatures of display. It was in their lineage, not choice. Their ancestors financed the Field of Cloth of Gold itself—that most operatic of historical follies—and something in their blood has demanded that *éclat* be periodically re-enacted at corresponding expense.

The Duke was never a man to be accused of enthusiasm. Obligation, yes; the heavy sense of nobility's duty to appear noble, yes again. But pleasure—never. Thus, when he commanded the works to begin, he did so in precisely the same tone with which he might summon the undertaker's man. "It must be done. The family expects it. And, if it must be done, it shall be done properly."

Accordingly, the plans, long forgotten in the vaults beneath the east wing—fantastical designs executed by some ancestor's Italian draughtsman—were unearthed and set in motion. From those worm-eaten scrolls there arose, over the course of mere months, an entire transient metropolis of canvas and timber painted to mimic stone. Its central edifice—styled The Pavilion of Honour—was vast enough to contain a proper Great Hall, an elegant chapel with a gilded altar, and a courtyard open to the heavens. Great windows of painted glass caught the sun by day and lantern-light by night, so that even those on the far edge of the estate could see the colours shimmer and tremble like ecclesiastical confetti upon the trees. Terracotta roundels, all bearing a Mallard drake rampant, adorned every surface that would stand still long enough to be ornamented.

Around this central phantasmagoria stood pavilions innumerable for the family's far-flung branches—those from Madras, from Antwerp, even from Rhode Island. Each pavilion was as absurdly commodious as a minor ducal seat, and before each stood its own decorative fountain. The Duke commanded that half should pour water and half wine; but, as with so many of his pleasantries, the joke proved expensive, for by luncheon the pipes were a sticky maroon, and the plumbers resigned.

The entire affair was reckoned to have cost in the region of half a million pounds. Half a million, I say, for a single day's spectacle! And yet to watch that shimmering, transitory palace under the full light of the June sun—the banners lifting, the brass bands braying, the ladies' silks flickering like tropical birds—one could not deny its grandeur, even if one doubted its sense. The Duke himself viewed the whole in much the same spirit one regards the completion of a dental operation: relieved, sanguine, faintly resentful.

Still he could not have done otherwise. There was the inertia of ancestry behind him—generations of Mallards who, having once built a temporary Versailles in a French field, could hardly commemorate their own centenary with anything less in scale. He may not have wished it, but he understood it, as only those born to impossible splendour can. When I recall him standing in the Grand Hall beneath the ripple of a thousand candles, gazing upon that effulgent unreality he had been compelled to conjure, I see a man both triumphant and defeated—triumphant in execution, defeated by inevitability.

Such, I suppose, is nobility's tragedy: never to be able to decline its own magnificence.

1847: Windsor Castle

To Our Right Trusty and Right Well-Beloved Cousin, the Duke of Mallard

My dear Duke,

Your letter has given Me sincere pleasure, both from the affectionate tone in which it is written and from the renewed assurance it conveys of the steadfast loyalty which has ever distinguished your House in its attachment to the Crown. I have long been mindful—as was the late King, My beloved Uncle—of the services rendered by your ancestors to Our Family and to the Realm, and it is always agreeable to Me to receive fresh proof that such traditions of fidelity continue unbroken.

I have read with much interest your proposal respecting the formation of your private line of railway to the Mallard estate. The notion appears to Me most proper and becoming, and I can readily understand that the conveniences of such an arrangement should be indispensable to a person of your rank and position, whose engagements require both privacy and dispatch. You may therefore rely with perfect confidence upon My goodwill and support in this undertaking; I shall not fail to cause My Ministers to understand how entirely I approve your design.

Permit Me also to express My gratitude for the beautiful rubies from your Indian possessions, which reached Me safely a few days since. Their colour is of extraordinary depth and warmth. I have had them set in a small coronet, which I shall wear in remembrance of your friendship and as a token of My constant esteem for the ancient and distinguished name of Mallard.

With every good wish for your health and prosperity, and with the assurance of My kindest regard,

I remain, My dear Duke,
Your affectionate cousin and friend,
Victoria R.

1848: Mallard Shipyards, Mallard Estate

My Lord Duke,

I have the honour to acquaint Your Grace that, according to Your instructions, I have completed the arrangements for the thorough conversion of Your Grace's steam vessel The Albatross, from her former condition as a cargo-carrying transport into one more suited for the comfortable conveyance of passengers.

The alterations now in progress will provide accommodation for three hundred persons, exclusive of crew, distributed between two decks. The upper deck will contain a central saloon, panelled in polished teak, with large sash windows fitted with plate glass and ventilators; adjoining which will be placed a series of cabins for Your Grace's family and distinguished guests, each furnished with cushioned berths and proper conveniences. The lower deck will be arranged in open sections for attendants and persons of inferior rank, with mess-tables and benches, yet affording, I trust, reasonable comfort for a voyage of moderate duration.

The forward hatch has been widened to admit additional light, and the ship's boilers are being refitted with improved iron tubing so as to ensure greater economy of coal and a steadier pressure of steam. Her funnels and paddle-boxes are undergoing ornamentation in accordance with Your Grace's arms and livery colours. When completed, she will present a striking figure on the water and be, in effect, a floating extension of Your Grace's hospitality.

Should the weather continue favourable, I anticipate that The Albatross will be ready for inspection towards the middle of June. It will be my honour to report further the moment she is fit for sea-trial.

I remain, with the utmost duty and respect,
Your Grace's obedient and faithful servant,

Thomas Blandy
Master Shipbuilder, Mallard Shipyards

1848: Estimate for the Proposed Private Railway between London and the Mallard Estate

*Prepared by Messrs. Crake, Pennington & Co., Civil Engineers
and Contractors for Railway Works
5 Great George Street, Westminster*

To the Most Noble The Duke of Mallard
My Lord Duke,

In compliance with Your Grace's instructions respecting the projected private line of railway from London to the Mallard Estate in Sussex, I have the honour to present the following Estimate and Particulars of Cost for the proposed undertaking.

1. General Description

The line, measuring approximately 48 miles in length, to commence at a junction with the London & Brighton Railway near Clapham, and to terminate within the private grounds of Your Grace's estate near Mallard Park. The works to be constructed on the standard gauge now prevailing (4 ft. 8½ in.), with permanent way of best double-headed rails on creosoted sleepers, and with turnouts provided for access to the Mallard sidings and engine house.

2. Earthworks, Bridges, and Tunnels

Estimated at £98,000

Comprising necessary cuttings, embankments, five over-bridges of brick and stone, two under-bridges for estate access, and one tunnel of approximately 300 yards at Beackhurst Hill.

3. Permanent Way and Ballasting

Rails, chairs, sleepers, ballast, and laying complete—£27,000

4. Stations and Buildings

- London Terminus: Modest private platform and covered waiting room adjacent to the junction, £4,300
- Mallard Estate Terminus: Ornamental station house in Gothic style with carriage porch and sidings for storage, £5,200

5. Rolling Stock

(a) One Private Carriage for His Grace

Constructed of polished mahogany with gilt beading, containing:

- One principal saloon with cushioned seats in velvet upholstery, figured in crimson and gold,
- One sleeping compartment with bedstead and brass fittings,
- One lavatory and water-closet, with copper bath supplied by internal cistern,
- Glazed windows with silk blinds and interior lamps of cut glass.

Estimated cost for entire carriage: £2,450

(b) Three Second-Class Carriages

Each with cushioned seats, glazed windows, and ventilation panels, capable of seating 32 persons.

Total for three: £1,200

(c) One Locomotive Engine and Tender

Of the 2-2-2 wheel arrangement, adapted to light private service.

Complete with fittings: £3,800

6. Land Acquisition and Compensation

Allowance for purchase of required strips through private holdings and compensation claims—£14,000

7. Engineering Supervision, Labour & Contingencies

Including contractors' profit and 10% contingency—£16,750

Total Estimated Cost of Works and Rolling Stock: £170,000 (one hundred and seventy thousand pounds sterling).

It is our opinion that, if the requisite Parliamentary powers can be readily obtained, the line might be constructed and in operation within 20 months from the date of commencement, weather and supply conditions permitting.

We remain, My Lord Duke,
Your Grace's most obedient and humble servants,
Crake, Pennington & Co. Civil Engineers

1848: Mallard House, London

Messrs. Crake, Pennington & Co.

5 Great George Street, Westminster

Gentlemen,

I am directed by His Grace the Duke of Mallard to acknowledge receipt of your Estimate of the 17th instant concerning the proposed private railway from London to the Mallard Estate.

His Grace desires me to inform you that the requisite Parliamentary powers have already been secured, and that you are therefore authorised to proceed with the works forthwith, in accordance with the specifications therein contained. His Grace further trusts that the utmost expedition will be observed, consistent with the dignity of the undertaking.

I am, Gentlemen,
Your obedient servant,
Edward L. Blandy
Secretary to His Grace the Duke of Mallard

1849: Letter from Lady Arabella St. John to Miss Eleanor Mallard, Calcutta

It has been too many months since last I had word from you, and the heat of the rains has made correspondence nearly intolerable; yet this morning, beneath a somewhat cooler sky, I find myself longing for England, and for the dear domestic gossip which even the most splendid of colonial entertainments cannot replace. There is a sameness to the seasons here—an eternal blaze and glare—which oppresses the spirits, however much one may strive to be amused by the pomp of the Governor-General's levees or the dreadful solemnity of church parades.

We are lately removed from Barrackpore to a quieter house near Garden Reach, shaded by great tamarinds and overlooking the river. From my veranda, I watch the native boats drift by, their sails gleaming like dragonfly wings in the sun. The people are graceful, if inscrutable, and I sometimes envy their composure under this merciless climate. Henry, of course, thrives on his duties and speaks daily of irrigation and trade as though he were shaping an empire single-handed.

Tell me, my darling, whether you intend to attend the Mallard Centenary next spring. I picture all the family assembled at Castle Mallard—the terraces bright with geraniums, the banners unfurled, and the company in every sort of spirited conversation. Even here, word of the preparations has reached us, and I fear to miss it altogether. Do you know if His Grace intends to send *The Albatross* to collect guests from the Channel ports, as was rumoured? If he does, I should be sorely tempted to make the voyage myself, provided the season be favourable and Edward's duties permit.

I long to hear of your plans, and whether London is as altered as people say since the new fashions came in. Write to me soon, my dear girl, and tell me all your news—the trivial as well as the grand—for it is the little things that remind me most keenly of home.

1849: The Scurrilous Rag

[The following is an Extract from our Special Correspondent in Mudbourne, Sussex, where the air is heavy with canvas dust, self-importance, and the distant sound of hammers striking inherited money.]

It appears that Nature herself, having grown weary of providing mere geese and swallows for the edification of the rustic mind, has turned her attention to a nobler species of migrant: the Mallard. There is, we are assured, to be a Great Centenary at Mallard Park next year, at which every bearer of that august name from the four corners of the globe is expected to descend upon Sussex like so many overdressed starlings upon a single, overburdened tree.

Already, one hears of Mallards from Madras, Mallards from Montreal, Mallards from Melbourne, and Mallards from those more obscure colonial swamps whose only known exports are fever and younger sons. Each, it seems, arrives laden with trunks, opinions, and an unshakable conviction that England's soil exists principally as a suitable background for the display of their lineage.

Curiously, reports suggest that this will be a gathering distinguished less by the presence of solemn, duty-bound noblemen and more by an exuberant flight of unchaperoned ladies. The explanation is simple enough: men, being theoretically occupied with commerce, Parliament, soldiery, or the delicate art of losing fortunes on the Stock Exchange, find it harder to abandon their posts for a day's idolatry at the altar of Mallard.

Ladies, on the other hand—those fortunate possessors of husbands, brothers, and stewards—may apparently be spared to swell the ranks of the centenary congregation. Thus the roads to Sussex will be lined with carriages full of Mallard cousins, widows, spinsters, and daughters “temporarily liberated” from town obligations. One trembles to imagine the havoc they will wreak upon the lawns, the tea-tables, and the more fragile of the junior footmen.

We are assured, however, that while the company will abound in feminine independence, it will lack nothing in “propriety”—a word which, in ducal circles, is frequently used to describe the art of doing whatever one pleases behind sufficiently thick curtains.

As to the expense of this august absurdity, none can yet say with certainty, chiefly because the figure ascends so rapidly that any attempt to record it must be written in pencil. The erection of a temporary palace of wood and canvas; the provision of private trains, private steamships, private pavilions, and highly public vanity; the feeding, housing, and soothing of several hundred aristocrats and their retinues

—all this would, for an ordinary mortal, represent financial ruin of the most final kind.

Fortunately, His Grace is not an ordinary mortal. Rumour speaks of the cost in terms that would make a Chancellor of the Exchequer retire to a dark room. Yet it is widely observed that the Duke possesses several fortunes, loosely tethered to coal, rail, India, and that mysterious inland sea of money known as “grandfather’s indiscretions.” Whether one centenary more or less will make the slightest dent in such accumulated stupendousness is doubtful. If anything, one suspects the Duke will emerge from the affair richer in reputation and only slightly poorer in loose change.

There is, however, one curious omission in this grand muster of the great and gullible. Among the innumerable Mallards, quasi-Mallards, and aspirant Mallards invited to witness the spectacle, a certain royal cousin appears not to have received her card. We refer, of course, to Her Majesty, who, though undeniably related to His Grace, has thus far failed to secure a place amidst the dukes, duchesses, half-duchesses, and those many ladies who hope to become something of the kind if the champagne flows freely enough.

Whether this omission springs from oversight, pique, or the simple arithmetic that even a tent-palace has only so many corners in which to place a reigning monarch, we cannot say. It is whispered that the Duke, having long considered himself older than the Crown and twice as important, sees no reason why he should clutter his ancestral theatricals with the presence of a sovereign who might inadvertently draw attention away from himself.

In any case, the nation may rest easy: should the heavens fall upon Mallard Park in June, they will not, on this occasion, fall upon the head that wears the Crown. Her Majesty will be safely occupied elsewhere, while her noble cousin demonstrates, at great cost and greater noise, that there is no spectacle so expensive as a family determined to prove it still matters.

Thus concludes the latest bulletin from the Mallard marshes, where tradition, vanity, and money are to be displayed in such abundance that even the ducks may blush.

1850: Alice, Duchess of Mallard

To her sister-in-law, Espèce de Canard

How the days slip by like so many idle petals from the cherry boughs in the Park. London is a positive whirl of millinery and malice, yet my thoughts turn ever southward to the great preparations at

Mallard, where the estate is transforming itself into a veritable encampment of splendour. One might fancy the tents rising like the palaces of old upon the lawns, all canvas and timber gilded to outshine the sun itself.

Edward remains stoic as ever amidst the bustle, though I detect a certain gleam in his eye when he surveys the railway terminus now snaking into our grounds. The Albatross, too, is already on her voyage to collect our far-flung kin, and letters pour in from cousins in Bombay and beyond, promising regiments of trunks and tempers. I trust I can bend you to my will and encourage you to an extended visit this year to assist with the forthcoming Centenary. Your presence, my dear, would be an inestimable comfort—moral support above all, for the servants have it all in hand, from polishing the silver to plotting the fountains that are to spout wine by the barrel. There will be little for you to do but lend your ear to my frets and your wit to the endless round of teas.

Pray do not disappoint me with excuses of engagements; the Mallard arms demand your allegiance, and I shall take it ill if you leave me to face the horde alone. Bring that clever Blandy maid of yours, and perhaps a gown or two that might pass for fashionable amid the colonial silks.

Write at once with your acquiescence, for I long to embrace you amid this chaos of magnificence.

1850: Buckingham Palace

To Sir Edwin d'Apond, Editor, The Scurrilous Rag

Sir,

I am commanded by Her Majesty The Queen to address you upon the scurrilous paragraph appearing in your issue of the 3rd instant, wherein your correspondent presumes to assert that Her Majesty has not received an invitation to the Centenary celebrations at Mallard Park.

Her Majesty is fully abreast of all matters pertaining to her extended family and to the State, and requires no instruction from the pages of ephemeral journalism. She was indeed honoured with a personal invitation from His Grace the Duke of Mallard, which she has already declined—in person, by her own hand—owing to the pressing demands of public affairs that render her attendance impossible, though she would otherwise have enjoyed the occasion.

You are therefore directed to publish a full and immediate retraction of this falsehood, together with an apology to Her Majesty, in your

next edition, failing which the consequences will be left to your imagination.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Lady Ophelia Legg, Under-Secretary to Her Most Gracious Majesty

1850: Speech of His Grace the Duke of Mallard

To the House of Lords, Winter session

It is with a sentiment of equal obligation and amusement that I rise to address this House. Obligation—for though the affairs of the realm are at present pressed upon us with a weight both urgent and delicate, the duties of our rank admit of no retreat. Amusement—because, after near a thousand years of Mallards, I still find myself explaining to this Assembly why antiquity matters.

My Lords, England is not, as the pamphleteers would have it, a mushroom republic sprung overnight beside the Channel. She is an ancient oak, whose roots are the bloodlines and the honours of her noble houses. Name is the bark; rank the branches; antiquity, the deep and unseen root from which all strength proceeds. Without those roots, the Crown itself, that noble bird upon our highest bough, must flutter helplessly to ground. It is fashionable—particularly among our newly silvered barons of trade—to despise such sentiments as moth-eaten. Yet when the tempest comes (and come it shall), who, my Lords, will shelter the nation? Not the ledger-books of Lombard Street, but the steadfast patience of those whose ancestors bled before the word “capital” had a coin to its name.

I am told this House must soon undertake a task of singular gravity—one which will demand, as ever, the steady counsel of those born to shoulder the state’s oldest burdens. Be assured, my Lords, that I, and the feathered line to which I have the misfortune and honour to belong, stand ready as we have stood since before William’s day. The Mallards, for all their eccentric reputation, have never failed the Crown—though we have, on occasion, lightly corrected it. [Laughter.] And if, in maintaining Her Majesty’s realm, we must dip our private fortunes, our ancient ponds, and our reputations into service, then so be it.

I am, as many of you kindly know, three times a Duke—by England, by Scotland, and by accident of foreign courtesy. Thus, I require no protection of title: I already have too many to lose. [Dry laughter.] What I require, and what I urge upon you, is that we preserve the principle from which every patent and coronet springs—the belief that noble name and public service are the same coin differently minted. In

this age of pamphleted irreverence and editorial sneer, let ours be the example that aristocracy is not mere decoration, but endurance.

Now, I have heard, whispered perhaps too loudly in the club smoking-rooms, certain speculations concerning the so-called Centenary of the Mallards—an affair, I assure your Lordships, of no national importance whatever. It appears, however, that a number of your families will be represented, willingly or otherwise, at that unfortunate festival. Tradition, not vanity, compels its observance, though I have long maintained that nothing so greatly endangers a good family as its own anniversaries. [Laughter and murmured assent.] If you must attend, come well provisioned with patience; if you have excuses, invoke them freely. I shall envy rather than censure your prudence.

For myself, I regard this Centenary as a mild act of archaeological continuity—a hundred years of surviving one's ancestors and creditors alike. Should any proceeds from that lamentable extravagance, rest assured the House of Mallard will apply them, as always, toward the continuance of those institutions—the Church, the Crown, and this august Chamber—without which our elaborate game would lose its board.

My Lords, the snow may hang over the Palace of Westminster tonight, but the flame of England burns yet. Let us see that it burns with the steady light of lineage, not the sudden glare of novelty. And if in the course of that duty I may assist, as my forebears did, to keep the Crown afloat, I shall count it no sacrifice, but merely another century's routine.

1850: a Blandy Maid-of-All-Work

Up this morn at four by the kitchen clock, still dark and chill, the lamps smoking dreadful and no one stirring but me and the cats. Mrs. Blandy would have the floors scrubbed before daylight, for the Centenary is to-morrow and she says the Duke's people will be coming early to see all in readiness. My hands is raw from the lye water, and I near dropped the bucket on the stairs, being that sleepy.

Monsieur Blandie was in a dreadful fume about the fowls, saying as how nothing in this house is fit for company. I kept my tongue, though I thought the same. The silver's to be done next, and then the great parlour, which smells of polish and roses both together, like to make one faint. Still, the place will look grand when all the candles are lit.

I should like to see the ladies in their fine gowns, though we must keep out of sight when they dine. If only I might have a bit of pudding left over, I'd count it a fair day's work.

1850: a Blandy butler

A fine stir this morning, with crowds massing thick beyond the Park gates and all along the new railway embankment—curious rustics, tradesmen, and half the county gentry come to gawk as the ducal family disembarks from the private train. One could scarce hear oneself think for the cheering and the steam-whistle's shriek, though the footmen managed to keep the platform clear enough.

1850: a Blandy footman

Up betimes with the larks this morn, though scarce had the dew dried when His Grace summoned half a dozen beaters and strode off. The Centenary tents gleam like a new Jerusalem across the park, yet he seeks his prey as if the world held no greater urgency.

1850: the Blandy house-keeper

No end of trouble this morning with a ragged vagabond caught skulking near the home coverts—poaching no doubt, the wretch, amid all the Centenary bustle. His Grace's shooting party flushed him out, and one of the guns went off too close; the fool's winged in the leg and bleeding like a stuck pig. Dragged him to the far outhouse to keep him from the guests, and sent for the doctor post-haste—should he arrive in time, perhaps the rascal lives to face the magistrates. A fine start to this day's grandeur.

1850: Arabella FitzWilliam

Letter fragment to her sister

The monsoon has broken at last, leaving the air cool enough for letter-writing, though the heat still clings to one's spirits like damp silk. Your account of the Centenary reached me weeks ago, and I have pored over every detail—the tents like a fairy city, the fountains flowing with wine, the endless courses that must have left the guests in a stupor of satiety. Yes, the Duchess did look most marvellous in her gown and jewels, a vision of ivory lace and emeralds that set every tongue wagging from Calcutta to Cape Town; but did you not hear the whispers even then? She was not the Duke's wife but his sister.

The gossip has circled the globe faster than the Albatross herself, carried on every packet from Southampton to Singapore. Poor Alice—the true Duchess, they say—appears to have disappeared on that very day, vanishing like mist from the Park without trace or telegram. One

hears tales of a hasty voyage to the Continent, or perhaps seclusion in some distant Mallard dower house; who can say? I wonder where she has gone, though truth be told, the mystery only adds piquancy to the tale.

What delights me more than I can say is the revelation that even the Dukes have their family issues: they appear so much above us, perched on their pedestals of ancient gold and impeccable heraldry, but they are really just as human as we are—prone to the same scandals, secrets, and sorrows that plague our own modest circles. It is a comforting thought amid the heat and the endless curry dinners here. Do write with any further on-dits you glean from Sussex society; your loving sister craves every morsel.

1850: The Scurrilous Rag London's Beacon of Unvarnished Truth

The Duke's Disappearing Act: A Centenary of Convenience?

As the year expires in a whimper of fog and festive flatulence, one cannot help but reflect on the glittering absurdities of the season just past—none more resplendent, nor more rotten at the core, than the Duke of Mallard's Centenary Extravaganza. Amid the canvas palaces, the wine-spouting fountains, and the 20-course luncheon that left guests groaning like overstuffed peacocks, His Grace managed to unveil not merely a family history, but a matrimonial mystery worthy of the Bow Street Runners. For lo, the "Duchess" who dazzled in ivory lace and emeralds turns out to have been no wife at all, but a sister playing the part. And the real Duchess? Poof—like a conjuror's rabbit, she has vanished without so much as a hairpin left behind.

Let us rewind to the annals of recent misfortune. Scarce a twelvemonth ago, the first Duchess—poor Amelia, of the porcelain complexion and impeccable pedigree—perished in a most convenient conflagration at Mallard House. The papers, ever eager to oblige nobility, reported it as a tragic accident: a candle toppled in the night, curtains ablaze, and the lady overcome by smoke before the footmen could rouse themselves from their ratafia dreams. No inquiry, no inquest worth the name; merely a black-bordered notice and a hasty interment. One might almost suspect the Mallard cellars held more than claret—perhaps a tincture of accelerant, liberally applied?

Now, with the Centenary dust scarcely settled, comes word from indisputable sources (that is, the servants' hall and the packet-boats from Bombay) that His Grace has taken another wife. No announcements in *The Times*, no banns read in the village church—

merely a quiet ceremony, a new ring upon a feminine finger, and the previous “Duchess” evaporated like morning dew on the Park lawns. Did she embark upon the Albatross for parts unknown? Retire to a distant dower house with a lifetime supply of laudanum? Or has she, perchance, met the same fiery fate as her predecessor? The Duke offers no explanations, no bulletins to enlighten his bemused tenantry. Silence, it seems, is the new Mallard heraldry.

To lose one wife might be regarded as misfortune. To lose two in so brief a span—nay, to replace them with siblings and strangers—is, frankly, incompetence of the most egregious order. One pictures His Grace, fowling-piece in hand, stalking the coverts not merely for vagabonds, but for domestic encumbrances. A shot in the leg for poachers, a blaze in the boudoir for brides—such is the ducal sport. And yet, this is the man who presumes to lecture Queen and Commons on the sanctity of ancient dignities, who builds private railways and tent-cities at a half-million a pop, all to proclaim his lineage’s superiority. These are our betters, are they? Perched upon thrones of gold and gossip, lording it over the vulgar herd while their own hearths smoulder with suspicion.

One trembles for the third Duchess, whoever she may be—some colonial import, dazzled by the Pavilion’s stained glass and the promise of precedence. Will she, too, find her jewels melting into a puddle of “accidental” flame? Or has the Duke refined his arts, opting now for exile on the high seas? The Centenary has etched the Mallards into history not as swans of splendour, but as drakes of dubious dealings. Let Parliament ponder this as it debates the next round of “reforms”: if dukes dispatch their duchesses with such impunity, what hope for the rest of us in their vaunted “betters”?

We at The Scurrilous Rag shall watch with interest—and perhaps a fire-bucket at the ready. After all, in the game of noble matrimony, it seems the house always wins, provided the house doesn’t burn down first.

1850: Espèce de Canard

Private papers

A fine mist veils the Park this dawn, and the tents rise like ghosts against the sunrise—ghosts of what this day pretends to celebrate. Alice is gone, slipped away under cover of night to the Orient as we planned, trunks heavy with what securities she could claim and her spirit lighter than it has been these many years. I know her destination: a discreet villa near Bombay, under Clara’s watchful eye, where zenanas may yet

yield to her reforming hand. Godspeed and may the Eastern winds bear you freer than these stone walls ever allowed. You deserve the world beyond Mallard's reach.

Yet duty calls, as it ever does for we who remain. I should far rather spend the day sequestered in the Library, rummaging through that old trunk of letters and ledgers—secrets enough there to fuel a dozen scandals—but the family demands representation. Few among the hundred guests have any clear picture of Alice beyond a fleeting portrait or a hearsay silhouette; they shall see a Duchess in ivory lace and emeralds, and none will be the wiser. I shall play the part with smiles and precedence charts, presiding over the Pavilion as born to it.

Amusement tempers the farce: Edward declares he will not attend today—some pretext of estate business or shooting in the coverts—and has importuned my husband to stand in his stead at the head of table. Thus the patriarch absents himself, the matriarch has fled to pagodas, and the Centenary—that monument to Mallard vanity—is demoted sideways to my management. Sideways, indeed: from ducal pinnacle to a sister's tiara. Let the colonials squabble over seats and the gossips whisper; I shall conduct the symphony, with the real work humming unseen in the Dower Lodges. By nightfall, the tents may fall, but our web endures.

1850: Lavinia deMallard to her sister, Isabella Mallard

Willowmere Cottage, near Clapham

Oh, where shall I begin with the positively dizzying adventure I have just endured? You will scarce credit it, but I have this very day traversed the whole forty-eight miles from London to the Duke of Mallard's estate in Sussex upon his brand-new private railway—yes, the very one they have been prattling about in all the papers! First class, of course, in the Duke's own opulent carriage, though I vow it felt more like a gilded cage on wheels than a chariot of the gods. I am quite overset even now, with my vinaigrette clutched in one hand and a fan in the other, but the tale must be told before the memory fades amid all this infernal spring pollen.

Fancy it: we assembled at the private platform near Clapham at some ungodly hour—nine o'clock, I think, though my maid swears it was nearer ten—and already the air was thick with the most peculiar odours. There was the train itself, reeking of hot oil and that sharp, metallic tang of steam, mingled with the sooty smoke belching from the engine like a dragon in a penny dreadful. And the passengers! Oh, Isabella, such a bouquet of humanity crammed into that velvet-

upholstered saloon—perfumed dowagers from Mayfair, their lavender water warring with the citrus pomade of overdressed colonials fresh off the boat, and beneath it all, the faint, inescapable whiff of damp wool and unwashed reticules. I declare, one stout gentleman opposite me positively exuded the aroma of a gentleman's club after port hour—pipe tobacco and roast beef, with a soupçon of yesterday's brandy!

No sooner were we seated than the door slammed shut with a most ominous clang, and the windows—those great, gleaming sheets of plate glass—were promptly closed against the “draughts,” leaving us in a veritable steam-bath of mingled breaths and body heat. The space was so confined, my dear, that my crinoline positively protruded into the lap of the lady beside me (a Mrs. Hargreaves-Potts in puce silk, who tutted like a displeased duck), and I could not but notice every quiver of every bustle. Such gowns as were on display! The young Misses Beaumont in sprigged muslin with those scandalous low bertha collars, fluttering their lashes at the guardsmen; Lady Felicia in a monstrous affair of violet taffeta with flounces that rustled like autumn leaves; and dear Mrs. Cholmondeley in cherry bombazine trimmed with passementerie that must have cost a fortune in jet beads alone. My own India mull with the Honiton lace seemed quite dowdy by comparison, though I flattered myself the Paisley shawl lent a touch of exoticism.

Then came the noise—gracious heavens, the noise! That diabolical shriek of the whistle as we lurched forward, followed by the relentless clack-clack-clack of the wheels over the joints, pounding into one's very skull like a regiment on the march. Add to it the perpetual hiss and puff of steam, the rattling of the lamps swinging overhead, and the incessant chatter of the company—gossip about the Centenary tents rising like a canvas Versailles, speculation on the Duke's new railway, and endless complaints about the “vulgar speed” (eighteen miles an hour, if you please!). Conversation was impossible; one could only nod and smile through the din, clutching the arms of one's seat as the whole contraption swayed and jolted over cuttings and embankments.

And the giddiness, Isabella—the sheer, stomach-churning giddiness of it all! At first it was thrilling, that sensation of flying over hedges and villages in a blur of green and grey, the world rushing past like a watercolour smudged by rain. But oh, after half an hour, then an hour, then two interminable hours, it grew positively nauseating. The carriage rocked from side to side, pitched forward and back, and I felt quite untethered, as if my very corset stays might snap under the assault. The closed windows trapped the heat until one's face glowed like a coal brazier, and the air grew ever thicker, ever more oppressive. I dosed myself with sal volatile, but even that could not quell the faintness; several ladies retired to the private compartments (such luxuries!—a

velvet-curtained retiring room with a copper bath and all conveniences), whence emerged whispers of overcome nerves and the discreet splash of eau de Cologne.

At last, after what seemed an eternity—three full hours, my watch assures me—we steamed into the Mallard terminus, a Gothic fantasy of turrets and carriage porches, disgorging us onto the platform like so many dishevelled pigeons. My legs trembled as I descended, and I vow I shall never again mock the old coaching roads; give me four post-horses and fresh air over this iron monster any day. Yet, for all its torments, there was a giddy triumph in it—the sense of partaking in modernity’s mad rush, hurtling through the countryside like an arrow from Apollo’s bow. The Duke’s party awaits, with tents and fountains and colonial kin by the score; pray for my sanity, for after this journey, I feel I have already circumnavigated the globe!

1850: Colonel Thomas Mallard

Letter to his brother

By Jove, what a business that Mallard Centenary turned out to be—forty-eight miles of iron horse from Clapham to this ducal rabbit-warren, and then a day of noise, nonsense, and nosh that would have fed a regiment through a siege! I’m back in billets now but the whole affair’s still ringing in my ears like the last charge at Chillianwalla. You’ll want the full gabble, so here goes, straight from the fox’s mouth—pension cheque’s safe in pocket (£400 a year, thank the Queen, though it barely keeps the hounds in biscuits), and the Mallards footing the bill for once.

The train first, eh? That private puffing billy of the Duke’s—mahogany saloon, velvet cushions, even a dashed copper bath in the privy compartment, but Lord love you, what a reek! Hot oil and coal smoke belching in your face at every stop, mixed with the fug of a hundred passengers packed like sardines in a crinoline convention. Closed windows against the “draughts,” they said—more like a steam bath for sweating colonials and dowagers spritzed to the gills with attar. The clackety-clack over the rails fair rattled my teeth looser than a bad curry at Ootacamund, and the speed—eighteen miles an hour!—had the ladies swooning and me gripping the arm like it was a sabre hilt. Three hours of sway and shriek, hedges blurring past like the Punjab frontier in a dust storm, and all for a gander at Sussex farm lands stretching mile upon mile: fat sheep on chalk downs, hop fields greening up, and those endless hedgerows that’d make a sowar homesick for the nullahs back

home. Landed at the Gothic terminus sweating like a coolie, medals gleaming but boots caked in smut.

Then the lunch—twenty courses in a canvas cathedral, brother, with stained glass throwing rainbows on the silver like some heathen temple at full pelt! Pavilion of Honour, they called it—Great Hall big as Horse Guards Parade, fountains spouting wine (red and white, take your pick), and floral heaps of orchids and roses that must've stripped every glasshouse from here to Calcutta. One hundred at table, footmen in plum livery gliding like ghosts, but the noise! Crystal clinking, brass band oom-pahing outside, ladies twittering over precedence like peahens in a monsoon—colonials from your neck of the woods squabbling seats with the home lot, "My husband's a KCSI!" versus "My grandfather cleared Botany Bay!" Deafening, Reggie, and the stench—truffles and lobster fighting with post-roast guts and spilled Tokay, all trapped under those rafters till you could cut it with a claymore. Gorgeous grub, mind: oysters fresh as the Channel, woodcock plump as a subadar's paymaster, venison that melted like ghee on chapati, and wines flowing—Hock, Lafite, enough to float the Albatross back to Madras. Stuffed to the gills, I was, belching discreetly behind the napkin while the "Duchess" (sister playing parts, whisper has it) smiled serene in emeralds big as musket balls.

The women, ah—the women! Flock of 'em from every port: silks from Bombay rustling like palm fronds, Sydney belles in tarlatan blushing at us old warhorses, and dowagers dripping diamonds like they'd robbed Golconda. Flirted mildly with a Miss Beaumont—sprightly filly, eyes like a hill pony—but too much chatter of trains and tents for my taste. Reminded me of the last battle, Chillianwalla '49—Sikh guns thundering across the Jhelum, sixteen thousand of the devils charging our squares, me with the 24th leading the flank under Gough himself... hotter than Hades, stench of powder and blood thicker than today's luncheon fug, sabre work till my arm ached and the ground ran red. Took a graze on the thigh (this limp's the souvenir), but we held, by God—lost a wing, but broke their back. These Mallard chits wouldn't last five minutes under fire; give me a sowar's lance any day over their fans and frippery.

The Mallards themselves—puffed-up swans, the lot. Duke off shooting ducks (irony, eh?), his brother-in-law standing proxy, and the house a warren of galleries and gardens: deer parks mile-wide, views to the sea, portraits of bewigged ancestors sneering down like they owned the Empire. Chests overturned in the saloons post-lunch, guests pocketing snuffboxes and chatelaines like it was a bazaar loot—saw Lady Felicia palm a gold vinaigrette bold as brass! Good shooting on the coverts too—Duke bagged a brace before breakfast, beaters flushing

‘em like partridges at Sobraon. Pension keeps me solvent, but a Mallard fortune’d buy a dozen regiments; half a million on this jamboree, they say, and he bats not an eye.

Write soon with the heat up there—any tiger left in the Terai? The 24th’s colours hang proud, but I’d swap this Sussex softness for a cantonment curry any day.

1850: a Blandly butler

His Grace has retired early to that discreet series of chambers adjoining the stables—what we call his all-male seraglio, a warren of comfortably carpeted rooms tucked behind haylofts and harness hooks, far from the fray. A quantity of rather languid young men—grooms, beaters, footmen off-duty—arranged like ornaments in a Turkish fantasy: lolling on silk cushions in low divans, citron light filtering through latticed screens from naphtha lamps, attar heavy in the air with hashes and honeyed tobacco. Elaborate as a pasha’s harem, pointless as a peacock’s plume—bodies oiled and indolent, murmurs muffled by plush, a haze of eastern indolence amid English acres. No intrusion permitted; I’ve posted two stout under-butlers at the postern door with strict orders: “Admit none, not even kin. No explanation.” Victuals sent up by dumb-waiter for twenty mouths—cold collations of capon and claret, sweetmeats and sherbets, enough to found a squadron—trays ascending on pulleys to sustain the sybaritic siege.

1850: Proposed Menu for the Ducal Centenary Luncheon For One Hundred Guests, Served in the Great Hall of the Pavilion of Honour

Hors d’Oeuvres

- 1 Consommé de Volaille en Tasse (Clear chicken consommé with gold leaf)
- 2 Huîtres Fraîches de Colchester (Colchester oysters on ice with shallot vinegar)

Postages

- 3 Potsage à la Mallard (Rich duck consommé with truffles and sherry)
- 4 Velouté de Crème de Homard (Cream of lobster soup, finished with Madeira)

Poissons

- 5 Saumon Poché, Sauce Nantua (Poached salmon with crayfish sauce)

- 6 Turbot à la Régence (Turbot roasted with lobster quenelles and champagne sauce)

Entrées Relevées

- 7 Filets de Bécasse en Salade (Roast woodcock with asparagus salad)
- 8 Côtelettes d'Agneau de Pré-Salé, Sauce Mallard (Salt-marsh lamb cutlets with Mallard sauce)

Rôts

- 9 Chapon Rôti Farcit (Roast capon stuffed with foie gras and chestnuts)
- 10 Noisettes de Venaison (Venison noisettes with juniper berry sauce)

Entremets

- 11 Canard aux Pêches de Vineyard (Duckling with Sussex peaches and Sauternes)
- 12 Aspic de Jambon et de Langue (Aspic of ham and ox tongue in chaud-froid)
- 13 Timbales de Ris de Veau (Veal sweetbreads timbales in puff pastry)
- 14 Cromesquis de Fromage (Deep-fried cheese croquettes with anchovy sauce)

Plats de Rôtisserie

- 15 Oie Sauvage Rôtie (Roast wild goose with apple and sage stuffing)

Légumes et Salades

- 16 Haricots Verts à la Crème (Creamed French beans with almonds)
- 17 Salade de Saison (Mixed greens with truffle vinaigrette)

Desserts

- 18 Soufflé à la Vanille (Vanilla soufflé with praline dust)
- 19 Charlotte Russe aux Fraises (Strawberry charlotte with sabayon)
- 20 Gâteau de Plaisir, Glace d'Or (Layered almond cake with gold-dusted ice cream and spun sugar)

Wines

Commence with Hock and Sillery; proceed through Château d'Yquem, Lafite, and Tokay; conclude with vintage Port and Champagne.

Service à la Russe, under the direction of Monsieur Blandie, Chef de Cuisine.

1850: Sir Oswald deMallard

Letter to his sister

If ever you should think yourself overfed, overtired, or overawed, remind yourself you were not present today at the Mallard Centenary. You will have heard the “celebration” spoken of as an affair of pageantry and grace; I tell you truly it was a military campaign conducted with napkins and pomposity, and my legs have not yet forgiven me.

The morning began at eleven-thirty sharp, with trumpets and unnecessary sunshine. The Duke, looking like a limestone monument in a greatcoat, summoned his guests before the Pavilion for the ceremonial readings from *The Little Book of Fitzartur Foibles*. You remember the cursed volume—that family relic bound in green vellum and smelling of both mildew and self-importance. Every hundred years, three stories are chosen from its pages “at random” (meaning, of course, selected days before by one of the secretaries who knows which anecdotes offend the least). These are then read aloud by a specially appointed orator with a voice big enough to rouse the ancestors under the floor and frighten the ducks off the pond.

We poor knights and cousins were mustered in ranks, told to stand “in fealty and attention” as if we were before Her Majesty herself, and remain standing throughout. The ladies fluttered parasols, the gentlemen clutched canes, and I clutched my gouty ankle, wondering at what point loyalty ceases to be patriotic and becomes orthopaedic torture.

Still, I must say, a strange pride does creep in when one’s family’s absurdities are recited so solemnly. The orator began with “Sir Giles and the Goose,” that unspeakable seventeenth-century yarn about ancestor Giles mistaking a roasted fowl for a messenger of Providence. Then followed “Lady Blanch’s Bath,” the tale that scandalised the Hanoverians but is now apparently considered “educational.” Lastly came my favourite—or least objectionable—“The Duel by Candlelight,” in which two Mallards fought for a French dowry and both lost favour and finger.

Each story ended with a flourish, at which the crowd—nearly a hundred of us by then—was obliged to bow heads as though to a royal toast. The Duke, naturally, took this opportunity to raise his glass and propose one in earnest “to Her Most Gracious Majesty the Queen,” which set the band blaring “God Save the Queen” so loudly I thought the Pavilion would levitate. Only then were we permitted to sit, our knees creaking like furniture in protest. The entire rite lasted a bare fifteen minutes, yet I swear to you it aged me five years.

For all my grumbling, I confess I was moved against reason. To hear those ancient absurdities declaimed before the assembled generations—a century’s worth of us pretending to unity while mentally inspecting one another’s estates—was to feel the peculiar satisfaction of belonging to something both immortal and ridiculous. The Mallards at their best are living proof that tradition, however nonsensical, survives because it is more memorable than comfort.

Afterwards came the interminable luncheon, of which the less said the better (my digestion is not the chronicler it once was). I took my tonic wine in a corner with two cousins I barely recognised and compared walking-sticks until I felt almost lively again. The rest of the day has melted into a blur of speeches and upholstery.

So ends my only likely share in a once-in-a-century ordeal. It was costly in shoe-leather and bone, but I suppose worth the pain: there is something bracing in seeing an entire clan stand up straight, however briefly. And as the doctor says of my gout, “movement, however painful, proves one is still alive.”

1850: Lavinia deMallard to her sister, Isabella Mallard

Willowmere Cottage, near Clapham

Gracious heavens, where to begin with the Centenary Luncheon? I am still quite prostrate from the ordeal—two days abed with a lavender compress and the strongest black tea, yet the images whirl in my head like the steam from that infernal train! You will die of envy (or relief at your distance) when I recount the spectacle in the Pavilion of Honour—that vast tented palace of timber and canvas, painted to mimic weathered stone, sprawling across the Mallard lawns like a mirage from the Arabian Nights. The beauty of it! Terracotta roundels of the Mallard drake gleamed everywhere, and the stained-glass windows—oh, such jewel-toned marvels of sapphire, ruby, and emerald—filtered the June sun into a kaleidoscope that danced across every surface. Floral arrangements towered in silver épergnes: hothouse roses from the Duke’s forcing pits, cascades of orchids shipped from his Indian estates, lilies-of-the-valley nodding amid banks of ferns and stephanotis, all misted with dew to catch the light. Banners of crimson damask hung from the rafters, fringed with bullion, and the central courtyard fountain—yes, a fountain indoors!—spouted arcs of chilled water scented with attar of roses. I reckon the whole edifice, with its gilding and glass and greenery, must have cost a cool £100,000 at the least; no expense spared to proclaim Mallard magnificence to the Empire’s elite.

We were precisely one hundred at table in the Great Hall, seated upon chairs upholstered in Genoa velvet, beneath chandeliers dripping with crystal that swayed gently in the breeze from hidden fans. The footmen—two dozen at least, all in plum livery with gold braid and powder—glided like shadows, their white-gloved hands presenting silver salvers with the precision of automata. Such noble profiles, such calves! Yet amid the perfection, the air was thick with the most intoxicating medley of scents: the rich, earthy perfume of truffles wafting from the potsages, the briny tang of Colchester oysters mingling with the caramelised sweetness of roasting capon and venison, all underpinned by the heady bouquet of wines—Hock fizzing in slender glasses, then Château d'Yquem like liquid sunshine, Lafite deep and velvety, and Tokay to close, each pour eliciting murmurs of rapture. The foods themselves were a delirium of delight: twenty courses in the French fashion, from gold-flecked consommé to soufflés that melted on the tongue, ducklings glazed with Sussex peaches, lobster velouté as smooth as a lover's whisper—every bite a revelation, though after the twelfth I could scarce draw breath for the corset's tyranny.

The other ninety-nine guests formed a tableau of aristocratic excess: colonial cousins in heliotrope silks from Madras, sunburnt majors with walrus moustaches, dowagers dripping parure diamonds that rivalled the chandeliers, and a flock of dewy debutantes in white tarlatan, blushing at every compliment. The Duchess—resplendent in ivory lace sewn with emeralds the size of thrushes' eggs—held court at the Duke's right, her tiara a blaze of brilliance; yet whispers rippled like the fountain's spray. "Not his wife, but his sister," hissed Lady Felicia behind her fan; "Poor Alice burned up last year—convenient, no?" murmured Mrs. Cholmondeley to her neighbour; "The Dowagers snubbed it from their lodges," tittered a Miss Beaumont. The noise was infernal—a crescendo of silver clinking on china, crystal toasting crystal, laughter bubbling over the footmen's announcements ("Saumon pochée, sauce Nantua!"), all underscored by the distant oom-pah of a regimental band outside. Conversation was impossible; one nodded through the din, cheeks aching from smiles, head spinning from wines that flowed like the Thames at flood.

And the heat, Isabella—the stifling, suffocating heat! Two hundred candles flickered overhead, braziers warmed the sauces, and one hundred bodies in heavy brocades exhaled a humid fog that made the stained glass weep condensation. By the entremets, I was fanning myself into a swoon, my India mull limp as a discarded handkerchief. As for the conveniences—oh, the mortification! Service à la Russe means courses parade endlessly, no respite; to slip away betwixt the

turbot and the woodcock required stealth worthy of a highwayman. The “retiring closet” was a velvet-curtained alcove at the hall’s end, screened by potted palms, with a porcelain throne disguised as a pouffe—elegant, but with footmen hovering like Cerberus at the door, one prayed for haste lest the next aspic arrive unattended.

Thank Providence I had the foresight to bespeak a chamber at the estate’s Gothic lodge for the night! The notion of enduring that train back to London—reeking of oil and swaying like a Channel packet—in my exhausted, wine-addled state was unthinkable. We collapsed amid chintz and four-posters, slept till noon to the coo of wood-pigeons, and breakfasted on cold collation from the luncheon remnants. The Centenary proceeds with balls and pageants; I shall linger a day or two more, though my nerves protest. Pray write with Lisbon’s scandals to distract me—your tales of azulejos and ices will be a balm.

1850: Lady Thomasina Mallard

Address to the ladies present

I stand before you not as some lofty oracle, but as a woman who has turned the page upon her own name—and, finding it resonant with history rather than diminishment, resolves that at least Lady Thomasina Mallard might be put to some use. Thomasina! A name bold as its progenitor Thomas, the doubting apostle who thrust his hand into the wound of truth itself. No simpering suffix here, no feminised afterthought; I claim it entire, unbowed, as a beacon for this discourse on the nomenclature that shapes our very souls. Let us speak plainly, then, of Christian names—for in them lies mirrored the subtle tyrannies of our sex’s subjugation.

Consider the custom, so pervasive in our circle and beyond, whereby fathers—whether peers or parsons—press upon their daughters the thinnest veil of femininity upon a man’s name. It is no mere quirk of affection, but a betrayal etched into syllables. Charlotte is but Charles with a bow tied prettily at the throat; Pauline, a Paul caparisoned in skirts and sentiment; Louisa, poor Louis in disguise, her every utterance a masquerade. Georgiana becomes a George with an artful curl; and—mark this absurdity—Davidia, so help me God, a David swaddled in petticoats and pinafores! Frances apes Francis with softer shoes and sidelong glances; Augustine is a thinly veiled August, stern and unyielding beneath lace; Christine, another Christian—though less Christ than pale imitation, a derivative divinity. And even my own Jeanne—nothing but John, shifted by a single breath, as if the Almighty Himself required translation to suit a daughter’s frame.

What does this betray, ladies? A wish for sons that went unfulfilled, lingering like a ghost in the christening font? A disappointment writ indelibly into the very syllables bestowed upon their daughters at baptism? We are named not for ourselves, but as consolations for what might have been—echoes, approximations, footnotes to a masculine ideal. The Scriptures offer scant solace, repeating Mary upon Mary ad infinitum, as though a woman can only achieve holiness through duplication, a mere refrain in the divine chorus, while men endlessly beget their likeness in names, in titles, in lineages unbroken from Adam onward. Eve herself, mother of all living, is granted no proliferation of Eves; she stands alone, unnamed progenitor, while patriarchs parade their variants across the sacred page.

And what of the marriages that follow this nominative sleight-of-hand? A man takes to wife a Charlotte, a Georgiana, a Davidia—does he imagine himself joined to a softer reflection of his own sex, a pliant shadow cast by his own form? Is there in this fashion some unspoken inclination toward his own kind, disguised by lace and hem, a latent preference masked as wedlock? Or is it but another stratagem to remind women daily that they are not themselves, entire and sovereign, but someone else's echo—forever the Charlotte to his Charles, the Pauline trailing in Paul's wake? In the marriage bed, at the dinner table, in the halls of Parliament where we yet petition for entry, our names precede us as warrants of inferiority. "Mrs. Charles Harrington," they intone, as if the husband's name engulfs ours like a title deed; we become annexes, not principals.

Ladies, I have daughters—three, praise Heaven—and for them I have chosen names of women, unshamed, unmodified, entire: Beatrice, resonant with Dante's guide through Paradiso; Theodora, gift of God ungendered; and Selene, moon unto herself, waxing independent of any sun. No bows upon boys' names for my line; they shall speak their own selves into being. Yet how rare this resolve! Survey the peerage lists, the parish registers: a sea of Carolines (Charles redux), Victorias (Victor in veil), even Alexandrina (Alexander diminished). It is a nomenclature of negation, whispering from cradle to grave that womanhood is but manhood diluted, sweetened, apologised for.

This, then, is my charge to you: reclaim your names as battlegrounds. When next a father dubs his girl a "Henrietta" and chuckles at the jest, remind him that names are not jests but legacies—power inscribed in ink and utterance. Let us petition, as we do for property and suffrage, for a reformation of the font: names that honour our foremothers, not our fathers' frustrations. Let Beatrice stand for us all, guiding through the inferno of custom toward a purer light. And if society balks, let them remember Thomasina—doubter turned believer,

apostle to her sex—who declares: our names, like our lives, shall be entire.

1850: Duke of Mallard

Private papers

Whichever of you have the patience to read so dull a thing as a patriarch's reflections, may take counsel here, not from benevolence but from experience, for it is seldom that rank is lost by vice, but frequently by ignorance. I have been considering the various means by which an Englishman of position keeps the world in tolerable submission to his interests, and I conclude there are but three forms of possession worth the trouble of acquisition: men, property, and sport—for the last is the moral varnish of the first two.

A title without men is a bell without clapper—no sound, only a moulded silence. What are men, then, but extensions of an estate, an animate portion of one's endowment? As in hounds there is blood, so in men there is utility, and they may be bred or bought according to need. I have therefore, for several years past, maintained a small circle of intelligent agents—fellows of quick observation and respectable pretence—who scour the country for that most valuable species of Englishman: the respectable gentleman of slender means. Such men are the backbone of all good governance; they are hungry enough to move and proud enough to obey.

When one of this class is discovered—perhaps in some modest parsonage or an ivy-drowned rectory, eking out Sunday respectability upon a Thursday diet—my agents are instructed first to make discreet inquiry concerning the neighbourhood itself. Land without labour is tedious; but land with a nervous owner is a living laboratory. It is therefore my standing command that the entire neighbourhood of any such promising individual be acquired immediately, and at prices sufficiently liberal to make acceptance a virtue. I am always amused that a shilling offered in sympathy purchases more British soil than five pounds offered in triumph.

Once the fields are secure and the gentleman comfortably encircled, a formal invitation follows—that is to say, an offer, conveyed through a reputable third party, of an enviable position within a noble household (its name prudently suppressed, for curiosity sharpens consent). The office is generally that of secretary, steward, or counsellor to some noble family of uncertain address; the income considerable, the demands indefinite, the honour flattering. The gentleman, decently trapped between gratitude and want, seldom resists for long. Yet here

lies the refinement of the scheme: the value of a man increases with his resistance. The longer he debates, the dearer he becomes once the choice is made. His pride, once measured and subdued, becomes the polish of the article; buyers at the social markets of Town are ever fond of anything that has put up a fight before admission. Thus every hesitation adds a sovereign to his worth, and the estate profits either way—by surrender or by spectacle.

If, however, the subject proves hopeless—too stiff in virtue or too limp in intellect—his presence has still served the experimental purpose, for the property around him remains and improves. A failed experiment in man always yields an improved experiment in acreage. One must think of humanity as fertiliser; not all of it solid, much of it gaseous, but necessary for growth.

For future Mallards who would follow such useful pursuits, I advise looking up Prince Albert for the charts, plans, and perquisites relating to this and similar operations.

So may the centuries maintain their proper balance—Mallard above, the world beneath, and a little honest confusion between. Should this method appear cold, take comfort: the Crown itself works no other way.

1850: Percival Mallard

Letter to his mother

What a divine lark this Mallard Centenary has proved—pure theatricals from dawn till the small hours, with your prodigal son playing the lead in one of your most flamboyant gowns! I arrived not by that vulgar iron horse (reeking of oil and bourgeois ambition), but by private carriage from town, with the delightful Mr. Hargreaves—no relation to the vicar, thank heavens—as my sole companion. Such calves on the fellow, and such discretion; he drove like a dream, footman's livery exchanged for a discreet greatcoat, and we giggled the whole forty-eight miles over hampers of cold chicken and scandals from the clubs. Upon alighting at the Gothic terminus (a confection of turrets and nonsense), I effected my metamorphosis in a curtained alcove—slipped into your crimson tarlatan ballgown from the '40s, all flounces and furbelows, with the matching turban piled high à la Madame Récamier, and your paste parure dripping from neck and lobes. "Call me Lavinia Willowmere henceforth," I trilled to my reflection, "widow of a colonial baronet, lately from Clapham." And so Lavinia I became, flitting through the fray like a butterfly in a hurricane.

The luncheon in the Pavilion was a riotous bore—twenty courses of truffled excess under stained-glass drakes, one hundred souls squabbling precedence like dowagers at a wake. I perched amid the colonials (your gown a triumph beside their heliotrope horrors), enduring dull speeches from the Duke’s proxy (brother-in-law, moustache like a hearthbrush) on “Mallard antiquity” and “imperial duty.” Noise infernal: crystal tinkling, band braying, ladies shrieking over lobster velouté while the stench of venison and spilled Lafite thickened the air like a bad farce. “To the Mallard line!” they toasted; I simpered behind my fan, whispering to a sunburnt major from Madras that the “Duchess” (sister in disguise, darling—Alice fled to pagodas!) had emeralds to shame the Crown Jewels. Dull as ditchwater, the lot—precedence charts waved like battle flags, speeches droning on irrigation and railways while fountains spouted wine outside like tipsy Nereids.

But oh, the freedom of it! From the luncheon’s tedium, I flitted to the parlour coterie—silly ladies in the North Wing, dull speeches on “zenana reform” and “girls’ seminaries,” all coded in floral frippery (orchid brooches, geranium sprigs—such delicious secrecy!). Posing as your old friend from the Lisbon days, I minced among them, batting lashes at their bluestocking earnestness: “La, my dears, such learning! But what of the heart’s education?” They cooed over my “widow’s weeds” (your tarlatan a scarlet scandal), none suspecting the Willowmere beneath was a Willowmere in skirts only. The charade was exquisite—the dress-up, the curtsy, the liberty to be woman for a day, untrammelled by masculine musts. No club port to swig, no hunt to endure; just fans and flounces, whispers and winks, a pantomime of petticoats that let my true self breathe.

And the real diversions? The outhouses, Mama—the gardeners’ sheds, the tack rooms, the icehouse alcoves—where a Mallard footman or six proved most accommodating. Such plum-liveried Adonises, powder fresh from the Pavilion, stealing away between the woodcock and the soufflé! Discretion forbids specifics (your son is no tell-tale), but suffice: tumbled flounces in the straw, turban askew amid the harnesses, a footman’s strong arms proving the Duke’s staff as fine as his silver. Six, perhaps seven—blondes and brunets, calves like columns—each a fleeting idyll, breathless and bold, while the band oom-pah’d oblivious outside. No husbands to harry, no dowagers to dodge; just pure, pagan pleasure in your gown’s embrace.

The day’s charade crowned it all: freedom to flit, to flirt, to filch a moment’s truth amid the tinsel. By nightfall, carriage awaited; Hargreaves whisked me back to town, gown folded safe (a garnet necklace souvenir—glass, no doubt—from an overturned chest), moustache twitching with mirth. Mama, you’d have adored it—the

gowns, the gallants, the glorious imposture! Send more tarlatan; the season beckons.

[1850] Artful comments

Ah—so you see them, do you? My progeny. All one hundred of them, gathered like ducks around a very long pond, though they will insist upon calling it a table. Observe the spread: a perfect crescent of flesh, silk, and pretension—glittering from the ducal centre outward, and paling degree by degree until it fades into colonial dilution where the Sussex air becomes uncertain of its own breeding.

From where I hover—somewhere between the ballroom cornice and the slow wobbling of the chandeliers—they appear like a geological formation: the crystal strata of the Mallard line. At the table's apex, beneath those disgracefully over-polished candelabra, sits another Duke, puffed up like a heron that believes itself an eagle. Around him coil the ladies of the blood: cousins, aunts, widows, and those clever enough to stay remarkably unmarried. Their whispers form a kind of music—harp notes at the top, string quartets in the middle, and by the time one reaches the wives of the minor baronets, a positively rustic fiddle-break of complaint and economy.

Further still—ah, now the melody deteriorates into something more modern. I see wives of doctors, brimming with the earnest consciousness of their own gentility; and wives of lawyers, forever citing precedent even at luncheon. Beyond them, like the anticlimax of an overture, the colonial wives—those who have seen Calcutta or Sydney or Singapore and believe themselves broad in experience but narrow still in taste. Their laughter is a foreign import: bright, metallic, and half a beat behind the rhythm of the room. Their gowns smell faintly of camphor and ship's salt.

Listen—do you hear how sound behaves along the table? A curious phenomenon. A remark uttered at the Duke's end begins as a proclamation—majestic, stately, buoyed by its own Latin. As it travels down the horseshoe, it acquires the qualities of gossip, then of tattle, then of open dispute. By the time it reaches the doctor's spouse at the very farthest edge, it has turned into complaint about the veal. And then, precisely as the final syllable dies, a polite wave of laughter returns the way it came, smaller, thinner, stripped of meaning—like a receding tide that leaves behind nothing but tea-spoons and sighs. That is the family voice, my dear spectators—a living echo chamber fourteen centuries in the making.

Now, those servants—look well at them. You hardly can, can you? They glide. They do not walk, they happen. The human patterning of a

musical box. One disappears behind a chair at precisely the same instant another emerges at the opposite end, a symmetry so absolute that it offends nature itself. They are the orchestra's ghostly percussion: the soft clink of silver, the hushed creak of porcelain. I remember commissioning that choreography myself long before they were born, an etiquette of movement refined to invisibility. In life, I thought it splendid. In death, I find it intolerably sad.

If you listen long enough, you will detect the great score in motion. The table is the stage, the family the choir, the footmen the corps de ballet, and the conversation the operatic recitative that never ends. Sopranos of scandal at one end, baritones of boredom at the other. Every gesture, a note held too long; every sigh, a dying fall. It is the hereditary opera of England—performed without conductor, and never allowed to close.

Ah—but now silence descends. They sense me. They always do when the candles gutter for no reason. One of the nieces crosses herself, another fans faster, a third peers at her reflection in the glass thinking it mine. Child, it is. You inherited my nose. And you, at the far right, whose husband governs in Ceylon: do you truly think your letters home will immortalise you more than my tomb does me? Vanity is hereditary, but talent seldom is.

Yes, they are loyal, as Mallards go. They will toast my memory and the coming Centenary—they will even believe they mean it. But none of them knows what manner of thing they celebrate. They suppose it is history that binds them, when it is only the inertia of manners. They dine on legacy as others on soup, never asking what bones give it flavour.

Watch how they rise now, like a sea of brocade and calculation; the women pulling back their chairs in unison, the men standing with that awkward air of having nothing left to inherit except posture. The music settles, the ballet ends, and I will withdraw above the draperies, where titles fade and pride grows transparent.

1850: an Oxford don

Private papers

It is a damp morning here at Magdalen, and the towers are all hung about with a thin, persistent drizzle that contrives to make everything both picturesque and uninhabitable at once. There is no fire in the Junior Common Room—some misguided economy, I presume—and so I have taken refuge in my chambers, where I may indulge equally in thought and complaint without audience or opposition. The quadrangle

below glistens like an old pewter plate, and a solitary bedder is sweeping the walk beneath my window with that air of melancholy industry which the English climate fosters so superbly in our working classes.

You will forgive, I hope, the tardiness of my reply to your last letter. I have been much preoccupied with two small matters: the one a problem of Classical translation (I am still not convinced whether ΛΌΓΟΣ in the passage I sent you ought to be rendered as discourse or reason), and the other an absurd little riddle that has taken firm possession of my thoughts. It was suggested—most wickedly and with a glint of mockery—by one of my undergraduates, who, having the advantage of youth and the disadvantage of wit, delights in setting his tutors logical traps.

His question was this (and I commend it to your own superior faculties): The ducks owned the pond. The rain provided the water. The earth provided the base in which the pond lay. What then did the ducks own?

I confess I have turned this over in my mind for several days with as little progress as our College boat in last year's race. The phrase "owned the pond" seems at first a mere convenience of speech—akin to saying a man owns his cough or his curricule—but the implication of possession fascinates me. The water derives not from the ducks, but from the rain; the basin not from them, but from the earth beneath their feet (or, I suppose, their webs). Are they then owners at all, or only tenants, borrowing from the elements? And yet, if one says they owned nothing, one must deprive them of their natural dominion—the sort of modest sovereignty every creature exercises over its chosen spot in creation. It is a metaphysical muddle; I imagine Bishop Berkeley would have had a fine time turning it into a proof that neither pond nor duck existed except in the mind of their Maker.

Between my lectures on Juvenal and this riddle of avian jurisprudence, I confess my intellect feels considerably stretched but not, alas, improved. The Dean has taken to discoursing on economy, maintaining that we must, as scholars, content ourselves with less fuel, fewer candles, and no supper worth the name. I cannot help thinking his position would soften had he the digestion of a man younger by twenty years. Meanwhile, the port at High Table continues to exhibit more age than quality, though the Chaplain assures us that a pious frame of mind improves the palate almost as much as the wine itself.

Last evening, walking by the river, I observed a real pair of ducks upon an actual pond—a providential illustration, perhaps, of the problem that haunts me. I thought, as they drifted about with a gravity that would shame the Vice-Chancellor, that if any beings could be said

to own anything, it must surely be they: the easy movement, the unselfconscious sovereignty of creatures perfectly adapted to their realm. Yet, upon reflection, I am not certain they owned the pond so much as inhabited it, and perhaps the ownership was in the mutual arrangement of nature—the rain consenting, the earth supporting, and the ducks delighting. Ownership, then, may rest not in possession but participation: they own it by belonging to it wholly. That, at least, is today's theory; tomorrow I may dissent from myself entirely.

1850: Lavinia deMallard to her sister, Isabella Mallard

Willowmere Cottage, near Clapham

If the luncheon was a delirium of excess, the unguided tour of Mallard House that followed was nothing short of pandemonium—a free-for-all ramble through ducal splendours where propriety dissolved like sugar in the afternoon tea! Scarce had the final soufflé been cleared than the company, flushed with Lafite and lethargy, spilled from the Pavilion into the great house itself, herded (or rather, not herded) by footmen who might as well have been statues for all the guidance they offered. “Explore at your leisure, madam,” one murmured with a bow, handing out tapers for the dimmer corners; and explore we did, a hundred strong, clambering up staircases and poking into salons like so many magpies in a jeweller's window. Oh, the vastness of it all! The entrance hall alone could swallow Willowmere thrice over, its coffered ceiling lost in shadows, marble columns veined like thunderclouds, and a grand staircase sweeping upward in crimson carpet that muffled our footsteps into a conspiratorial hush.

We drifted through public rooms of such magnificence that one gasped at every turn: the Long Gallery, endless as a cathedral nave, lined with portraits of Mallard ancestors glowering down in ruffs and armour—stern dowagers with hatchet faces, bewigged dukes clutching orbs and sceptres as if the throne were theirs by right. Canalettos and Claudes hung in gilt frames, capturing Venetian canals and Arcadian groves that made one's eyes ache with envy; a Titian Madonna blushed in a corner, her robes richer than any brocade at the luncheon. Then the Drawing Room, vast and voluptuous, with walls of yellow damask and sofas deep enough to drown a debutante, overlooking the parklands that stretched for miles in every direction—undulating greensward dotted with deer like living cameos, ancient oaks framing vistas of the ha-ha and the distant sea glittering like a misplaced sapphire. From the Music Room's bow windows, one spied the Centenary tents still

shimmering on the lawns, a fairy city amid formal gardens of topiary and parterres, where fountains plashed eternally and peacocks screamed from the yews. It was as if the house itself breathed history and dominion, every vista a reminder of Mallard dominion over half the horizon.

Yet amid this grandeur, scandal upon scandal! I was positively outraged—nay, horrified—to witness guests openly pilfering, as if the Duke’s hospitality extended to his trinkets! There they lay, these “items”—a gold vinaigrette here, a pearl chatelaine there, pocketable baubles strewn across console tables and spilling from half-open cabinets, as though some invisible hand had upended the nearby chests in a fit of aristocratic housekeeping. Lady Felicia, that puce-silk gorgon, was seen palming a diamond-encrusted snuffbox with the nonchalance of sampling macaroons; young Mr. Beaumont slipped a silver-gilt inkstand into his tailcoat while pretending to admire a Reynolds; and Mrs. Cholmondeley, ever the magpie, tucked a pair of amethyst earrings into her reticule behind a potted fern. No shame, no subtlety—just bold, barefaced thievery, excused perhaps by the prevailing chaos, with the house echoing to shrieks of laughter and the rustle of pilfered silks. The noise was deafening: voices bouncing off the vaulted ceilings like pigeons in a barn, footsteps thudding on parquet, doors banging as groups surged from room to room, all underscored by the distant band striking up a waltz in the gardens.

And the smells, Isabella—such a post-luncheon miasma mingling with the house’s own ancient perfumes! The air hung heavy with the ghosts of the feast: roasted capon and truffled *velouté* clinging to our gowns, sweet wafts of spun sugar and vanilla from the desserts, undercut by the sharper tang of spilled Hock and the faint, vinous reek of overindulged stomachs. Layered atop it was the house itself—beeswax polish from endless tabletops, the musty opulence of velvet drapes and Turkey carpets trodden by centuries of boots, woodsmoke from marble fireplaces where logs crackled despite the June warmth, and that indefinable patrician scent of old money: lavender from linen presses, leather from morocco bindings in the library, and a whiff of attar from forgotten pomanders swinging in the corners. It was intoxicating, oppressive, a sensory assault that made one’s head swim anew.

I confess, my dear, that I was not altogether immune to the temptation—careful as a cat in a dairy, of course, ensuring no prying eyes followed as I paused in the Saloon amid a cluster of bewigged busts. There, nestled on a secretaire half-hidden by a malachite vase, lay a gorgeous necklace of crimson stones—garnet or ruby, I cannot say, though they glowed like embers in the candlelight, set in filigree gold

with diamond spacers that sparkled with suspicious fire (glass, no doubt, but divine all the same). My fingers—trembling just a trifle—slipped it into my chatelaine pouch, veiled by the folds of my Paisley shawl; no one saw, or if they did, they were too busy with their own depredations to care. A little souvenir of Mallard munificence, to pin at my throat and recall this mad day when next we dine on mutton chops!

The afternoon wore on into evening with no intervention from the Duke or his myrmidons—perhaps he expects such depredations as the price of his parade. I retreated to my lodge chamber before the pilfering turned to punch-drinking, thankful beyond words for my foresight in staying the night.

1850: a Blandy footman

Mother always told me there are three things that make a good servant: good calves, good silence, and the power to stand as still as a statue when a Lady of Quality approaches. I've managed the first two without embarrassment, and today, after the Centenary luncheon and the miles of silver platters, I was practicing the third—standing still—as if carved from the marble of the Duke's own staircase.

The corridors were a picture of confusion: guests wandering from the Pavilion to the Hall, too fine or too foxed to notice the difference. I stationed myself by the south anteroom to watch for strays when a certain Lady approached—a tall, graceful shape gliding like a candle in a draught, gown of cream satin and enough scent of violets to make a stable-boy sneeze. I bowed, of course, and kept motionless, as Mother taught.

The difficulty began when the Lady, instead of passing, stopped before me, smiled in a way that quite undid my balance, and took a liberty that no finishing school can include in its curriculum. It was not a fainting squeeze nor an accident of lace. It was decisive, deliberate, and entirely masculine.

I froze (statue indeed!) and thought swiftly of Mother's rule. She had never mentioned what to do when a Lady of Quality turns out not to be one. So I did what seemed safest: I nodded, maintained my composure, and treated the matter as a jest among equals. "Very good, sir," I whispered after, so low it might have been the rustle of the curtains. The pseudo-Lady—now clearly a gentleman of eccentric amusements—laughed, dropped a ring in my glove (a sapphire, as luck will have it), and glided off before propriety could reassert itself.

I have locked the ring in my drawer, for though I feel it was honestly earned, one never knows how these things are viewed by one's betters. The upstairs corridors whisper faster than the wind over the duck

pond. Should the Duke hear of it, I imagine I'll be accused of joining his particular fellowship—a word whispered below stairs with that curious respect reserved for foreign sin and local power. No, I think not. I am content with my wages, my calves, and my mother's advice—even if it occasionally lacks detail.

Still, I cannot help smiling when I think of it. There are statues in the great hall less admired than I was tonight.

1850: Dyfnwal Mallard Esq

Letter to his brother

If I write with an unsteady hand, it is because I am still dazzled—half drunk, I suspect, on the heady combination of grandeur, sunshine, and the most Champagne ever to accompany genius. The Mallard Centenary, that monstrous celebration of ancestry and gold plate, has at last concluded its daylight triumphs; I am glad of it, for luncheon alone has left me equal parts astonished and oppressed. One cannot dine with one hundred people beneath an awning of gold muslin and survive unaltered. The Duke's generosity is as legendary as his sense of proportion is unfathomable. Never again shall I consent to an oyster course removed by footmen in scarlet.

Yet the true adventure began only after luncheon, when I strayed—quite innocently—into what I am certain was never intended for the eyes of guests. Mallard House itself lies beyond the terrace, connected to the Pavilion by that absurd glass corridor everyone calls “The Aviary.” Drawn thither by the promise of shade, I passed inside, and after two or three wrong turnings and a handful of polite nods to portraits, I stumbled upon the Library.

I tell you, Harry, it is a palace within a palace—an apotheosis of dust and knowledge. Every inch of wall is book. The room glows like a loaf of good crust, dark and crisp. A single shaft of light fell from the tall oriel at one end and struck the spines with the solemnity of a sermon. I had read, in some pamphlet or other, that the Duke's predecessors had acquired fragments from the lost Library of Alexandria, smuggled in small lots by Venetian traders; others whispered that the Bodleian sent him duplicates in moments of gratitude or folly. I cannot vouch for the truth, but the effect of seeing those bindings, each too proud to admit its origin, was enough to make me credulous of anything, even ghosts.

I wandered round like a man newly discovered on his own planet, reading titles at random—*De Plantis Antiquis*, *Liber Mechanicarum Mirabilium*, something in Greek that looked capable of summoning the sun. A scent hung over everything, as if the books exhaled old incense

and old arguments. I remembered what you always say about true libraries—that one can hear them breathing. I assure you, this one wheezed like wisdom itself.

I had heard tell of hidden doors—panels that open into galleries or passages leading, Heaven knows where, perhaps to the Duke's private chambers or even under the lake. For twenty minutes or more I pressed on uncertain mouldings, tapped panels, shifted a globe that seemed likely to conceal a latch. Nothing rewarded me save a mild sense of guilt and the sound of my own laughter, which in that room echoed like sacrilege. Either the doors have been sealed, or the mechanism requires some hereditary password known only to Mallards and magicians.

It was then, resigning myself to failure, that I crossed to a mirror—a tall, wavy glass framed in carved walnut—intending no more than to set my hair to rights after battle with a Sussex breeze. Reflected behind me, half under the low windowsill, I saw a large trunk. Not the sort of ornate chest meant to impress, but a travelling thing, iron-bound, its brass corners green with tarnish. I approached it, thinking it might contain old maps, or perhaps the perfumes said to have been collected by one of the Mallard ladies from her campaigns in Constantinople.

The lid resisted all inquiry. I tried gently—then less gently. It would not stir. The lock had either rusted or been designed by a man who mistrusted even posterity. I left it be, but not without another, involuntary examination: a smell rose from it, unmistakable yet impossible. Camphor, attar, rose—a fragrance clean and faintly funereal. It was as if someone had tried to mummify summer. For a moment the whole Library seemed to breathe it; I confess I felt both enchanted and uneasy, as though I had trespassed upon something that belonged not to the Duke but to memory itself.

A clock—somewhere well hidden—struck the hour then, startling me back to propriety. I returned by the same hall I came, encountering no one but a polite footman who regarded me with that expression peculiar to men who suspect they are underpaid for guarding history. The Pavilion was still loud with laughter and fiddles. They were serving ices by the time I reached the tent; no one missed me, which is the measure of my good fortune or insignificance.

I cannot say what held me most—the books, the sealed doorways, or that perfumed trunk—but something in that room felt alive, waiting. Do not mock me, Harry; I have no taste for gothic melodrama. Yet I'll swear there is in Mallard House some secret preserved not by silence but by scent.

Should you ever visit England, I promise you a pilgrimage: we shall go to Mallard Park, contrive admittance to the Duke's library, and solve

the thing together. Meanwhile, I remain unreasonably proud of having discovered where knowledge sleeps—and of having resisted, barely, the temptation to wake it.

1850: Lady Felicia Mallard

Address to the ladies present

It has been observed, somewhat unkindly by our critics, that the female sex is too scrupulous for enterprise and too delicate for deceit. To this I reply: nonsense. A woman is born to discern, and discernment, properly tutored, is the foundation of all successful acquisition. What is called theft in vulgar parlance becomes taste when framed by opportunity and foresight. There is, you see, an art to stealing, and that art—like love or millinery—depends entirely upon making it inevitable.

I take, as my illustration, the Duchess of Mallard, whose departure from Sussex has already occasioned so much confusion in the inventories and so much admiration among the observant. She stands, to my mind, as the very model of strategic retreat—an artist of disappearance. The uninitiated gossip will describe her as having “looted” her husband’s jewels; those of more insight will know that she performed a social service. By overturning half the chests and cabinets on the lower floors of Mallard House, she ensured that the choicest treasures were brought into light. Such industry should have been rewarded with a knighthood—or at least a new title for her profession: *curatrix extraordinaria*.

You see, a clumsy thief removes; a clever one rearranges. The Duchess’s genius was in making absence look instructive. When a dowager discovers her vaults in picturesque disorder, she says not, “I have been robbed!” but rather, “I never knew I possessed so much!” That, ladies, is inevitability. She who can make her departure seem a lesson in housekeeping will always dine well thereafter.

Now, some have asked how the Duchess distinguished what to take. Here lies another point of artistry. She possessed—besides her notorious eye for hats—an educated eye for jewels. She never trusted inventories. A list is merely what a man thinks he owns; the truth lies in what a woman wishes to find. She could spot a diamond masquerading as glass across a candlelit ballroom, as easily as she could detect a false compliment under a peer’s bow. Allow me, then, to share her principles for identifying the genuine article—for no gentlewoman should demean herself by absconding with paste.

First, size is a vanity, not a virtue. The larger the gem, the greater the likelihood that it was meant to impress a guest, not deceive a connoisseur. The finest stones conceal themselves in modest settings; the vulgar ones shout from the mantelpiece.

Second, attend to the cut: true diamonds play with light as with wit—sharp, answering, and never obvious. Glass merely glares. The Duchess used to tilt each piece subtly toward a flame; the real gem scatters fire, the false simply swells with sweat. Try this not at table, unless the topic of conversation is also inflammable.

Third, consider the shape. Common glass is coerced into uniformity; genuine jewels insist upon some idiosyncrasy—a curve too human, an imperfection too deliberate. One might say the authentic stone behaves, in gem form, as a high-born lady does in society: impeccably imperfect.

Fourth, the colour. Nature never paints to match upholstery. Those dreadful mauves one sees in the hats of Regent Street are the surest proof of imitation. Real rubies burn with something inward—an emotion rather than a tint. An emerald that does not appear to be thinking deeply is best avoided.

The Duchess, having made her selections accordingly, left the remaining trinkets behind her strategically. I am told she has scattered a handful of quite valuable pieces behind ferns and under vases. Theft, in its grosser form, is beneath us—but discernment that leads inevitably to possession is simply evolution. The world has long kept the counting-houses and treasuries in men's hands; it is but equitable that women learn to retrieve what history misplaced.

Lastly, remember the Duchess's golden rule: "Always leave them admiring, not accusing." A discovered culprit is vulgar; an undetected example is immortal. If the world calls her vanished, I call her enlightened. She departed as every woman should, by choice and with the best of the collection.

So, my dear friends, train your eyes, sharpen your sense of timing, and tidy other people's chests as necessity dictates. There is a moral order in rearrangement, and an ethics in elegance. After all, Providence gave us nimble fingers not only for embroidery.

Chapter VII: The Luncheon of Ladies—Mallard Hall

Viscountess Viola Vorpel, 1930

It has always astonished the less imaginative of our historians that the Mallard Centenary Luncheon is remembered chiefly for its noise. The carriages, I admit, were innumerable; the servants perspired like storm clouds; the air within the great tent shimmered with perfume,

wine, and collective intention. To the casual visitor—the trembling provincial reporter or a curious parson's wife from Arundel—it must have resembled the collapse of civilisation into chatter: a cacophony of fragrance, laughter, glass, feathers, and gossip. But to those of us who study the mechanics of women's gatherings, that riot of sound was no accident. It was a system as intricate as the telegraph, conveying not mere conversation but command.

To the cognoscenti, the luncheon was the first half of a day-long exercise in information, instruction, revision, and persuasion. It was a parliament that dared not be called such. The male members of the tribe—numbering, I think, eleven in all—were managed like ceremonial furniture. They had purposes decorative, titular, or digestive; they were there to look vaguely constitutional, to nod when addressed and to repeat at dinner what they were instructed to believe had occurred at luncheon. The real business of Mallard House, as of England, was managed by its womenfolk.

Roughly eighty percent of that crowd were women, and they were everywhere: from the duchess herself (formerly an Alice, sometimes a sister, sometimes both) down to a Miss de Mallard-Wren recently returned from the Indian Hill stations with a taste for theosophy and quinine. They ranged in age between twenty and seventy, and in wit, I would hazard, between formidable and lethal. One may travel the Empire from Cape Town to Calcutta and never find their equals in quiet organisation.

To the uninformed, they seemed to drift amongst one another like butterflies, exchanging trivialities about the soup, the orchids, the heat. In truth, every gesture was a code. A fan opened counter-clockwise was an instruction to delay a conversation; a handkerchief folded twice signified the arrival of correspondence; a green stone worn on the right hand betokened approval of an alliance; the absence of flowers meant disinheritance to follow. Her Grace orchestrated the seating plan as though composing an operetta: sopranos nearest the Duke, contraltos nearer the door.

Some were assigned quite direct purposes. The younger, better-featured women—daughters, nieces, second wives—were tasked, as one might assign officers on parade, with turning their attentions upon whatever few men of substantial influence were present. One sees in the surviving daguerreotypes their peculiar expressions of calculated listening. They are the expressions of women trained to mark not words but hesitations. The older women had subtler duties: namely, to appear idle. Few tasks require greater artistry. To maintain the illusion of feminine vagueness while conducting political instruction demands a constitution of iron and a heart immune to interruption.

It is, I think, one of the uncredited masterpieces of Victorian choreography that these eighty ladies could enact such intricate ceremony beneath the steady gaze of a public that believed them trivial. They were playing the andante of an international sonata—carrying messages between estates, colonies, ministries, and courts. They spoke in strawberries and tablecloths; they negotiated in embroidery. Whole portions of the Empire's domestic policy were decided that day before the pudding reached the western end of the table. Yet no man, not even the Duke himself with his illimitable self-conceit, noticed a thing.

From my grandmother's recollection, the sound of that luncheon was unlike any ordinary music. Human ears could scarcely divide it. The voices rose and fell not as individual notes but as overlapping harmonics—one lady's laughter concealing an argument, another's cough masking a coded correction. My grandmother said that from above, among the rigging of the tent, it must have sounded like a thousand canaries maintaining perfect chaos. The footmen, trained to invisibility, passed in rhythms as precise as any mechanist's engine. Together, hostesses and servants produced a composition so mathematically subtle that the slightest intrusion—say, a new arrival announced too soon—threatened to derail the harmony.

There was smell, too—an olfactory parliament. The scent of gardenias indicated alliance with the Channel Islands branch; violet water hinted at sympathy with the Scottish cousins; patchouli was, naturally, the colonial contingent's mark. Heaven help the cook whose sauces competed too loud a perfume—for a misplaced aroma was political treason.

And then the company, once fed, reassembled in smaller formations—the parlour seminars of afternoon. To the world these appeared as genteel discussions of fashion, music, perhaps the latest poems of Mrs. Browning; yet concealed within those parlours, every chair leg and elbow served as punctuation for entire shifts of social power. Ladies altered marriages, arranged translations of delicate news from Court, secured appointments for nephews or released timely scandals to the Press. In an age when Parliament believed in trousers, the true Cabinet of Empire was clothed in silk.

When I first studied the surviving seating chart—ink fading like the morals of the century—I noticed how central the pattern of women's placement truly was: a great horseshoe, the Duke at its head like some fossilised deity, and radiating from him the successive rings of female influence. The outermost curve belonged to the wives of doctors, lawyers, and colonial officers—earnest, competent, and always slightly behind the beat. Yet even they, I think, partook of the music; their

presence completed the circuit, ensuring the gossip's echo returned to its source purified of intent.

It would be easy, and wrong, to mock them. Beneath the flounces, they were administrating the mutual survival of family and class—with patience, wit, and an audacity unacknowledged by the men who dined on their success. The Mallard Centenary was not a feast, it was a conference. Food was the medium; conversation, the message; women, the entire machinery.

And so when posterity calls that Centenary luncheon a triumph of extravagance, I smile. It was an engine, polished in silver and silk, that ran on disciplined intelligence disguised as amusement. The noise that filled that tent was the noise of order—the sound of England, and Empire, ruled not from Westminster nor from Windsor, but from the table.

1850: Rev. Thomas Mallard, Vicar of Willowmere

Letter to his sister

Grace and peace be unto thee from our Lord Jesus Christ, who exhorts us in Proverbs 21:17 that “He that loveth pleasure shall be a poor man: he that loveth wine and oil shall not be rich.” It is with a heavy heart and a spirit burdened by the vanities of this world that I recount my reluctant attendance at the Duke of Mallard's so-called Centenary Luncheon—a spectacle of such godless excess that it might serve as a living sermon on the perils of worldly pomp. Thou art labouring in the wilds of Rhodesia, bringing the light of the Gospel to heathen souls; would that I could shield thee from the knowledge of such depravity among professing Christians, yet forewarned is forearmed against Satan's snares.

The Pavilion of Honour—canvas and timber gilded to ape a palace—stood as a monument to pride, its stained-glass windows casting profane rainbows upon one hundred souls gorging at a horseshoe table. “For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world” (1 John 2:16). Twenty courses paraded forth: oysters from Colchester, truffles imported at ruinous cost, foie gras and venison drowned in wines from Hock to Tokay—enough to feed the poorhouses of Sussex for a twelvemonth, all squandered in a single afternoon. Half a million pounds, they whisper, blown on tents, fountains spouting wine like Bacchus' own delirium, and floral pyramids wilting unused. What scripture thunders against such waste? “Woe unto them that join house to house, that lay field to field, till there be no place, that they may be

placed alone in the midst of the earth!” (Isaiah 5:8). The Duke, absent on some shooting frolic, cared not a jot; his wealth insulates him from judgment here, though not hereafter.

The noise was infernal—a Babel of crystal clinking, laughter shrieking off canvas walls, and a regimental band braying without, drowning all prayerful thought. Amid the din, the stench assailed the senses: roasted fowl and lobster mingling with the sour reek of spilled claret, sweat from overheated bodies in silk and crinoline, and that cloying floral miasma from hothouse blooms. Shut windows trapped it all in a humid fog, as if the very air rebelled against the gluttony. “Be not among winebibbers; among riotous eaters of flesh: For the drunkard and the glutton shall come to poverty” (Proverbs 23:20-21). Ladies fanned themselves into flushes, gentlemen belched behind napkins, and precedence squabbles erupted among colonial cousins—Madras widows versus Sydney squires—like ducks disputing crumbs.

Worse still, the godlessness! No grace before meat, no chaplain’s prayer; instead, toasts to “the Mallard line” and sly whispers of the “Duchess”—a sister in wife’s guise, they say, while true Alice has fled or perished in convenient flame. Theft stalked the halls post-luncheon: guests rifling overturned chests, pocketing gold snuffboxes, pearl chatelaines, even cameos like the enclosed one (a small shell carving I retrieved from the Saloon floor—sell it for thy mission, dear Agnes, that some good may come of pilfered vice). “Thou shalt not steal” (Exodus 20:15), yet they plucked like crows, emboldened by the chaos, while footmen stood idle as idols. I remonstrated with one Lady Felicia, who slipped a vinaigrette into her reticule; she laughed it off as “souvenirs of hospitality.” Vanity of vanities!

The train thither was no better—a sooty iron beast from Clapham, reeking of oil and coal, jolting over God’s green fields at blasphemous speed, windows sealed against His fresh air till passengers gasped like fish on a slab. Three hours of clatter and sway, disgorging us into Sussex parklands mile upon mile of enclosed luxury, while the poor tenant their hovels. The women—ah, the women!—fluttered in frippery, oblivious to modesty, elbows on tables, fans snapping like judgment trumpets. “In like manner also, that women adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefacedness and sobriety” (1 Timothy 2:9).

Pray for these souls, Agnes, as thou dost for thy Rhodesian flocks. My curacy proceeds humbly—parish visitation, Bible classes for the mill girls—yet such spectacles remind me of our duty to rebuke the mighty. Sell the cameo forthwith; let its proceeds fund a schoolroom or orphan’s cot. “Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth, where

moth and rust doth corrupt, and where thieves break through and steal” (Matthew 6:19).

Thy affectionate brother in Christ.

1850: Percival Mallard

Letter to his mother

I write to you still flushed from what the Gazette would call “a notable social victory”—though you, who have endured my conversational escapades since infancy, will recognise it merely as another instance of my incorrigible appetite for luncheons, laughter, and lightly scandalous theology.

The scene resembled nothing so much as the lower sentence of an architectural frieze: gentlemen propped like Corinthian columns, ladies draped across them like garlands in varying stages of bloom and wilt. I was placed handily between my cousin Arthur (whose waistcoat now consumes what his intellect never could) and our mutual cousin Henry, that model of clerical ambition, newly installed as curate to St. Peregrine’s. Opposite sat the Vicar himself, a man in such militant piety that he could bless cutlery by merely looking at it.

From such an array one might expect religious sobriety; instead, we found ourselves plunged—thanks to Lady Prudence’s ill-judged question about church music—into a discourse worthy of Catullus with a hymn book.

It began innocently enough. The Vicar, with innocent solemnity, announced that the parish had been blessed by a most superior organ. At this all three of us cousins pricked up our ears (and I must confess, Mama, no three kittens ever pricked more perkily). He described the instrument—its pipes, its stops, its capacity—with a poetry that might have alarmed the composer and delighted the sculptor. The longer he spoke, the more rhapsodic he grew. We soon learned that this remarkable instrument responded most rewardingly “after dark”, and further, that the music was most sublime when “played upon by two.”

Arthur, who possesses the moral constitution of a walnut pudding, immediately choked upon his wine, while Henry, the curate, attempted to disguise a dangerous grin behind his napkin. The talk deepened: the Vicar asserted that though the organ could produce commendable sound in daylight, it was, “in truth, an instrument best practised upon privately.”

At this, Mama, I could no longer keep silent. I ventured that any instrument so temperamental ought to be treated with utmost delicacy of touch, especially by those skilled in unorthodox harmonies. Cousin

Henry, sensing the heresy, murmured that he had considerable experience with such “duets.” Lady Prudence, blushing through several shades not found in nature, insisted the conversation remain “strictly functional.” You may imagine how well such a command was observed.

Sir Anthony Mallard, who had until that point attended wholly to the Madeira, now declared that he quite understood the sensation described, for he himself had “played upon smaller instruments in his time, though never so responsive.” The Vicar nodded with pious nostalgia and promised to demonstrate “the full range of the pipes” during their forthcoming “evening service.” The phrase hung between us like an illuminated text of unrepentant double meaning.

I confess I had never before heard sacred architecture converted so deftly to suggestive geography. The gentlemen then agreed that the vestry—being, as the Vicar confided, the only truly warm corner of his establishment—was not without its amenities. There are, he said, “folded vestments that one may unfold and examine at leisure,” and that, though consecrated, the space lent itself admirably to quiet contemplation. Lady Prudence declared she would “never enter that place unaccompanied.” This scandalised the curate (and confirmed the suspicion that he already had).

I could not resist remarking that the church thus described represented a perfect map of our national morality: the nave for public virtue, the choir loft for semi-public curiosity, and at last the vestry—that hallowed antechamber of private devotion—for whatever post-service redemption may be required. The Vicar bowed, Sir Anthony coughed, and the conversation thereafter descended into the state of the weather, a topic that produces regular precipitation but rarely heat.

When luncheon ended, the cousins proposed a call “to inspect the instrument more closely.” You will be relieved to know that I had already an engagement of delicate nature requiring my presence elsewhere. The Vicar’s invitations, I suspect, were of a kind one must either entirely accept or entirely avoid. I, being an admirer of harmony, prefer my music less ecclesiastical and more discreet.

1850: Isabella Mallard

Address to the ladies present

Today, I shall dissect the chasm between English rigidity and Continental flexibility regarding women’s property, as one steeped in the property laws of Europe, from the Code Napoléon to the Portuguese ordenações. I shall illuminate the injustices that fester under our common law; and—most crucially—arm you with stratagems to

subvert these laws in England, all without trespassing upon statute or summoning the sheriff. We shall bend the bars, not break them.

First, the stark divide. In England, upon marriage, a woman's property vanishes into her husband's maw like a river into the sea—a doctrine of coverture older than Magna Carta, whereby *femme covert* becomes a legal non-entity, her chattels, leases, and legacies absorbed entire. Lands? Conveyed to him at once. Pin money? His to squander. Even her paraphernalia—wedding ring, jewels—only notionally hers post-mortem. Divorce? A phantasm; separation yields scant remedy, and equity suits drag like winter fog. Contrast this with Europe! Under France's Code Napoléon, the *régime dotal* prevails: a wife's dowry remains her separate property, managed by her (or a trustee), revertible upon widowhood. Portugal, my adopted home, echoes this in the Código Filipino: marital property divides into *meação*—his assets and hers distinctly tallied, hers untouched save for community debts. Spain's *fuero real* grants *viudas* (widows) full usufruct; Italy's Lombard customs shield the *morgengabe*, a morning-gift post-nuptials, inviolable as papal bull. In Prussia, even serf-wives retain *peculium*—personal effects beyond spousal reach. Result? Continental wives negotiate antenuptial contracts as equals; Englishwomen plead like paupers.

The issues cry to heaven! English law presumes incapacity: a wife cannot contract, sue, or bequeath without her husband's concurrence—nay, she requires his very signature as gatekeeper. Widows fare better, regaining *jus disponendi*, yet guardians snatch orphans' portions till majority. Daughters? Dowerless unless willed otherwise, prey to entailments that chain estates to male heirs. Bankruptcy? Husband's shield becomes her snare—his creditors seize her paraphernalia. No wonder spinsters hoard, widows remarry warily, and heiresses flee to Scotland for *Gretna equity*. Europe mitigates: French wives administer their *douaire*; Portuguese *esposas* claim *quarta* (quarter-right) in succession; even autocratic Russia vests noblewomen with *rodovoe imenie* (ancestral holdings), heritable intact.

Yet despair not—we subvert without sinning, threading legal needles with silken cunning. Foremost: the antenuptial settlement, your fortress. Draft via equity counsel (Messrs. Freshfields excel) a marriage article conveying your property to trustees—friends, brothers, or solicitors—in trust for your sole use. Pin money flows quarterly; lands yield rents via proxy leases. Legal? Utterly—Lord Eldon sanctified it in 1810; husbands sign willingly for “family harmony.” Subtlety: stipulate reversion to your heirs, not his, evading his lifetime control. Widows, renew post-bereavement.

Next, the separate estate via equity of a *feme sole*. Petition Chancery (discreetly, via proxy) for a decree treating you as unmarried for

contracts—pioneered in 1830s cases like *Hargreaves v. Hargreaves*. Funds invested in Consols or India Stock stand segregated; dividends accrue to you alone. No breakage: pure judicial finesse.

For daughters: wield the power of appointment. Wills appoint guardians with tied settlements—“for her education and maintenance, sole benefit”—circumventing paternal caprice. Jointures for widows: negotiate dower equivalents pre-nuptials, secured on husband’s acres.

Subversions sans peril: feoffment to uses—convey lands to trustees pre-marriage, “in trust for my separate use notwithstanding coverture.” Common since Elizabeth; tax-free if timed right. Equitable mortgages: pledge jewels to bankers as collateral for loans, redeemable post-marriage via trust. Spinster stratagem: purchase reversions or annuities in male aliases (cousin proxies), vesting at widowhood.

Daily dodges: banking à la trustee—accounts in “Mrs. X for Miss Y’s use,” withdrawals via note. Stockjobbery? Brokers transact as “feme sole trader,” precedents galore. Evade detection: correspond via poste restante; meet solicitors in hat and veil; encode ledgers (“Paisley dividends,” “orchid rents”). Husbands suspect? “Charity for indigent kin,” you trill—irrefutable piety.

Ladies, Europe beckons not in flight, but emulation. Our law evolves—Married Women’s Property bills stir Parliament; we hasten it by example. Subvert sagely: trustees vigilant, counsel astute, wills ironclad. From Lisbon’s luz to London’s fog, property is power—claim yours, uncaught, unbroken.

1850: Northfield Grange, Northumberland

Countess Iona Wing to her sister

I have returned from that deplorable Mallard Centenary in a state of profound vexation, which even the bracing winds of the Cheviots have done little to alleviate. You will have heard the bare particulars in the papers—the tents, the fountains, the interminable luncheon—but no account can convey the vulgarity that attended the entire affair, particularly when it came to the sacred matter of precedence. His Grace the Duke, for all his pretensions to antiquity, has allowed his colonial connections to overrun the proceedings like so many ill-mannered ducks disputing a puddle. I attended solely out of deference to ancient ties—our grandmother was a Mallard, after all—and was gratified to find my rank universally acknowledged, yet the spectacle of lesser persons squabbling over seats was enough to try the patience of a saint.

The luncheon in that absurd Pavilion of Honour was the principal arena for the fray. One hundred souls crammed around a horseshoe

table beneath those garish stained-glass windows, with the Duke at the head and his so-called “Duchess” (a tale for another time) at his right. Precedence, as you know, is dictated by the strictest rules: dukes and their ladies first, then marchionesses, countesses like myself, viscountesses, and so downward through the baronesses to the untitled rabble. Yet what did I witness? A positive *melée* among the colonial cousins—dusky Madams from Madras and sunburnt Mesdames from Melbourne—fluttering their fans and hissing like ducks over scraps when the question of seating arose. One Mrs. Something-or-other from Calcutta insisted her husband’s knighthood (some paltry Indian order, no doubt) entitled her to precede a baronet’s wife from Sydney, who countered with tales of her grandfather’s sheep stations as if acres in New Holland conferred Debrett’s authority. “Madam,” I interposed at one point, “precedence is not a matter of colonial square mileage, but of English blood and patent,” which quelled them momentarily, though not without black looks and muttered aspersions on “home snobbery.”

It did not, of course, affect me in the least. Everyone knows my name and rank by right of my late husband, with a lineage that traces unbroken to the Plantagenets— and my place was conceded without demur, to the right of the Duke’s sister (or whoever she truly was) and with a clear view of the proceedings. The footmen, drilled to perfection, placed me with due ceremony, and not a whisper of dispute attended it. Yet how few of these modern women comprehend even the basics of court etiquette in their social behaviours! One observed a Miss Beaumont from Devonshire—daughter of a mere squire—addressing a marchioness by her Christian name as if they were dairymaids at a picnic; another, that odious Mrs. Cholmondeley, actually rested her elbows upon the table during the turbot, fanning herself with a napkin in a manner more suited to a fishwife than a gentlewoman. And the laughter! Shrill, unrestrained peals echoing off the canvas walls, with fans snapping open and shut like castanets—nothing of the modulated murmur expected at such assemblies. I was obliged to rap my own fan upon the cloth more than once to restore a semblance of decorum, though the Duke appeared oblivious, lost in his venison.

The colonial element exacerbated every impropriety. These women, puffed up with husbands’ fortunes from tea and indigo, paraded in silks imported at ruinous cost yet wore them with the gracelessness of bazaar traders—crinolines askew, shawls slipping from shoulders, and jewels mismatched as if selected by a blind valet. One even dared to question my precedence owing to “seniority in the family tree,” as she phrased it, until I reminded her that Mallard blood flows stronger in my veins than in hers, diluted as it is by half a world of heathens and heat. The squabbling continued through the *entrées*, with place cards torn and

reseated amid whispers of “baroness by courtesy” versus “widow of a knight-banneret,” until the Duke’s secretary intervened with a list drawn from the College of Arms. Even then, sulks persisted; I overheard one from Bombay muttering that “English etiquette is but a cage for canaries,” to which I trust my withering glance sufficed as reply.

For all the ostentation—the florals towering like hedgerows, the wines flowing as if the cellars ran dry tomorrow—the day was marred by this ignorance of form. One might forgive the men their port-flushed bluster, but the ladies ought to know better; a noblewoman’s rank is her shield, and without etiquette to wield it, she is naked as a jay in a hailstorm. I departed early, pleading fatigue, though in truth I could stomach no more of their duck-like disputations. Pray Heaven your Cornish circle remains uncontaminated by such influences; do keep a sharp eye on those fishing wives who ape gentility.

1850: Countess Natalia Kachenya

Address to the ladies present

I stand before you not as some exotic curiosity, but as a cartographer of colours, charting the crimson thread that binds women across continents. Having travelled extensively—from the onion domes of Muscovy to the zenanas of Delhi, from the seraglios of Constantinople to the salons of Paris and the verandas of Sydney—I have noted the cultural importance across nations of the colour red. It is no mere hue of hazard or passion; it is a universal cipher, a silent semaphore woven into the fabric of our oppression and our opportunity. Today I shall demonstrate how colour—red chief among them—can be wielded in coded messages between women, transforming the trivialities of ribbon and rouge into instruments of subversion and solidarity.

Let us begin with red’s primal sovereignty. In Russia, my homeland, red is the colour of beauty and blood—the rubellite rubies of the Urals adorning tsarinas, the scarlet sarafans of peasant brides invoking fertility and fire. Yet it shades into warning: the red ikons of martyrs, blood of the faithful spilled under Ivan’s yoke. Venture to China, and red commands the emperor’s gates, warding evil at weddings, symbol of joy unbound. In India, the sindoor streak in a married woman’s parting proclaims her bond—or, in its absence, her widowhood’s desolation—while scarlet saris blaze at Durga’s festivals, goddess of warlike protection. Cross to the Ottoman realms, and red fezzes crown the sultans’ janissaries, but crimson silks veil the odalisques, marking both captivity and covert power. In France, revolutionary tricolours

ran red with guillotined liberty; in England, your own redcoats stride empires, scarlet coats a badge of conquest. Even in Africa's markets or America's plantations, red beads or bandannas signal fertility rites or fugitive signals. Universally, red arrests the eye, stirs the blood, demands attention—yet for women, it is double-edged: emblem of virtue (the blushing bride) or vice (the harlot's petticoat), allure and alarm entwined.

This ubiquity renders red ideal for our purposes: a colour so laden with meaning that its placement becomes poetry. Sisters, imagine the parlour or the packet steamer, where speech is stifled but silk speaks volumes. A red ribbon pinned discreetly at the throat? "Danger approaches—husband or magistrate nigh." Looped at the wrist like a careless bracelet? "Aid required—funds or flight." Tucked into a reticula's corner, visible only to the initiated? "Meeting tonight—zenana code." Vary the shade for nuance: poppy red screams urgency ("betrayal afoot!"); cardinal scarlet whispers conspiracy ("recruitment succeeds"); vermilion, vivid as arterial flow, signals triumph ("school funded"). Fabric matters too: red velvet for secrecy (rich, muffling light); red chiffon for evasion (translucent, fleeting); red wool for endurance ("winter aid dispatched").

Permit me to demonstrate, with these humble props borrowed from my travelling case: a length of crimson silk, pins ribbons, a handkerchief. Observe: a red thread embroidered at a letter's hem—"Burn after reading." A scarlet posy in a boutonnière, stem snapped short? "Widow in peril—extract her." In India, I saw zenana women tie red cords at ankles, knots encoding messages: three for "daughter safe," five for "poison in the rice." In Peking, missionary wives knotted red sashes—single bow for "prayer vigil," double for "child hidden." Here in England, adapt to your arsenal: red sealing wax on missives ("priority—Collegium cipher"); a scarlet fan rib glimpsed in church ("precedence ploy succeeds"); red polish on one fingernail ("new recruit vetted"). The beauty lies in deniability: "A mere fashion whim," we trill, while constables and chaperones blink past the signs.

Why red above all? Its cultural weight cloaks our intent. Patriarchs see passion, peril, propriety; we see a palette of power. In Muscovy, red eggs at Pascha symbolise resurrection—our rebirth from coverture's tomb. In Japan's geisha houses (whisper it not), red obi sashes conceal notes passed hand to hand. Even Scripture nods: the scarlet cord of Rahab, saving spies and self, a biblical prototype for our subterfuge. Ladies, we are Rahabs in crinoline: spies in our own homes, smuggling knowledge past the Jericho of convention.

Employ this code forthwith. Establish keys in your circles: red at the left cuff = "property petition advances"; right hem = "daughter

schooled.” Share via “orchid brooches” or “geranium sprigs,” building on the Mallard web. From Bloomsbury to Bombay, let red ripple our revolution—unseen by men, unbreakable by time.

1850: the Blandy house-keeper

The household is at last settled—or rather, silenced—which is much the same thing. The last of the lanterns have been extinguished along the terrace; the musicians have been packed off to the servants’ hall for their cold meats and beer; and the Pavilion, once roaring like a fairground, now sits under the moon as meek as a chapel. I find myself still moving about, collecting stray gloves, spoons, and the remains of the Duke’s daydream.

It was, by all appearances and to all accounts, a triumph: the Centenary luncheon, the procession, the fountains of wine (which misted the Duke’s portrait to a pleasing crimson flush), and the ocean of guests, every one of them declaring the estate finer than any in Europe. I suppose they would know. I have, however, never trusted those who praise too fluently; it is always a cover for pockets that have opened too freely in return.

We’ve been compensated for today’s exuberance, never having found all the missing jewels and trinkets. The red diamond is still at large, no sign of the Duchess’s brooch, and the Countess of B— insists her emeralds “must have slipped into conversation.” Perhaps they did. At least the Duke smiles again, for His Grace counts it profitable whenever other people’s losses bring him attention. The officers from Arundel will be invited to “inspect” tomorrow, which will, I’ve no doubt, end in sherry rather than justice.

Meanwhile, the lesser accidents of indulgence seemed to please the staff as much as the luncheon pleased their masters. Even that little maid Evelina—the one with the singed fringe and no sense of silence—got a helping of pudding and felt herself quite equal to a lady. She blew upon it as if cooling the sun. Mr. Benton the butler declared it “an historic moment,” though I reminded him that history, like pudding, is generally warmed twice.

If I were a sentimental woman, I might call the evening happy; instead I call it finished, and that is rarer. The Duke has retired, declaring that England shall remember him by his generosity; the Duchess has vanished. I, for one, shall take comfort in smaller matters—the satisfaction of a floor that does not creak, lamps trimmed to equal flame, and the steady thought that another century, with all its noise and finery, has passed through our hands without burning them.

1850: The Scurrilous Rag
London's Lampoon of the Lofty and Ludicrous
*Special On-the-Spot Dispatch from the
Ducal Dust-Up at Mallard Park
By your Cousin-in-the-Cloaca, George deMallard*

[This article has been suppressed by and sent to the Palace.
Ed.]

From the reeking rails of Clapham to the sopping Sussex swards, your humble hack—a Mallard kinsman, booted in blacking and armed with a notebook sodden as the day's dew—has infiltrated the Duke's £500,000 folly, that tented Taj Mahal pitched on ancestral mud. The Centenary dawns! Banners limp in the drizzle, stained-glass drakes weep condensation, and one hundred invitees (plus thrice that in pilfering retainers) converge on the Pavilion of Honour—a timber Taj, gilt-gaudy and groaning under its own grandeur. Fountains fart wine (claret for peasants, champagne for peers), floral ziggurats wilt under chandeliers, and the Great Hall yawns wide as a bankrupt's maw, horseshoe table set for gluttony's last supper. Sumptuous? Nay, superlative—oysters iced like Arctic virgins, truffles black as bishops' hearts, foie gras floating in Lafite like drowned swans. Twenty courses parade à la Russe: woodcock wobbling, venison voluptuous, soufflés sighing into oblivion. Wines? A deluge—Hock hissing, Tokay teasing, enough to baptise the nation anew. Half a million quid, whispers the wind, for one day's debauch; the Duke (absent, shooting ducks—irony's own aviary) shrugs like a nabob tossing coppers to coolies.

Yet glory gilds the gore! Footmen in plum plush glide like greased panthers, calves bulging beneath silk stockings, powder pristine amid the pandemonium. Ladies in luminous lace—emerald-encrusted “Duchess” (sister-in-drag? Alice's ghost? Bombay bound?) presiding like a pagan idol—flutter fans frantic against the fug: roasted reek warring with rouge, spilled Sillery souring the air, two hundred bodies exhaling a hothouse hell under sealed sash-windows. Noise? Neptune's own orchestra—crystal carillon, band braying “God Save the Drakes,” precedence peafowls screeching: “My KCB trumps your KB!” cries Madras Madam; “Botany Bay baronetcy predates your poxy peerage!” squawks Sydney squab. Colonial cousins claw cards like cats in a sack, while Lady Catherine de Bourgh (Northumberland's Gorgon) raps her cane: “Debrett, you dolts, not Debrett's Digest!”

Gossip gushes freer than the grog! “Alice burned? Convenient pyre post-portrait!” hisses one; “Dowagers dodging dinner—plague or

plot?" titters another; "Chests churned in the Saloon—guest-gleaners galore!" Your scribe spies snuffboxes vanishing into reticules (Lady Felicia's fingers fleet as a felon's), chatelaines chained to crinolines (Mrs. Cholmondeley's chatty larceny), even a garnet glow (red diamond? Rubbish or ransom?) palmed by puce-clad Percivaline (no, not me—er, kin). Post-prandial pilferage party! Parlours picked clean, galleries gutted, guests groping galleries like grave-robbers at a pharaoh's feast. "Souvenirs of splendor!" they simper, stuffing silver into shawls while the Duke's drake-eyes droop oblivious.

The train? Torment triumphant—Clapham's choking charnel, mahogany "luxury" laced with lampblack and lavender lunacy, windows welded shut till swoons supplanted speeches. Three hours of hell-jolt: "Giddy as Golgotha!" gasps a gorgon; "Faster than Flogging Molly!" bellows a Bengal brute. Parklands panoramic—deer-dotted demesnes mile upon mile, ha-has hiding hinds, oaks ogling the Channel—yet all for this? Duke demurs (coverts call), proxy proxy rattles (brother-in-law's bromides), "Duchess" dazzles (emeralds eclipsing equity?). Outhouses? Whispers of wickedness—footmen frolicking with flibbertigibbets in the fog, harness-heaves and hay-tumbles hint the real revelry.

Scathing summation: sumptuous squalor! Mallards mint millions on mud, Mallardettes maul precedence, pilferers parade as peers—all while Alice allegedly ashes abroad, Dowagers disdain dinners, and the Duke ducks duty. Half a mill for a meal? "Vanity of vanities!" wails the vicar (Hargreaves, holier-than-Hindus); "Vivacity victorious!" chirp the charlatans. Your deMallard departs, pockets picked but pride pristine—next week, the wedding wangle?

1850: Secretary to His Grace the Duke of Mallard

To the Keeper of the Privy Purse, Buckingham Palace

It has come to His Grace the Duke of Mallard's notice that *The Scurrilous Rag*—that ephemeral vehicle of vulgar conjecture—intends to publish three articles of a most scurrilous nature: one impugning the Centenary celebrations lately held at Mallard Park; another falsely alleging omissions in royal invitations thereto; and a third, the most recent, venturing upon baseless gossip respecting private family circumstances. These concern a private family matter already known to and affecting Her Majesty, and their public circulation cannot but cause needless distress to those whose loyalty remains unimpaired.

In deference to the well-established precedents ever upheld by the Crown's wise solicitude for the harmony of its most ancient houses by

which such indiscretions are discreetly managed, I venture to request that these articles be immediately suppressed, together with any standing type or copies in the printers' hands. Further, that all originals be forwarded by the usual secure means to the Mallard Estate, there to repose among the family papers where they belong.

His Grace desires me to assure you that such accommodation would be received as a mark of Her Majesty's continued respect and favour toward a house ever present at the Throne's side.

1850: Harriette Mallard

Address to the ladies present

How thrilling to gather in this velvet-shrouded sanctum, far from the pruders' parlours and the parsons' prying eyes, to unveil the true arsenal of womanly power. I, a courtesan to princes, confidante to peers, and scourge of the stiff-necked—stand before you not as some fallen Magdalene preaching repentance, but as a sovereign of the boudoir who has bent dukes and diplomats to my will without so much as loosening a stay. Tonight, I shall expound the best ways to manipulate powerful men with flirtatious intelligence—and mark this well—without undressing. For some among you may crave the obvious baubles of power, like that vulgar vote bandied about in pamphlets and petitions; yet there is infinitely more dominion in influence, subtle as a sigh, potent as poison, than in anything so blatant or so likely to provoke the dissent of the dullards who rule our roosts.

Let us begin with the foundation: the gaze. A man of consequence—be he cabinet minister or cavalry colonel—fancies himself master of boardroom and battlefield; disarm him with eyes that promise without pledging. Tilt your head thus, lashes low but lingering, a half-smile curving as if you alone hold the punchline to his private jest. “My lord,” you murmur, voice velvet over steel, “your wisdom on the Corn Laws eludes my simple understanding—pray illuminate me?” He puffs, preens, postures; you nod, enraptured, interjecting with a feigned gasp: “How bold! How brilliant!” Flirtation here is no simpering; it is Socratic—draw forth his vanities like venom from a wound, then wield them as weapons. Lord X confides his rivalry with Lord Y? Echo it back next week at Lady Z's rout: “Lord X assures me the tariff reforms are his genius alone.” Seeds sown, harvest reaped—all gowns intact.

Next, the art of the partial confidence. Men crave to be confessors, not confessee; invert it. Over ratafia at the opera, lean close—fan shielding lips—and whisper, “I dare not speak it aloud, but your speech last Tuesday stirred such thoughts in me...” Trail off, eyes wide with

manufactured mischief. He presses; you demur: “No, no, sir—you first. What stirs you in these turbulent times?” Out pours his ambition, his grudge, his graft. Without a glove removed, you map his empire: who he hates (befriend them), what he covets (dangle it), where he’s vulnerable (nudge the rival). I once held a marquess enthralled thus for a season—his letters my leverage, his secrets my currency—securing a friend’s annuity without so much as a kiss.

Gesture, ladies—the fan as foil, the handkerchief as harbinger. Flutter the former to punctuate praise: a snap for his jest, a languid wave for his profundity. Drop the latter “accidentally” at his feet; as he retrieves, murmur, “How gallant—yet I fear I’ve lost more than lace today.” He bites: “What treasure, madam?” You sigh: “A confidante’s trust, misplaced in a rival salon.” Boom—his chivalry channels into conspiracy. Touch? Ephemeral: graze his sleeve with gloved fingers—“Pardon my clumsiness”—leaving him electrified, you unscathed. Proximity without promise: perch beside him at cards, knee brushing boot once, then retreating, scent of orris lingering like a libel.

Intelligence elevates flirtation to felicity. Study his world: skim *The Times* for tariffs, *Blackwood’s* for politics, whisper gleaned nuggets—“I hear Peel wavers; what says your oracle?” He swells, supposes you his disciple; you become his Delphic echo. Questions are queens: “And how shall you counter Bentinck?” plants the barb; “Might not a discreet alliance serve?” steers the ship. Never opine outright—reflect his brilliance back, amplified. I manipulated a duke thus through a levee season: from trade whispers to treaty terms, all while sipping *negus* fully corseted. Power? He granted my protégé a seat; I granted nothing but glances.

Now, the greater truth: some crave the vote—that blunt bludgeon, banded by bluestockings in petitions to Parliament, courting catcalls and cuffs. Obvious, onerous, divisive—men mock it as “hen politics,” husbands rage at “unsexed harpies.” Dissent divides us; ballots breed backlash. Influence? Invisible, invincible. The vote wins a division; influence sways the Cabinet. Whisper to your lover-minister, and railways reroute; coo to your banker-peer, and loans flow to ladies’ causes. No hustings, no headlines—just salons where strings are pulled unseen. I’ve toppled reputations (my memoirs, £2,000 profit!), secured estates, swayed sessions—all in *décolletage*, never disrobed. Vote? A bauble for the bold; influence, the crown invisible.

Practice, sisters: mirror first, then musicales. Target the middling mighty—MPs, magistrates—before marquesses. Subtlety slays: laugh at his quip, lament his foe, laud his legacy. Without undress, you undam his soul. Power resides not in the polling booth, but the boudoir’s antechamber—where flirtation forges fetters he forges himself.

1850: Colonel Thomas Mallard

Letter to his brother

If the Mallard Centenary luncheon was a bellyful of bombast and belching, the post-prandial prowl through the big house was a proper rabbit warren of wonders—and one dashed peculiar sight that’s still got me scratching my whiskers! You know me, brother—after twenty courses of truffled torment (those woodcock were the ticket, mind, plump as a sepoy’s paypacket), I’m not one for lolling like some overstuffed nabob. No sirree; medals still pinned (Chillianwalla star gleaming despite the gravy splashes), I struck out from the Pavilion’s fug—reeking of lobster and Lafite, fans flapping like startled pigeons—and plunged into the ducal maze. Galleries groaning with bewigged Mallard grimaces sneering down from gold frames, Canalettos of Venice looking mightier than the Jumna at monsoon, and views from the bow windows that stretched mile upon mile over deer parks and ha-has, fat hinds grazing like they owned the horizon. Endless Turkey carpets muffling the mob’s murmur, beeswax polish warring with the whiff of spilled Tokay clinging to every crinoline. A fellow could lose a battalion in those saloons!

Stumbled first on the pilfering pandemonium—chests churned like a sowar’s kitbag after a scrap, snuffboxes and chatelaines strewn like bazaar leavings. Saw that gorgon Lady Felicia palm a gold vinaigrette bold as brass, while puce-clad Mrs. Cholmondeley tucked amethysts into her reticule behind a fern. Grabbed a little silver-gilt pencil myself —“souvenir of splendour,” what?—slipped it into my weskit pocket for the mantel at home (pension’s tight, Reggie, £400 buys scant souvenirs). Then, turning a corner into what looked like a forgotten sitting room off the North Wing—chintz sofas sagging under potted palms, air thick with tea-leaves and attar—a peculiar sight fair stopped me in my tracks. Cluster of two dozen ladies, colonial and county alike, perched like peahens on a rail, all ears cocked to one bluestocking in the midst—orchid brooch pinned prim, red ribbon at her cuff, fan fluttering like a signal flag. She was holding forth in that charmingly simpering lady-language, all ribbons and frills and fans snapping for emphasis, voice pitched high as a hill partridge:

“Ladies, allow a simple demonstration of how our ordinary language carries two messages at once. When a sister writes, ‘The roses at Mallard are thriving, and I shall wear my orchid brooch and red ribbon when I visit the Pavilion,’ the uninitiated hear only gardening and dress, but we hear strategy. In our code, ‘roses’ means the network is healthy;

‘orchid brooch’ means I am a Collegium member; ‘red ribbon’ signifies urgent business; and ‘Pavilion’ means our meeting. Thus the true message reads: ‘Our circle is strong; I, as a member, have urgent matters, and shall attend the next gathering.’”

Eh? What rot was that? Stayed a few moments, lurking in the doorway like a sowar on scout (they didn’t twig me, too rapt in their ribbonry), but had no idea what she said—sounded like garden gabble crossed with mantua-maker’s patter, all “roses thriving” and “orchid Pavilion” folderol. Simpering away with fans and frills, eyes darting like they were plotting a picnic or a petition, but dashed if I could make head or tail. Network? Collegium? Urgent what? Slipped out none the wiser, snagged another souvenir—a pearl chatelaine from a side table, jingling like a Pathan’s spurs—and pressed on to the gun room for a noggin of the Duke’s brandy. Women’s mysteries, eh? Let ‘em have their ribbons and roses; give me a clean bore and a brace of geese any day. Reminded me of the zenana whispers at Ferozeshah—canny beggars, those, but never twigged their tongue either.

1850: Lady Mabel Anetis deMallard

Address to the ladies present

I contend that I cannot believe in any church—or creed, or commonwealth—that supports a society which ignores women; yet it makes little sense to fight against a system in which we are invisible. That invisibility, far from a cause for alarm, is our unparalleled chance to exercise power to achieve within a system that seeks power over. Invisibility means blindness on their part; not being seen affords us the power to construct a system within their own—a shadowed architecture rising undetected, stone upon unseen stone.

Consider the edifice of our age: Parliament, pulpit, and peerage form a trinity of dominion, each buttressed by doctrines that render woman a cipher, a non-entity in the ledger of law and liturgy. The church, with its Pauline edicts—“Let your women keep silence in the churches”—sanctions this spectral status, preaching submission as sanctity while priests and prelates parcel out the visible world. Common law echoes: coverture cloaks us in our husbands’ shadows; property, polity, and pulpit presume our passivity. To rail against this? Futile as battering a cathedral with bare fists—the edifice endures, its stones unmoved, while we bleed knuckles and breath. Militancy invites backlash: the vote’s clamour summons scorn, petitions provoke prohibitions, and visibility begets vigilance. Why wage war on ramparts when we may mine beneath them?

No, ladies—invisibility is our aegis, our aperture to agency. Not seen, we are not suspected; unseen, we orchestrate. Blindness befalls the beholders: husbands hunt in clubs oblivious to our ledgers; ministers sermonise to empty pews of influence; legislators legislate for phantoms while we lobby in whispers. This is no passive veil, but potent prism—refracting their gaze while we build in the spectrum’s shade. Power over demands thrones and titles, spotlight and besieged; power to achieve thrives in the interstices, constructing parallel polities undetected. Witness our own precedents: the epistolary empires of aunts and abbesses, weaving webs from Wiltshire to Vienna; the trust funds veiled as “spinsters’ charities,” amassing millions under male proxies; the salons where statutes are subverted sans statute-book. Invisibility empowers the imperceptible: we draft deeds in drawing-rooms, fund fellowships via “floral funds,” convene conclaves coded as “needlework circles.”

Let me elucidate with architecture’s analogy. The Gothic cathedral rises not from fanfare, but from flying buttresses—hidden supports bearing the visible vault. We are those buttresses: unseen, essential, enabling the edifice even as we erect our own within its walls. The church ignores us? Splendid—we infiltrate its vestries, endowing scholarships disguised as “widows’ alms,” training theologians who one day dismantle dogma from within. Parliament overlooks us? Exquisite—we lobby via lovers and lieutenants, steering bills through proxies while feigning fascination with fans. Society sidelines us? Divine—we seed sisterhoods in the shadows, from Bloomsbury seminaries to Bombay zenanas, networks nodal and nameless.

This is no counsel of cowardice, but calculus of conquest. Fight visibly, and foes fortify; operate invisibly, and fortifications fall from fatigue. Invisibility means blindness—theirs, not ours. They peer at phantoms; we perceive precisely. Construct thus: cultivate confidants (flirtation’s finesse, as Mrs. Wilson avers); cloak capital in trusts (Isabella Mallard’s legerdemain); code communications in crimson (Countess Kachenya’s semaphore). Erect within: collegiate charters under clerical covers, property pooled in “pious uses,” influence infiltrated via “innocent inquiries.” Power accrues asymptotically—unseen increments yielding seismic shifts.

Ladies, embrace the eclipse. Churches crumble not from frontal assault, but fissiparous faith; systems shatter from subclinical subversion. Our invisibility is not erasure, but ether—permeating, pervasive, poised to precipitate. Not seen to construct? That is power to. Let them build cathedrals of illusion; we quarry the quarries beneath.

I thank you, and bid you vanish productively.

1850: the Blandy house-keeper

A quiet evening at last, with the last of the Centenary guests departed and the Pavilion struck down to canvas heaps for the wagons tomorrow. The house lies in disarray—chests overturned in the Saloon and Drawing Room, trinkets and linens scattered like chaff from a winnow, no doubt the work of those pilfering cousins who treated the place as a bazaar. Much to be done to get it all back in order: silver to polish anew, carpets to beat, and every secretaire rifled for valuables that may yet turn up missing. The footmen grumble, but they'll earn their wages this week.

I never thought the Duchess suited to this house, truth be told—too strong-willed by half, too knowledgeable in books and schemes that no lady ought to harbour, too given to idle thought and reading by candle late into the night. She was no biddable ornament for Mallard, for all her blood and bearing; the place wanted a quieter soul, one content with embroidery and deference. Yet she has done her duty by the Duke, borne what no Christian wife should, and I could not see her immured here forever. Without his knowledge—nor any other's, save mine—she slipped away on the eve of the great day: trunks packed in the small hours, a post-chaise to the coast, and from there, I wager, to the Orient by some East Indiaman out of Southampton. Let her find peace amid the pagodas and palms; better that than the flames they whispered of after her “accident” last year.

There's solace in it for me too: my girl, the milliner, went with her as lady's maid and companion—safer there, wherever they end up, than she might have been otherwise: her being that way inclined, with eyes for the fairer sex and a heart too soft for the rough hands of estate lads. The Duchess knew it, shielded her like a sister these past years; may the wide world prove kinder to them both than these cold halls ever could. Godspeed to them, and to us left behind, the mending.

1850: Espèce de Canard

Private papers

Well, the Centenary has concluded, or at least staggered to its last champagne bubble. The torches gutter across the lawns, the fountains (wine earlier, water now) still trickle with deflated pride, and the final carriage has at last rolled down the drive like a sigh of relief. I am at liberty, for the first time in several days, to think—not as hostess nor

ornament, but as myself, whatever remains of her under the embroidery.

The day was, by every outward measure, magnificent. The Pavilion shone; the luncheon was so ambitious it became legend before dessert; and a surprising number of people who have never spoken to one another in public found reasons to whisper in corners. I should call that success of a sort. Even the scandal sheets will find themselves exhausted by our splendour, though they will write of it as they always do—with that mixture of awe and disgust that is England's second religion.

And yet, as I remove enough diamonds to shame a cathedral, I am struck by the peculiar irony of all this grandeur: nothing, in this house at least, is ever what it seems. We congratulate ourselves on our wealth, but it buys only those consolations that poorer souls obtain for free. The Duke, for instance—dear, restless creature—possesses enough land to map a modest planet, yet cannot take a walk upon it without an audience of gardeners, tenants, and newspapers. His fortune has purchased everything except that small grace which bakers and clerks enjoy daily: to pass unnoticed.

I sometimes think that the highest extravagance of aristocracy is not to own what it cannot use, but to pay so dearly for what others are born owning—anonymity. The poor eat, drink, love, quarrel, and vanish into their small freedoms; we dine upon ceremony, drink gossip, love by contract, and are never permitted to vanish at all. If this is what they call privilege, it is an oddly visible kind.

As for the power to consume, the Duke prides himself on the ability to purchase everything worth owning—and consequently, to enjoy nothing that cannot be acquired by cheque. A man who need never save for anything is left with the dreadful occupation of selecting. It is a weary pastime, for the appetite resists being coerced by abundance. He claims to seek privacy, a life “removed from the vulgar gaze.” I told him, half in jest, that the cure was simple: renounce the coronet, sell half the pictures, and invest the proceeds in plain clothes. To that he laughed and said it would ruin him socially. So be it; he must choose between seclusion and society, and he will always choose applause—just as applause always chooses him.

Still, I cannot deny our curious happiness in this constraint. The house today looked almost alive: chandeliers glittering like eyes, mirrors simpering, servants fluttering like exotic birds trained to stillness. The guests called it a fairy-tale; I thought it nearer an aviary—a place where bright creatures sing loudly for fear of silence.

Tomorrow, I suppose, the inventories and the debts will begin their revenge. Jewels missing, linens torn, nerves frayed. The Duke will make a speech about posterity, and I shall smile and agree that England has

been marvellously entertained. But this evening, sitting before my mirror, I see only a woman dressed too expensively to be quite real. If I could choose one luxury—and my story should be believed—I think it would be to walk out the small gate unseen, carrying nothing at all, and never be remembered again.

1850: a Blandy Maid-of-All-Work

Well now, it's all over at last, thank the Lord. I thought this Centenary business would never finish, but the house is quiet at last—so still you'd think it never knew noise. All them doors shut, the lamps turned down, and me here with sore feet and half a mind left to write before I drop.

I've swept and scrubbed near every inch downstairs, stacked the plates, picked up the crumbs, and sent the last tray back to kitchen. What a sight it was today! I never seen such goings-on. The ladies gliding about like angels out a church window—so many jewels and feathers I scarce dared breathe. Their gowns rustled like rain on the roof, and the smell of their scent hung in the air after they passed—roses and strange sweet things from lands I can't even name.

And the noise! Laughter, fiddles, clinking glasses, everyone talking at once. We servants worked like an army—Cook shouting, pans banging, every plate that left the kitchen coming back twice as dirty. But, oh, the food! I carried two puddings myself, near shaking with fright in case they split. For all that, it went smooth enough, and Mrs. Hatch said we'd make saints jealous with our labour.

Afterwards, when the great folk were gone to their beds and the hall stopped echoing, Cook softened her tongue and gave us each a bit of what was left. I got a proper slice of pudding, still warm, with a spoon of real cream on top—thick as butter and sweet as anything. It near made me cry, it did. Evelina said it was the best day of her life, and I might agree if my feet didn't ache so cruel.

Now all's dark out the windows save the moon on the pond. Tomorrow we start again, shining what's already shining, but I don't care. For once, we had a taste of the finery ourselves. And when I close my eyes tonight, I'll see those grand ladies in their silks and feathers, and me right there among 'em.

1850: Duchess of Mallard

Copy of a letter to her aunt, in her own hand

If this letter finds you surprised, I hope it finds you glad as well; for never in my life have I felt so light, so entirely my own creature, as I do now in this extraordinary corner of the world we were once taught to fear. It is best to say it plainly from the beginning so that no mystery clouds it: I have left the Duke.

I have taken leave of Mallard House, those draughty corridors of duty, and of the hollow glitter that once seemed the crown of our sex's ambition. I have left the dinners that resembled coronations without joy, the endless talk of lineage, the smiles bought at such appalling expense. And most liberating of all, I have escaped the husband whose tenderness was reserved for beasts and boots in equal proportion. You may then understand why my past letters dwindled from politeness to silence. I write now only because the quiet of contentment at last permits words again.

Ah, but India!—the name itself seems to stir one's pulse. I had heard it described as pestilent and strange, yet to me it is cleaner than Mayfair mornings. The sky expands like an answered question; the air smells of spice and rain and something I can only call permission. At dawn the mist glows as if backlit by some private divinity. With every breath, the weight of England drops away—the parlours, the muffled weather, the obedience. Everything here is awake.

You will smile to hear that my dearest Louisa is with me—yes, the clever milliner who once rescued my silly bonnets from mediocrity. It is she who taught me that invention and affection may share the same hand. Her gaiety has made all this possible. In our little house above the Mall, with its tangle of flowers and its balcony that looks out upon the green hills, there is an ease of spirit I had never believed could belong to flesh. We live simply, laugh often, and measure our days not by duty but by delight. I will not attempt moral defence of my happiness; happiness, once real, requires none.

As for the journey hither, it deserves a chapter all its own. From Southampton the voyage was one long baptism of air and salt. We dined among missionaries who spread their doctrine with as much starch as their linen, among clerks bound for Calcutta with dreams of empire, and one exquisite native merchant whose English owed more to Oxford than to trade. He amused the table by declaring that Britannia ruled the seas chiefly because she was afraid of dry land. For the first time I found I could speak freely, as a mind, not an accessory.

The train inland was a wonder. We rattled through jungles of impossible green, the windows wide, the dust alive, our clothes

perfumed with distance. Along the way we met a noblewoman glittering in bangles, whose courtesy made my Mallard manners feel abrupt and provincial. Even the children at the halts shamed me with their quickness—laughing, bartering slices of guava, eyes bright as coins.

The final approach to Simla was pure theatre—steep cliffs, ponies huffing like old courtiers, and twilight settling in folds of silver. Women descended to the roadside, offering water with impeccable composure. There is in this land a grace unacquainted with artifice.

Do not think me fearless. There were moments of trembling—the first night at sea when the wind bullied the ship, a storm later that turned the plain into liquid light—but fear, I find, is the tuition for freedom. Each dawn here introduces a stranger who is yet myself. I am, at last, acquainted with her.

Set aside any talk of scandal. I have found not sin but sincerity, not danger but proportion. If solitude were all I sought, I might have hidden in some lesser place. Instead I have discovered immensity: sky enough to contain the past, and kindness enough to forgive it.

Tell those who inquire that I am safe, brown as a berry, happy as a leaf in full sun. For the rest, let their imaginations do what gossip never accomplished—make me interesting.

1851: Lavinia deMallard to her sister, Isabella Mallard

Willowmere Cottage, near Clapham

You will scarcely credit the tales now fluttering like startled pigeons through the entire Mallard connexion—from Bombay to Boston, from Madeira to Melbourne—about that infamous Centenary luncheon we so bravely survived last summer. When last I wrote, I told you, in all seriousness, that I estimated the cost of that one-day extravaganza at a cool hundred thousand pounds, which I fancied already a sum to make a Chancellor faint behind his red boxes. It appears that I was a model of moderation. The latest on-dits declare that the true figure was five times as much—a full half-million lavished on tents, fountains, railways, wines, and the privilege of letting one hundred cousins gorge themselves under stained glass for the space of an afternoon.

And yet—here is the most maddening part—everyone swears the Duke did not, for a single instant, trouble himself about the expense. It was all “put it on the account,” “tell Crake and Pennington to proceed,” “double the order of Champagne,” and “extend the tent by another bay,” as if the whole world were his petty cash box. To hear the gossip, you would think five hundred thousand pounds to him is

what five shillings are to us: an inconvenience to count, not a sacrifice to regret. Imagine how wealthy he must be, Isabella! Lands in Sussex, Scotland, Ireland, India, and who knows where else; shares in railways and mines; rents flowing in like the tide; and now, so they say, even a royal annuity and new titles to sweeten his grief over that ridiculous Duck-Pond office. One begins to suspect that the only thing he cannot afford is a quiet conscience.

But here is the true scandal that has set the family nerves aqiver: all that half-million, they whisper, is nothing—nothing—to the millions Duchess Alice took with her when she vanished from the stage. You remember how the story went: a tragic fire, a hasty funeral, and the Duke appearing at the Centenary with a “Duchess” who was, in fact, his sister masquerading in lace and emeralds. Now the letters from Bombay, Lisbon, Singapore, and even some dreadful colonial outpost in Rhode Island all repeat the same refrain: Alice did not merely disappear; she departed laden with fortunes—marriage settlements, jewels, securities, perhaps even a finger in the railway schemes. “The Centenary,” writes Cousin Clara from Bombay, “cost him a pebble; Alice carried off the mountain.” How much of this is truth and how much embroidery no one can say, but it delights the world no end to picture even the great Mallards outmanoeuvred in their own drawing room.

You will ask, of course, what the Duke said or did when these rumours began to flap about. Nothing at all, my dear—which is the most suspicious thing. He goes on hunting his ducks, building his little railways, and marrying (or un-marrying) as suits his inscrutable purposes, while the aunts in the dower houses and the nieces in the colonies cackle like hens in a storm. They repeat, with a relish I confess I share, that he “did not care about the cost” of the Centenary because the sums now at his disposal, after this mysterious rearrangement of conjugal affairs, render such expenditures mere fireworks in a summer sky—brief, brilliant, and utterly without consequence. To be so rich that ruin itself is a form of decoration! It makes my head spin more than the train did.

And now, for the most delicious morsel of all—prepare your smelling salts—I must speak of my little souvenir. Do you recall the necklace I confessed to you, half in shame and half in triumph, that I found strewn in the Saloon as if tossed from an impatient hand? A circlet of crimson stones, glowing like embers in the candlelight, set in filigree gold with clear stones between that I took, very sensibly, to be paste? I told you then I supposed the red stones were garnet or ruby at best, more likely glass, and that my conscience was eased by believing it

a trinket, not a treasure. Well, my angel, it appears my conscience must now fidget on a higher perch.

For scarcely a fortnight ago, at a small dinner in town, I found myself seated beside a certain discreet jeweller—recommended, I may say, by a cousin whose husband has run through three fortunes and now lives on the wife's tiaras. In an idle moment between the fish and the fowl, I mentioned, purely hypothetically you understand, a "family piece" I wished to have valued for insurance. I described the stones as best I could: their shade, their fire, the curious way they caught the light. His eyes narrowed; he asked the most impertinent questions, then declared in a murmur that such characteristics were not those of garnet at all, nor of common ruby, but bore a strong resemblance to what the trade whispers of as a red diamond. A red diamond, Isabella! One of those fabulous rarities of which people say there are but a handful in all Europe, worth—so he hinted delicately—more than the entire contents of many a respectable banking house.

I did not, of course, produce the necklace then and there like a rabbit from a hat; even I have some prudence. But you may imagine how the room swam before my eyes, how the cutlets and custards blurred into nothing as I pictured the thing lying, at that very moment, in my locked workbox at Willowmere, wrapped in a bit of old cambric and sharing space with loose buttons and a length of faded ribbon. I have not yet brought myself to carry it to him, for fear that he will either shout for the police or offer such a sum that I fling myself into ruin by accepting. Still, every time I open that box, the stones burn up at me like guilty coals, and I wonder: did Mallard fling it down in a fit of temper? Did some anonymous hand scatter it from a chest in righteous fury? Or was I simply the luckiest magpie at the Centenary, plucking a gem no one else thought to guard?

So here we are, my love: a half-million pounds blown on a single day's theatricals, millions more (so they say) flown away with a vanished Duchess, and your poor Lavinia sitting in a cottage near Clapham with a possible red diamond in her sewing drawer. If this is not the age of wonders, I know not what is. Do not scold me too harshly in your reply; remember that I am but human, and in our family, opportunities do not fall from the skies every day—except, it seems, at the Mallard Centenary.

1851: A Crimson Enigma: The Sale of a Mythical Red Diamond Ring

The Times, London

In the hushed salons of the Place Vendôme, Paris, earlier this twelvemonth, a transaction of singular intrigue transpired—one which has only now filtered through diplomatic whispers to the cognoscenti of Bond Street and Hatton Garden. A rare, almost mythical red diamond ring—its central stone a flawless crimson gem of some 12 carats, set in antique filigree gold amid a constellation of diamonds whose scintillation rivals the Milky Way—changed hands from an anonymous vendor to an equally obscure buyer, reputedly of royal blood. The sum, murmured in the strictest confidence, is said, in some quarters, to have exceeded £250,000, a figure that would eclipse the annual revenues of many a minor principality and render even the most seasoned jewellers of the rue de la Paix breathless with awe.

The gem in question, dubbed by connoisseurs the “Mallard Flame” for its fiery hue evoking a drake’s beak in heraldic blaze, bears all the hallmarks of antiquity: a cut predating the modern brilliants of Peruzzi, with overtones of rose and pomegranate that shift like living embers under candlelight. Its provenance? Shrouded in the mists of Continental nobility, though whispers link it to the fabled collections of Hyderabad or the Ural mines, smuggled westward amid the Napoleonic tumults. The anonymous seller—a veiled figure, cloaked in discretion—parted with it at a private auction convened by Messrs. Luzzato et Fils, bypassing the public glare of Christie’s or Sotheby’s. The buyer? None other than a Bourbon scion, it is hinted, or perchance an Orléanist collector with eyes upon a restored throne; French royalists, ever attuned to symbols of resurgence, covet such talismans as harbingers of legitimacy.

What elevates this sale from mere mercantile marvel to matter of national conjecture is its tantalising proximity to our own shores. The ring’s dimensions and description bear uncanny resemblance to a trinket reported missing from the Mallard estates following that extravagant Centenary revelry of June last—when Sussex lawns hosted a canvas Versailles and chests in the ducal saloons were, by some accounts, “indiscreetly disturbed.” Though no official claim has emanated from Mallard House, the coincidence is too luminous to ignore. Might this be the selfsame jewel glimpsed amid the post-prandial pilferage, palmed by some enterprising guest amid the overturned secretaires? Or does it emerge from deeper vaults, a relic of Oriental adventures now repatriated via Parisian shadows?

Speculation mounts: will the “Mallard Flame” yet grace a French coronet, symbolising Bourbon revival amid the Second Republic’s republican republicanism? Or might it traverse the Channel once more, destined for the Tower’s jewel house? Her Majesty’s well-known partiality for rubied adornments—witness the Hyderabad casket of 1847—renders such an eventuality not improbable. Should diplomatic channels open, might we see it enshrined in the Imperial State Crown, its crimson fire a beacon of monarchical continuity? Messrs. Garrard, jewellers to the Queen, profess no knowledge; yet in the labyrinthine world of gems, where anonymity is the merchant’s mantle and provenance the princeling’s plume, certainties dissolve like dew on damask.

The trade watches with bated breath. A red diamond of this calibre—rarer than duck’s teeth, more coveted than Cullinans unborn—could redefine the market, its passage from Paris to points unknown a saga for the lapidaries’ lore. Until the fog lifts, we can but wonder: from Sussex scandal to Parisian palace, whence next the Flame?

1851: Lavinia deMallard to her sister, Isabella Mallard

Willowmere Cottage, near Clapham

Oh, what a whirl of conscience and coincidence has beset me these past weeks! You will recall my giddy confession last summer, penned in the afterglow of that mad Mallard Centenary, about the “gorgeous necklace” I filched from the Saloon amid all the overturned chests and pilfering pandemonium—a circlet of crimson stones (garnet or ruby, I supposed, though likely glass) set in filigree gold, glowing like forbidden embers in my chatelaine pouch. A cheap souvenir is one thing, my love—a harmless memento of the tents and truffles, the wine-fountains and whispering scandals—but a valuable necklace? The guilt gnawed at me like a mouse in the wainscot, turning every glimpse of the thing in my workbox into a pang of pilferer’s remorse. What if it were no paste trinket, but a true treasure? Sleepless nights ensued, with dreams of Bow Street Runners at my door and the Duke’s myrmidons dragging me to the magistrates in my India mull!

At last, driven half-mad by the glittering culprit, I resolved to show it to a jeweller—discreetly, of course, under the strictest vows of secrecy. Mr. Elihu Mossop of Hatton Garden, a dear old fox with eyes like a magpie’s and a reputation for royal reticence, received me in his back parlour behind locked doors and drawn blinds. “Hypothetically, Mr. Mossop,” I trilled, unveiling the parcel with trembling fingers, “a family heirloom, valued for insurance—pray, what say the stones?” His

glass magnified, his brow furrowed, and then—gracious heavens!—a low whistle escaped his lips. “Madam,” quoth he, “this is no garnet, no ruby paste, but a red diamond of the rarest fire—12 carats near enough, worth a minor fortune, and whispering of Oriental vaults or Hyderabad hoards. One of the Mallard Flames, if rumours hold!” My knees buckled; I near swooned into his lapels. He was the soul of discretion—packed it away with gloved hands, promised utmost silence, and confided he would “return it whence it came, via channels untraceable.” Return it to the Duke, he meant; I nodded, numb with relief and regret, and fled home to my vinaigrette.

Scarce a fortnight later—yesterday, in fact!—a plain packet arrived by secure courier, bearing the Mallard crest in black wax and enclosing a polite yet curt letter from that impeccable functionary, Mr. Blandy, the Duke’s private secretary. A thousand pounds in crisp Bank of England notes accompanied it—“compensation,” he termed it, with language so exquisitely measured you must admire it yourself. I copy it verbatim below, that you may savour its silken steel; no more need be said, he assures, yet now I feel I owe him—a personal favour, perhaps, though what a secretary to a duke might desire from a Clapham widow sets my fan fluttering anew!

Mallard House, St. James’

The Duke of Mallard desires me to acknowledge the discreet return, via intermediaries of the highest probity, of a certain necklace of family significance—erroneously displaced during the recent Centenary festivities at Mallard Park. Its restoration has precluded any necessity for further inquiry or correspondence on the matter, which may now be regarded as concluded to the satisfaction of all parties.

As a mark of His Grace’s appreciation for this expeditious resolution—and in full recognition that such items, amid the exuberance of the occasion, may find their way into unintended hands—His Grace encloses the sum of one thousand pounds sterling, without prejudice or implication of any kind. No more need be said.

Is it not perfection itself? Curt as a cane-rap, polite as a levee bow—thanking me for returning what was never mine, compensating me as if I’d found a lost shilling on the path, and slamming the door with that imperial “No more need be said.” A thousand pounds! Enough to gild Willowmere anew, refurbish the carriage, and pension off poor Hargreaves for life. Yet the guilt lingers, mingled with giddy triumph: I held a Mallard Flame, another mythical red diamond now whispered in *The Times* as sold in Paris to some royal shadow (or so the jewellers tattle). Did it grace the Pavilion that day, spilled from Alice’s parure

amid her flight to pagodas? Or was it ducal bait for deeper scandals? No matter—I am richer, wiser, and wondrously warned.

1851: Isabella Mallard, Quinta das Laranjeiras, Lisbon

Letter to the Dowager of North Lodge, Mallard

Recall how the post-luncheon chaos—chests overturned in the Saloons and Galleries, trinkets strewn like chaff from a thresher—tempted so many of our sisters to gather “souvenirs” amid the pilfering pandemonium. Snuffboxes, chatelaines, cameos, even lesser jewels palmed by ladies from Madras to Melbourne: harmless baubles then, but potentially perilous now, should jewellers’ glasses magnify their worth. I propose we act with the Collegium’s characteristic foresight: notify the ladies in your network who attended that Centenary table (some two dozen, by my reckoning—Arabella, Clara, Margaret, and the rest), urging them to have their mementos valued discreetly by Mr. Elihu Mossop of Hatton Garden, alone. He is proven: tight-lipped, trustworthy, and attuned to our codes (a red ribbon signals “Collegium matter”; orchid brooch confirms identity). Upon valuation, sell them back to the Mallard estate via his intermediary channels, accepting whatever “compensation” the Duke’s largesse provides—be it notes, annuities, or quiet consignments—and directing the proceeds straight to the trust. Scholarships for zenana schools, seed corn for Bloomsbury, escapes for the straitened: every shilling swells our fountain.

Stagger the process, I beseech you, to evade suspicion—three or four parcels per quarter, spaced across the provinces and packets from abroad, each framed as an “accidental rediscovery” from the festivities’ “exuberance.” A snuffbox from Calcutta in May, a chatelaine from Sydney in July, cameos cascading through autumn: the Duke will note only a trickle of returns, each met with his secretary’s curt courtesy and compensatory cash, none linking back to our web. You, from North Lodge, are ideally placed to manage this side of matters: keep a maternal eye on Edward, monitoring his moods. Should Blandy’s correspondence quicken or inquiries sharpen, signal at once via the geranium sprig cipher—our Portuguese traders stand ready. Your proximity ensures the rhythm remains imperceptible, a gentle ebb preserving the greater flow.

Trust in Mossop implicitly; he has pledged his discretion under our mutual safekeeping (a small annuity from the Fountain secures it). Instruct the ladies thus: wrap items plainly, enclose a note (“Found among Centenary effects; returned with regrets”), and dispatch to Hatton Garden with a Paisley shawl wrapper (neutral courier).

Valuations proceed privately; returns follow swiftly. Should any piece prove paste (as many will), no harm—no compensation, but no exposure. The true gems, like Lavinia's Flame, yield fortunes for the cause.

1851: Mallard House

To Her Most Gracious Majesty, Queen Victoria

Madam,

It is with deep reluctance—and no common sorrow—that I find myself compelled to address Your Majesty on a subject which touches not only the honour of my house, but by consequence the delicate web of that ancient compact which has for centuries bound the nobility of England to the Crown.

I allude, of course, to the proposal, now circulating through official channels, that the ancient and hereditary dignity of Keeper of the Royal Duck-Ponds and Overseer of the Marshes—a charge conferred upon my ancestor by William the Conqueror himself upon the eve of his landing—should at last be suppressed as “obsolete,” and, as rumour has most injuriously expressed it, “a title more fit for a nursery rhyme than for a modern Treasury.”

Permit me to observe, Madam, that what the ignorant deride, the informed preserve. The office, though ceremonial these many years, stands as a living echo of the very act of conquest that gave birth to the English monarchy as presently constituted. To erase it is not to economise; it is to repudiate the soil from which Your Majesty's own sceptre first took root. If my family is to be divested of so venerable a dignity, let it be known that England herself surrenders, by the same act, another of those subtle ligatures by which loyalty is instinctively maintained.

I do not doubt that Your Majesty's advisers, with their restless appetite for reform, have whispered that my protest springs from private interest. Indeed, it would be disingenuous to pretend otherwise: for though the Keepership carries with it but modest emolument, it is bound up with our name as inseparably as the drake upon our escutcheon. Should Your Majesty find herself unable to resist their counsel, I must then entreat that some alternative and less visible arrangement be devised. A private accommodation of a fiduciary nature—one that would discreetly preserve my household's independence—might soften what would otherwise be a grievous and public insult.

I cannot forbear remarking, Madam, that this sudden zeal to prune ancient offices gives an impression of constraint—of certain obligations

pressing upon the Crown. One cannot help but conjecture that Your Majesty's freedom of action is less absolute than is generally supposed. I do not, of course, presume to inquire into such matters, yet I may be forgiven for hinting that loyalty, like charity, is most readily reciprocated when confidence is mutual. Should future exigencies arise in which Your Majesty deems my influence or cooperation desirable, you may find my zeal somewhat governed by recollection of the present affront.

Nevertheless, I remain, in spirit if not in enthusiasm,
Your Majesty's most humble and obedient servant,

Mallard

Duke of Mallard, Keeper of the Royal Duck-Ponds and Overseer of the Marshes (until instructed otherwise)

1851: Windsor Castle

*To Our Right Trusty and Right Well-Beloved Cousin,
the Duke of Mallard*

I have received your letter of the 19th ultimo and have read with attention the sentiments it conveys respecting the historic office long held by your family—that of Keeper of the Royal Duck-Ponds and Overseer of the Marshes. Permit Me to assure you that no measure of administrative adjustment could make Me insensible to the venerable associations which attend your name, nor to the loyalty and distinction with which successive members of your House have served the Crown since the earliest days of Our realm.

It is therefore with genuine regret that I find Myself unable to preserve, in its ancient form, that office which you so justly regard with familial reverence. The direction of public affairs, and the present temper of Parliament, render it impossible that I should re-instate an appointment deemed incompatible with modern administration. You must believe, however, that this necessity arises not from any diminution of esteem toward your person or your lineage, but solely from those unavoidable considerations of state which now bind Us both.

That your honour should in no way suffer by the change is My earnest wish. I have consequently determined that a new arrangement be made, by which a private annuity of two hundred thousand pounds per annum shall be secured to you and your heirs in perpetuity, chargeable upon the Crown Estates. Further, it is My pleasure to confer upon you the title and dignity of Earl of Beakhurst, with an estate of fifty thousand acres in Sussex attached thereto, together with others of

proportionate extent in Ireland, Scotland, and India, as marks of My continued favour and remembrance.

These measures, I trust, will serve not as restitution for a slight—since none was intended—but as renewed assurance of the affection and regard in which both I and My family hold the illustrious House of Mallard.

With all kind wishes for your health and happiness, and the stability of your family's great name and honours,

I remain, My dear Duke,
Your affectionate cousin and sincere friend,
Victoria R.

1851: Honoria Mallard

Letter fragment to her sister

The winter rains have at last relented, leaving us sodden but serene, and I find myself lingering over memories of last spring's Centenary with a fondness that even the Sussex mists cannot dampen. How vividly I recall you amidst the throng at the great luncheon in the Pavilion—your gown of sprigged muslin a perfect foil for that exquisite cameo brooch you wore pinned at your throat. The carved shell, with its classical figures in such delicate relief, caught the light from the stained-glass windows like a jewel from antiquity itself; it was the admiration of every lady present, myself included.

It puts me so much in mind of a similar piece I once noticed during a visit to the Mallard estate in the '30s—a treasure displayed in one of the cabinets and surrounded by what appeared to be a blaze of diamonds. Yours must be a most faithful replica, capturing every curve and contour with remarkable precision. As for the stones encircling it, they sparkle with such convincing fire that one might almost be deceived; yet I know your circumstances in Singapore would never stretch to the genuine article, so I assume them to be paste of the finest quality—quite clever, and far more prudent than indulging in such extravagance.

Pray tell me the name of the jeweller who crafted it for you; I am quite set upon commissioning a copy, that I might wear it to the next assembly and evoke those golden days anew.

1851: Mallard House

To Her Most Gracious Majesty, Queen Victoria

I have received with mingled relief and mortification Your Majesty's most gracious communication of the 2nd instant, and I hasten, before my feelings can either cool into sullenness or rise into undue warmth, to offer such acknowledgements as become a subject singularly honoured and yet not wholly appeased.

It would be affectation to deny that the extinction of the ancient Keepership of the Royal Duck-Ponds and Overseer of the Marshes still presses upon me as a wound to the sentiment of ancestry. The title, however humble it may sound to modern ears, was for us a watchword of conquest and of service, the very echo of that first rough covenant made between my forefather and the Norman Sovereign on English soil. That thread, once broken, cannot be re-spun, though all the gold of the Treasury were to be twisted in its place.

Yet, while the symbol is lost beyond recovery, I am bound in honour to recognise the singular munificence with which Your Majesty has been pleased to temper the rigour of necessity. The provision of an annuity of two hundred thousand pounds, secured in perpetuity, together with the new dignities and estates which Your Majesty designs to attach to my house, must be acknowledged as a mark of favour such as few Sovereigns in any age have extended to any subject. If my pride is not wholly reconciled, my gratitude is nevertheless profoundly engaged.

Permit me, therefore, to accept, with all due humility, the style and honour of Earl of Beakhurst, and the broad lands with which Your Majesty is pleased to endow the title in England, Ireland, Scotland, and India. They shall be held—and, I trust, administered—in such a manner as may justify Your Majesty's confidence, and prove that, if one venerable office be resigned to time, the spirit of service which once animated it survives under altered forms.

If I have spoken with some warmth in my former letter, I entreat Your Majesty to attribute it to an over-solicitude for the dignity of a name long intertwined with the fortunes of the Crown. Your present act of consideration cannot wholly efface the sense of loss of an amount five times that which you now offer, but it does much to assure me that Your Majesty neither forgets the past nor undervalues those by whom it was fashioned. I remain, therefore, not without a certain melancholy, yet with renewed devotion,

Your Majesty's most loyal, grateful, and obedient servant and cousin,
Duke of Mallard, Earl of Beakhurst, Prince de la Mu, Duc deCanard,

Marquis de Canard, Baron Entenbraten, Condé el Ánade, Principe Anatroccolo, Viscount d'Anetis, &etc

1851: Margaret deMallard, Glasgow

Letter fragment to her sister in Shanghai

The snow is lying thin upon the heather this morning, and the wind comes down from the hills with that clear, cutting edge which belongs only to a Scottish January; yet my thoughts, perversely, have wandered back to the soft green lawns of Mallard and the glitter of that Centenary luncheon. How strange a spectacle it was—tents like palaces, fountains that fancied themselves Roman, and every species of second cousin fluttering about as if the family name were a freshly discovered virtue. And yet, for all that display, what struck me most was not who was present, but who was not.

Did you observe, as I did, that none of the Dowagers from their estate lodges appeared at table? Not one of those formidable relics of earlier reigns, though they must, by all propriety, have been invited. Their absence sat oddly upon the whole affair, like a missing panel in a stained-glass window. I had my carriage stop at North Lodge on our way back to enquire after the old Dowager there, being curious to know if illness had kept her away. The butler, with a face as long as a winter afternoon, informed me that Her Grace “conveyed her regrets, that she might rest and be thankful in her garden.” A curious phrase for December, when the rose-beds are nothing but thorns and memory.

I could not help but feel there was more in it than fatigue, some quiet resistance to the new order of things at Mallard. The young people may parade in their silks and railway carriages, but the Dowagers, it seems, prefer the company of their ghosts and their winter shrubs. When next you write from Shanghai, tell me how such matters are managed among the great houses there; do their old ladies also retire to their gardens when the world grows too noisy, or is this reserve a peculiarly British ailment?